

DRAFT

MAKANA MUNICIPALITY

INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

2019-2020

**(I) FOREWORD**

**(II) TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATION 3**
2. **MUNICIPAL OVERVIEW 5**
3. **MAKANA VISION AND MISSION AND CORE VALUES 8**

**CHAPTER ONE: PREPARATORY AND PLANNING PROCESS** 10

* 1. Background 10
  2. Legal Framework 10
  3. Makana Five Year Integrated Development Plan 11
  4. Mandate and Guide Parameters 29
  5. Process Plan (2018/19) 36
  6. Public Participation Strategy

**CHAPTER TWO: SITUATIONAL ANALYSIS 40**

* 1. Situational Analysis 40
  2. Municipal Demographics & Social Services Profile 54
  3. Socio Economic Dimensions and Analysis 73
  4. Institutional Transformation & Organisational Development
  5. Good Governance and Public Participation 91
  6. Local Economic Development and Rural Development 129
  7. Social Infrastructure Services 150
  8. Human Settlement & Management 183
  9. Infrastructure Capital Plan 192
  10. Financial Viability & Management

**CHAPTER THREE: AGENDA 2022 STRATEGIC INTERVENTION 203**

* 1. Makana Vision and Mission Statement
  2. Core Values of the Municipality
  3. Municipal Development Priorities
  4. Development Goals and Objectives
  5. IDP Project Register

**CHAPTER FOUR INTEGRATION 238**

* 1. Introduction
  2. Summary of Sector Plans
  3. Performance Management- Institutional Level
  4. Performance Management- Individual Level

# (III) ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **IDP** | Integrated Development Plan |
| **Agric** | Agriculture |
| **ASGISA** | Accelerated and Shares Growth Initiative for South Africa |
| **CBP** | Community Based Planning |
| **SBDM** | Sarah Baartman District Municipality |
| **CDW** | Community Development Worker |
| **CFO** | Chief Financial Officer |
| **Cllr** | Councillor |
| **EM** | Executive Mayor |
| **MM** | Municipal Manager |
| **CSS** | Community and Social Services |
| **DBSA** | Development Bank South Africa |
| **DEAET** | Department of Economic Affairs, Environmental and Tourism |
| **COGTA** | Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs |
| **DoSD** | Department Of Social Development |
| **DTIS** | Department of Technical and Infrastructure Services |
| **DWIS** | Department of Water and Infrastructure Services |
| **ECDC** | Eastern Cape Development Corporation |
| **EHS** | Environmental Health Services |
| **FM** | Financial Management |
| **GHT** | Grahamstown |
| **HASTI** | HIV and AIDS and Sexually Transmitted Infections |
| **LSA** | Local Service Area |
| **Makana LM** | Makana Local Municipality |
| **MEC** | Member of the Executive Council |
| **MMC** | Member of Mayoral Committee |
| **MIG** | Municipal Infrastructure Grant |
| **MPCC** | Multi-Purpose Community Centre |
| **Munic** | Municipality |
| **NSDP** | National Spatial Development Plan |
| **PDP** | Provincial Development Plan |
| **PHP** | People Housing Process |
| **PMS** | Performance Management System |
| **Rep** | Representative |
| **SDBIP** | Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan |
| **SDF** | Spatial Development Framework |
| **WSDP** | Water Service Development Plan |
| **WTW** | Water Treatment Works |
| **WWTW** | Waste Water Treatment Works |
| **AFF** | Asset Financing Fund |
| **Opex** | Operation expenditure |
| **Capex** | Capital Expenditure |
| **MPAC** | Municipal Public Account Committee |
| **CIP** | Comprehensive Infrastructure Plan |

**(IV) OVERVIEW OF THE MUNICIPALITY**

Makana Local Municipality (Makana) is a category B Municipality approximately halfway between East London and Port Elizabeth that forms part of the seven local municipalities of the Sarah Baartman (formerly Cacadu) District Municipality in the Eastern Cape Province. Makana’s area is bordered in the north-east by Amathole District Municipality with the cities of Port Elizabeth 120km to the west and East London 180 km to east, north-west by Blue Crane Route Local Municipality, in the south by Ndlambe Local Municipality and in the south-west by the Sundays River Valley Local Municipality. In 2011 the Municipality was delimited into fourteen wards.

**Towns and villages of Makana Municipality:**

**Grahamstown:**

Makana Local Municipality is one of the seven (7) local municipalities in the Sarah Baartman District in the Eastern Cape. The main seat of the Municipality is in Makhanda. The municipality covers of an area 1690 square kilometres with a population of 82060 as per 2011 SA Statistics and 2016 SA customer survey indicate population growth increase of 2.1 % to 82060 and municipality cover an area of 4 375.62 square kilometres and constitute 7.5 of Sara Baartman District Municipality.

Ninety percent of the population lives in Makhanda. The importance of Makhanda as an economic Hub cannot be overemphasized. At the heart of Makana is the city of Makhanda, situated 55 kilometres from the coast. Makhanda is famous as one of the leading cultural, educational and tourist centres and hosts the National Arts Festival in South Africa for the last 43 year which is the International Event and the 3rd largest festival in the world. In 2017 performers from more than 33 countries participated in the festival. The Festival was also attended by many ambassadors from foreign countries. The festival occurs in almost every University hall, School halls, public halls, churches as well as in the various townships throughout Makhanda. The Festival attracts thousands of visitors onto the City. It is crucial that the municipality is able to ensure a good road network as well as important water, sewerage and electricity provision.

Makhanda is also the primary location of Rhodes University and other prominent and internationally acclaimed primary and high schools/ colleges are found in Makhanda.

Rhodes University with a population of approximately 7 000 students is the largest ratepayer. The University hosts many strategic faculties. These faculties cover a wide area. The University therefore requires a very capable and workable Infrastructure. Students and academics from all over the world are based on the campus.

Makhanda is also the seat of the High Court in the Eastern Cape. The judiciary is based here. The city also has to host legal teams from throughout the country, during high profile cases.

**Areas that constitutes Makana**

**Alicedale:**

Owing its existence to the development of the railways, the station at Alicedale is a vital link between the Makana region and the main railway line between Port Elizabeth and Johannesburg. There is a historical red face brick building that now houses the reception of the resort hotel- affectionately known as Bushman Sands (*Bushmans River Sands Hotel*). The hotel boasts an 18-hole golf course.

Alicedale attractions include the Bushman Sands Reserve, New Year's Dam and the Bushmans River. Alicedale is particularly scenic.

**Salem:**

The Methodist Church founded Salem in the mid-1820s. It is famous for its many fine buildings and also for the historic negotiations between Richard Gush and the Xhosa people during the War of Hintsa, when the Xhosa agreed to leave the village in peace. The name is of biblical origin ([Genesis 14:18](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Bible_(King_James)/Genesis#14:18)) and, proclaimed the Sunday after their arrival by the Reverend Shaw, means 'peace'; the local application refers to a reconciliation between sects. A church built from mud blocks and thatch was consecrated in 1824, and replace by a stone structure in 1832. The church often served as a refuge for women and children during the Frontier Wars. Several Settler House built in the Georgian style, have been preserved. In December 2017 the Constitutional Court upheld a land claim lodged in respect of the Salem Commonage by descendants of the black community that previously occupied the land.

**Riebeek east:**

The Dutch Reformed Church established the village of Riebeek East in 1830 on the farm Mooimeisiesfontein, the home of the famous Voortrekker leader Piet Retief. Riebeek East is now in the midst of a game and sheep farming area and offers many attractions and hiking trails through the surrounding diverse and beautiful hills.

Retief's farm house is situated just east of the village, and has been declared a [National Heritage Site](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_heritage_sites_(South_Africa)).  The nearest town is Makhanda which is 39km's to the east. Riebeeck East boasts a quaint guest house – Mooimeisies Guest House run by Neil and Cary Clark. The décor is an eclectic mix of items personally collected or made and this makes it quite unique.

**Seven fountains**:

This farmers’ community derived its name from seven springs located on different farms in the area. Set in a beautiful garden that is home to a 250 year old rose bush and a 130 year old orange tree, Seven Fountains Farm boasts century old buildings that have been converted to individually furnished double rooms and cottages. Seven Fountains Farm is a beautiful facility that sits halfway between Makhanda and the Indian Ocean built in 1717 by a Dutch settler, Jan Frederick Potgieter and is the oldest farm in the Eastern Cape. 300 years after it was built, Seven Fountains Farm is open as a guesthouse, lunchroom and activity venues. The buildings are set in a beautiful garden and look over the veld up to the mountains and the Assegai River.

It was originally a resting place for ox-wagons in the 18th century and came to be known as Zuurplaats by early Dutch settlers to the area. In 1820, abandoned loan-farms were re-allocated to newly arrived British Settlers. They erected the first Methodist church there in 1834 and it is still in use today even though a newer and larger church was built in 1929. Today the village of Seven Fountains comprises shops, a clinic, sports club and police station which serve the local, mainly farming, population. Go game viewing at nearby Pumba Private Game Reserve, Visit historic graveyards dating back to 1820, Book accommodation at Wolfe Lodge for a restful weekend break or visit the Assegai Lodge which was built in 1717 by Dutch settler, Jan Frederick Potgieter and learn about this wonderful period home where a 250 year old rosebush and 130 year old orange tree still survive.

**Fort brown AKA Hermanus Kraal:**

Established as a military post in 1817, the gun tower and adjoining walls of the fort, overlooking the Great Fish River and central to a number of major game reserves, is a national monument.  Hermanus Kraal was later renamed to Fort Brown after Lt Brown of the 75th Regiment who was in command during the war of 1835.

Fort Brown consisted of a group of buildings surrounded by a high stone wall. At the corner was a tower of about 3.5 meters for mounting a gun. Under the floor there was a power magazine and on the roof a cannon that could be swivelled round. After years of neglect this gun tower and a few walls are all that remained. It was declared a National Heritage Site in 1938.

**Sidbury 1820:**

Established in 1820 after which settler Lieutenant Richard Daniel of the Royal Navy built Sidbury Park in the 1830’s and also created the nearby village of Sidbury where war graves can still be found. Both are named after his native Sidbury in Devonshire. As part of fortifying the property, the barn was linked to the house by stone walls. Daniel was the first to import merino sheep into South Africa.

He provided land and funds for the building of a church in the village, the church (named St Peter's after the church in Sidbury, Devonshire) was built 1841 and was one the first ten Anglican churches in South Africa. The church predates the establishment of the [Diocese of Cape Town](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anglican_Diocese_of_Cape_Town). The church is a [heritage site](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_heritage_sites_in_Albany,_South_Africa) recognised by the [South African Heritage Resource Agency](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/South_African_Heritage_Resource_Agency). In the 1890s, the community of Sidbury started a cricket club which continues to the present despite its small population.

**Manley flats:**

Manley Flats is located on top of a small hill in the beautiful Manley Flats Valley just 20 km from Makhanda. Manley Flats is a railroad siding and is located in the Sarah Baartman District Municipality, Eastern Cape, South Africa. The estimate terrain elevation above sea level is 421 metres.

**Carlisle Bridge:**

Carlisle Bridge is a small farm area that is found 15.2 Km from Riebeek East and 37 km to Makhanda.


|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **(V)** | **MAKANA VISION AND MISSION STATEMENT** |

***“Makana Municipality strives to ensure sustainable, affordable, equitable and quality services in a just, friendly, secure and healthy environment, which promotes social and economic growth for all”.***

**CORE VALUES OF THE MUNICIPALITY:**

Makana Core Values

Map 1



**CHAPTER ONE: PREPARATION AND PLANNING PROCESS**

The Makana local municipality’s is undergoing its first review of the five year Integrated

Development Plan (2017-2021)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.1** | **BACKGROUND** |
|  |  |

The Municipal Systems Act (No. 32) of 2000 requires that Local Government Structures prepare Integrated Development Plans (IDPs). The IDP serves as a tool for the facilitation and management of development within the areas of jurisdiction. In conforming to the Act’s requirements Makana Municipality’s Council has delegated the authority to the Municipal Manager to prepare the IDP.

Makana Municipality’s commitment to developing a ***“Great Place to be”*** is driven with specific emphasis of translating the Municipality’s strategies into an Implementable Action Plan. The Municipality will be committed in ensuring that, its revised ***Developmental Priorities*** in the IDP 2017-22 are achieved.

**1.2 LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

This document represents the First review of Makana Municipality’s Integrated Development Plan (IDP) 2017 –2022). IDP’s are compiled in terms of the requirements of Chapter 5 of the Municipal Systems Act (MSA) (Act 32 of 2000), Section 25 of the MSA states inter-alia the following:

Each municipal council must, within a prescribed period after the start of its elected term, adopt a Single Inclusive and Strategic Plan for the development of the municipality which:

1. links, integrates and co-ordinate plans and takes into account proposals for the development of the municipality;
2. aligns the resources and capacity of the municipality with the implementation of the plan;
3. forms the policy framework and general basis on which annual budgets must be based;
4. complies with the provisions of the chapter; and
5. be compatible with National and Provincial Development Plans and planning requirements binding on the municipality in terms of legislation”.

The Annual Review and Amendments of Integrated Development Plan (IDP)

A municipal council:

a) must review its IDP

* 1. annually in accordance with an assessment of its performance measurements in terms of section 41 of the MSA,
  2. according to the extent that changing circumstances so demand; and

b) may amend its IDP in accordance with a prescribed process.

The status of an Integrated Development Plan is concerned with Section 35 of the MSA which states that an IDP adopted by municipal council: -

1. is the ***principal strategic planning instrument*** which guides and informs all planning and development issues in the municipality;
2. binds the municipality in the exercise of its executive authority, except to the extent of any inconsistency between a municipality’s integrated development plan and

National or Provincial legislation, in which case such legislation prevails; and

1. binds all other person to the extent that those parts of the integrated development plan impose duties or affect the rights of those people have been passed as a bylaw.

Section 36 of the MSA states that a municipality must

* + give effect to its Integrated Development Plan;
  + conduct its affairs in a manner which is consistent with its Integrated Development Plan.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.3** | **MAKANA FIVE YEAR INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN** |

The IDP document will represents the strategic plan of Makana Local Municipality that will guides and inform all planning and allocation of resources for the five year period, 2017-2022. It is informed by National and Provincial Government priorities.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.4** | **MANDATE AND GUIDING PARAMETERS** |

The Local Government Municipal Systems Act, 2000, introduced the process of municipal planning for municipalities and regulates the development of Integrated Development Plans

(IDPs). The plan is for 5yeas and must be reviewed annually.

The paradigm shift in developmental local government dictates that planning must become more ‘outcomes-driven’ and the priorities of government set the context for this to be realised. Accordingly, Government has formulated 12 Outcomes.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.4.1** | **SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT** |

The Millennium Development Goals (MDG) and targets stem from the Millennium Declaration by 189 Countries in 2000 to work towards achieving economic and social development priorities which were subsequently termed Millennium Development Goals. These have come to an end in 2015.

Seventeen sustainable development goals have been put together for the next fifteen years to 2030. South Africa is signatory to that agreement. The MDG’s have been embraced by Government and are aligned with the Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF), which outlines national government development priorities. The Makana Local Municipality will consider the 17 sustainable development goals in its development agenda.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.4.2** | **NATIONAL OUTCOMES** |

### *Table 1*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nat.**  **Outcome** | **National outcomes** | **Nat.**  **Outcome** | **National outcomes** |
| **NO1** | Quality basic education | **NO7** | Vibrant, equitable, sustainable rural communities contributing towards food security for all |
| **NO2** | A long and healthy life for all  SA | **NO8** | Sustainable human settlements and improvement quality of household life |
| **NO3** | All people in SA are and feel safe | **NO9** | Responsive, accountable, effective and efficient Local  Government Systems |
| **NO4** | Decent employment through inclusive economic growth | **NO10** | Protect and enhance our environmental assets and a better world |
| **NO5** | Skilled and capable workforce to support an inclusive growth path | **NO11** | Create a better SA, a better  Africa and a better world |
| **NO6** | An efficient, competitive and responsive economic infrastructure network | **NO12** | An efficient, effective and development oriented public service and an empowered, fair and inclusive citizenship. |

Ten (10) of which have been reshaped as provincial strategic priorities, as indicated below: - Each outcome has a number of measurable outputs with targets and in most cases the activities / results occur in a municipal space and involves all of the spheres of government.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.4.3** | **NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN** |

The National Development Plan sets out firm proposals to solve the country’s problems and to deepen the engagement of all South Africans from all walks of life in building the future. Focus on people’s capabilities is at the centre of the plan. These include education, skills, decent accommodation, nutrition, safe communities, social security, transport, and job opportunities.

The National Development Plan goal is to reduce poverty, inequality and unemployment by 2030. The MTSF priorities which are regarded as Government’s five year implementation phase of the NDP are informed by the NDP following intervention areas,

Those that affect the municipality:

* Economy and Employment
* Economic Infrastructure
* Environmental Sustainability and Resilience
* Inclusive Rural Economy
* Human Settlements and Spatial Transformation
* Social Protection

The National Development Plan informs the fourteen (14) Medium Term Strategic Framework priorities and is regarded as the five year implementation plan of the NDP. Provincial Development Plan – Vision 2030 The PDP principles in a nutshell include social economic and spatial justice; Gender Equality; Intergovernmental Equity; Citizens participation; promotes ethical, integrated multi-agent action; Public good; Respects evidence and critical deliberations and takes accountability seriously.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.4.4** | **PROVINCIAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN** |

The Eastern Cape Province, guided by the NDP has developed its own long term vision, a Provincial Development Plan. The PDP is aligned to the seven Provincial Strategic Priorities which are:

* Stimulating rural development, land reform and food security
* Better access to quality education
* Promote better healthcare for all our people
* Intensifying the fight against crime
* Integrated human settlements and
* Strengthening the developmental state and good governance
* Transformation of the economy to create jobs and sustainable livelihoods

The strategic priorities are aligned to the National Government’s Medium Term Strategic

Framework (2014 – 2019)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.4.5** | **DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT INTERVENTION PRIORITY ISSUES** |

Table 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SARAH BAARTMAN LONG TERM VISION STRATEGY** | | |
| **Economic Development** | **Human Development** | **Infrastructure** |
| Energy | Mass Employment Programmes | energy |
| Green Economy | Poverty Eradication Programme | Water |
| Agro-industry | Access to basic services | Irrigation and ago-logistics |
| Tourism | Skills and education | Transport |
| Creative industries |  | Bulk Infrastructure |
| Component supplies | WSA/WSP |
| Maritime |  |
| Key Enabler and Interventions Programmes | | |
| Institutional Transformation - Governance | | |
| IDP Process alignment, consultation & incorporation | | |

**Table 3**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES 2011/17** | **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES 2017/22** |
| Infrastructure Development | Basic Service and Infrastructure Development |
| Economic Development | Local Economic Development |
| Capacity Building and Support to Local Municipalities | Municipal Financial Viability and Management |
| Institutional Development | Municipal Transformation and Organizational  Development  Review the following functions:   * WSA/WSP * Environmental Health Service * Fire Services * With the intent of the District delivering the services directly |
| Community Services | Governance and Public Participation |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **M1.4.6 MAKANA DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES / AGENDA** |

The Makana Municipality has adopted the Local Government Strategic Agenda Priorities as the priorities that will achieve their respective visions. This is in line with aligning IDP and Budget for MSCOA (Municipal Standard Charter of Account) reporting and in response to the call to President and Premier to be visible and active champions of the National Development Plan with their offices being the catalytic agencies to drive implementation at Provincial and Municipal levels.

The five year IDP responds to the NDP, PDP, MTSF and Provincial Strategic Priorities by identifying the development priorities listed below for the Makana Municipality The combination of community planning with inter-spheres strategic planning will culminate in local initiatives becoming central to provincial and national government programs that will manifest in credible IDPs which are reflective of a developmental state.

**Table 4**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **NUMBER** | **2017-2022 DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES** |
| **Development**  **Priority No. One:** | Basic Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development |
| **Development**  **Priority No. Two:** | Community and Social Development |
| **Development**  **Priority No. Three** | Local Economic and Rural Development |
| **Development**  **Priority No. Four** | Institutional development and Financial Viability |
| **Development**  **Priority No. Five** | Good Governance and Public Participation |
| **Development**  **Priority No. Six:** | Human Settlement Management |

In order to ensure that Makana Municipality is empowered to deliver on a flow of priority services, this review process will endeavour to respond to the challenges being experienced at community level. It will align priorities by eliminating poor integration between the municipality and the programs of various sector services in the IDP. The table below is the list of six Development Priorities

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.4.7** | **DEVELOPMENT PLANNING STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK ALIGNMENT** |

Developments at both national and provincial level warranted a need to review the Eastern Cape Strategic priorities in order to respond to the policy environment and alignment to the National Outcomes. Development programs must be crafted to address the following renamed and reshaped provincial strategic priorities, as paired with the National Outcomes that are to be focused upon until the end of the 2014 term.

**Table 5:**

| **Medium Term Strategic**  **Framework** | **New Growth Path** | **Provincial Strategic**  **Priorities** | **District**  **Municipality** | **Makana LM & Local**  **Gov. KPA** | **Outcome** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2. Strengthen skills and human resource base. 8. Pursuing African advancement and enhanced international cooperation.  10. Building a developmental state including improvement of public services and strengthening democratic institutions. |  | **Strategic Priority 2:** Massive programme to build social and economic infrastructure.  **Strategic Priority 4:** Strengthen education skills and human  Resources base.  **Strategic Priority 8:** Building cohesive, caring and  sustainable communities | Municipal Transformation and  Organisation | Institutional  Development and  Financial Management | **Outcome 1:** Quality basic education. **Outcome 5:** Skilled and capable workforce to support an inclusive growth path. **Outcome 3:** All people in SA are and feel safe. **Outcome 9:** Responsive, accountable, effective and Efficient Local Government System.  **Outcome 12**: An efficient, effective and development oriented public service and an empowered fair and inclusive citizenship. |
| 5.Intensify the fight against crime and corruption; |  | **Strategic Priority 6:**  Intensifying the fight against crime & corruption; |  | Community and Social  Service | **Outcome 9:** Responsive, accountable, effective and efficient Local Government  System |
| Build cohesive, caring and sustainable communities.   1. Pursuing African advancement and enhanced international cooperation.   10, Building a developmental state including improvement of public services and strengthening democratic institutions | Job driver 4: investing in social, capital and public services | **Strategic Priority 6:** Intensify the fight against crime and corruption.  **Strategic priority 8:** Building cohesive and sustainable communities. | Good  Governance and Public Participation | Good Governance and  Public Participation | **Outcome 7:** Vibrant, equitable, sustainable rural communities contributing towards food security for all. **Outcome 9;** Responsive, accountable, effective and efficient Local Government System.  **Outcome 12:** An efficient, effective and development oriented public service and an empowered, fair and inclusive citizenship. **Outcome 11:** Create a better South Africa, better Africa and a better world. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Medium Term**  **Strategic**  **Framework** | **New Growth**  **Path** | | **Provincial Strategic**  **Priorities** | | **District**  **Municipality** | | **Makana LM & Local**  **Gov. KPA** | **Outcome** | |
| 1. Speeding up economic growth & transforming economy to create decent work and sustainable livelihoods;  4. Comprehensive rural development strategy linked to land and agrarian reform & food security. | Jobs driver 2: main 3:  he  economic sectors  Jobs driver  Seizing potential of new economies | | **Strategic Priority 1:**  Speeding up growth &  transforming the economy to create decent work & sustainable livelihoods; **Strategic Priority 3:** Rural development, land & agrarian reform and food security;  **Strategic Priority 2:**  Massive programme to build social & economic infrastructure.  **Strategic Priority 8:** Building cohesive & sustainable communities. | | Local Economic Development | | Local Economic  Development and Rural  Development | **Outcome 4:** Decent employment through inclusive economic growth.  **Outcome 6:** An efficient competitive and responsive economic infrastructure network.  **Outcome 7:** Vibrant, equitable, sustainable rural communities contributing towards food security for all.  **Outcome 8:** Sustainable human settlements and improve quality of household life.  **Outcome 10:** Protect and enhance our environmental assets and natural resources. | |
| 1. Improve health profile of the nation. 2. Comprehensive rural development strategy linked to land and agrarian reform & food security. 6. Massive programme to build economic & social infrastructure;   9. Sustainable resource management and use. | | Job driver 1 infrastructure  Jobs driver 5: spatial  development | | **Strategic Priority 5:** Improve the health profile of the province. **Strategic Priority 3:** Rural development, land and agrarian transformation, and food security.  **Strategic Priority 2:**  Massive programme to build social and economic and infrastructure. | | Basic Service and  Infrastructure  Development | Basic Service Delivery and  Infrastructure  Development. | | **Outcome 6:** An efficient, competitive and responsive economic infrastructure network.  A long and healthy life for all South Africans. **Outcome 8:** Sustainable human settlements and improve quality of household life.  **Outcome 10:** Protect and enhance our environmental assets and natural resources. |
|  | | | | | |  | | |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.4.8** | **STATE OF THE NATION AND PROVINCE ADDRESS** |

|  |
| --- |
| **NATIONAL ADDRESS** |
| During the President Ramaphosa’s second SONA he emphasised that whilst government is undertaking a broad range of critical work, it has five urgent tasks for the year ahead. Over the next 12 months, government will focus on accelerating inclusive economic growth and creating jobs, improving living conditions, fighting corruption and strengthening capacity. The task will underpin everything the country do this year.   1. Accelerating inclusive growth 2. ECD compulsory 3. Improving living condition 4. Conditions 5. Progress in SOEs 6. Strengthen capacity   Grapple, Strengthen, Plan. These works best define the essence of President Cyril Ramaphosa’s State of the Nation Address, which laid out strategies for tackling current challenges, for deepening the gains already made on many fronts and for plotting the nation’s course forward. President spoke about the state of the nation, a number of interesting figures emerges     * Investment and Job creation * R 12 Trillion –Government five year investment * R 3000 billion –the sum of investment pledge made at the inaugural South Africa Investment Conference * R 70 billion The flow of foreign direct investment * Small business Incubation programme * 51- The number of technology business incubators * 10- The number of enterprise supplier development * 14 –The number of rapid you incubators      * Operation Phakisa * R 30 billion, the sum of investments secured since 2014 * 7000- Direct jobs creation * R 3.8 billion- the expected investment in the sector over the next five years by government. The principle sector is expected to invest another R 65 billion * 100 000- The number of direct jobs to be created through Oceans Economy investments. Another 250 000 indirect jobs will be created * Infrastructure development * More than R 1.3 trillion- The amount spent building schools, two new universities, thousands of new housing and electrifying more than a million homes. * 100 billion- The amount government intendeds investing in the new infrastructure fund over 10 year period. * Housing Development * 500 000- The number of additional housing units the Housing Development Agency will construct in the next five years * 30 billion- The amount that will be provided to municipalities and provinces to enable them to fulfil their respective mandates * Social grants * 17.5 million – The number of monthly social grants paid * Local municipalities * 57 The number of municipalities that have been supported and stabilised * 10 000- The number of municipalities infrastructure projects being implemented      * Tourism * 10 million Tourists visited South African in the past year.21 million – target for international tourists by 2030, 2 million The number of jobs that the sector can create in food and agriculture, construction, transport, retails, and the creative and cultural industries by 2030 |

**1.4.9 PROVINCIAL BUDGET FRAMEWORK**

During the 2019 MTEF, the provincial government will keep to its promise of protecting expenditure on core essential social services such as access to education and better health for all, whilst enhancing own revenue, curbing expenditure on non-core and other forms of wasteful expenditure. Fiscal support for economic growth and jobs creation will receive added impetus in order to catalyse fixed investment and job creation in the province.

The province has taken signal from the national government and established a Provincial Economic Stimulus Package as one of the key priorities to boost the provincial economic growth, thus creating job opportunities especially for young people. This package focuses on agriculture value chains; ocean economy; energy; manufacturing; tourism; and infrastructure development projects. This is funded through 0.5 percent budget cut across the provincial departments. Department of Economic Development, Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEDEAT) will administer the fund as the driver of economic development in the province.

In short, t6he 2019 provincial budget strategy seeks to carve out an expenditure path that balances expenditure growth on core government services including education, health and social development whilst also availing additional resources through budget and programmes repriorisation for economic recovery and job creation.

Furthermore, own revenue generation is critical in the budget strategy given the reduction of the Provincial Equitable Share (PES) for funding key provincial priorities. In mitigation, the Provincial Treasury completed a research on further own revenue sources for government.

**THE PROVINCIAL FISCAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE 2019-20 FINANCIAL YEAR AT A GLANCE**

The Eastern Cape Provincial Government finances its budget through National Transfers (Provincial Equitable Share and Conditional Grants) and Provincial Won Receipts.

The 2019/20 financial year Provincial receipts consists of:

* Equitable Share of R68 824 billion which accounts for 83.6 % of the provincial receipts;
* Conditional Grants of R12 078 billion which accounts for 14.7%; and
* The Provincial Own Receipts of R1 453 billion which accounts for 1.8%

The province through Provincial treasury remains steadfast in maintaining fiscal discipline thus ensuring allocative efficacy and fiscal consolidation through maintaining expenditure ceilings, budget reprioritisation, curbing of the wage bill and other elements of wastage.

Ove the 2019 MTEF, the province has lost a total of R5 958 billion of national transfers. This resulted from the revised population data updates caused by outward migration and other variables, which mainly affected the Education and Health sector. In light of the tight fiscal framework and the reduced PES, the province continues to implement its budget strategy which amongst others focuses on fiscal consolidation, focusing mainly on controlling expenditu4re on non-core items; budget reprioritisation from non-core items to fund core service delivery items; expenditure ceilings; and controlling the wage bill.

**Own Revenue:**

Own revenue amounts to R1 453 billion in 2019/20 and R4 509 billion over the 2019 MTEF. Given the continued reduction of the PES, Own Revenue generation has become the great opportunity that the province has to ensure that the provincial priorities are funded, especially infrastructure investment that will have multiplier effects in respect of regional economic growth. In this regard the province continues to implement its Revenue Generation Strategy which commenced in 2017/18.

The strategy focuses on major revenue generating departments such as the Department of Transport, Health, Public Works, Economic Development, Environmental Affairs and Tourism as well as Rural Development and Agrarian Reform. This strategy was augmented with the research study to determine new sources of revenue at the above mentioned departments. These departments are working on implementation plans for 2019/20. Refer to Chapter 3 in the 2019/20 Estimates of Provincial Revenue and Expenditure for further details on the potential new sources of revenues.

Infrastructure allocations

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Infrastructure allocation 2019 MTEF** | **2019/20** | **2020/21** | **2021/22** |
| Transport | 2 220 228 | 2 079 142 | 2 167 893 |
| Human Settlement | 2 023 680 | 2 034 402 | 2 111 778 |
| Health | 1 656 655 | 1 506 016 | 1 477 289 |
| Education | 1 589 722 | 1 564 208 | 1 685 128 |
| Public works | 257 302 | 272 606 | 287 435 |
| Rural Development & Agrarian Reform | 190 314 | 150 853 | 159 209 |
| Office of the Premier | 173 726 | 94 469 | - |
| Social Development | 66 423 | 67 377 | 65 265 |
| Sport, Recreation, Arts & Culture | 60 447 | 57 347 | 59 698 |
| Economic Development, Environment Affairs and Tourism | 31 624 | - | - |
| Provincial Treasury | 14 000 | - | - |
| Cooperative Governance & Traditional Affairs | 7 681 | 10 962 | 11 370 |
| **Total Infrastructure Budget** | **8 291 801** | **7 837 381** | **8 025 065** |

**2019-20 MTEF Beneficiaries**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Allocation** | **Purpose** |
| **EDUCATION :** the largest beneficiary of the 2019 MTEF budget with R114 413 billion or 44.5% of the total provincial budget of which R36 328 billion is allocated for 2019/20 financial year. The allocation is to fund over the 2019 MTEF and 2019/20 the following amongst others | |
| School funding Norms and Standards. | Public Ordinary Schools – R6 866 billion over the MTEF to 5 265 qualifying schools in the province |
| **National Nutrition Programme (NSNP) –** | * R1 244 billion to benefit 5 051 schools in the province and 1 645 442 learners. |
| **Universalisation of Early Childhood Development (ECD)** | * R602.070 million for 2019/20 MTEF * 21 368million for transfers to qualifying Public Ordinary Schools to resource Grade R teaching and learning. |
| **The Post Provisioning Norms** | * 82.273 billion is set aside over the MTEF * 26 012 billion provision in 2019/20 financial year. |
| **Learner Attainment Improvement Strategy (LAIS)** | * improve matric results at the end of academic year. * It target various underperforming districts in the province (through the provisioning of extra classes during holidays and weekends). * 267.212million over MTEF period * 84. 320 million for 2019/20 to cover costs relating to learning/ study camps e.g. accommodation, catering activities and related operating costs, whilst students are in these camps. |
| **Rationalisation of schools and provisioning of school furniture** | * 741.294 million over the MTEF period for the procurement of new furniture and revamping of broken material at schools, of which R204.212 million is for 2019/20 |
| **Infrastructure Development** | * Increased by 262.954 million over the 2019 MTEF period to R4.834 billion * 1.585 billion for 2019/20 to assist the department in addressing infrastructural needs at schools. |
| **Teacher Development (Training and Bursaries)** | * 260.221 million in 2019/20 |
| **Learner Teacher Support Material (LTSM)590.998** | * 590.998 million in 2019/20 for the annual procurement of textbooks and stationery for all learners in Grades R to 12. |
| **Maths, Science and Technology (MST) Grant** | * 49.434 million to provide support and resources to schools, teachers and learners for the improvement in Maths, Science and Technology in selected schools. |
| **HIV and Aids (Life Skills Education)** | * 45.455million to support South Africa’s HIV prevention Strategy by increasing sexual and reproductive health knowledge amongst learners and teachers. |
| **External Examination** | * 354.561 million for exam marking costs, which necessitates operational requirements for marking and other personnel related expenditure. |
| **HEALTH: the department is mandated to provide and ensure accessible comprehensive integrated services in the Eastern Cape, emphasising the primary health care approach, optimally utilising all resources to enable all its present and future generations to enjoy health and quality of life.** | |
| **Comprehensive HIV/ AIDS and TB** | R2.397 |
| **Training and development** | R253.131 million for the training of and development of health professionals (nurses, doctors, registrars and bursary holders etc.) |
| **Tertiary and specialised Hospital Services** | 3.626 billion for Nelson Mandela Academic , Frere, Livingstone and Fort England Hospitals |
| **National Health laboratory Services** | 1.006 billion for blood testing (HIV, Diabetes, High Blood Pressure, Cancer etc.) |
| **Medical Supplies** | 724.844 million for blood products and medical implants |
| **Medicine** | 1.899 billion for medication |
| **Human Resource Capacitation** | 58.253 million for the provision of critical staff at health facilities |
|  | |
| **Human Settlement: the aim of this department is to facilitate and co-ordinate provision of quality, integrated and sustainable human settlements that offer Eastern Cape communities a better living environment.** | |
| **Rural interventions** | R889.724 million to facilitate access to housing opportunities in rural areas. |
| **Informal Settlement Development and Upgrading** | R353.726 million to address challenges of informal settlements and facilitating assessment of informal settlements form land ownership to number of households and living conditions. |
| **Titles Deeds Restorations** | R60.904 million for transfer title deeds to beneficiaries. Ensuring the promotion of home ownership and security of tenure. |
| **Social and Rental Interventions** | R88.222 million to facilitate and monitor the implementation of social housing units. |
|  | |
| **Safety & Liaison: the department is mandated to promote safer communities through civilian oversight of the police and community participation. R105.499 million 2019/20** | |
| **Monitoring and Evaluation** | R 4.103 million for monitoring and evaluating the police performance and conduct, and assessment of SAPS provincial components |
| **Safety Promotion** | R 36.498 million to fund the monitoring of 196 police stations of which 137 are to be evaluated utilising unannounced monitoring tools. |
|  | |
| **Social Development: the department aims to transform our society by building conscious and capable citizens through the provision of integrated developmental social services with families at the core of social change. R 3.020 billion for 2019/20** | |
| **Services to Older Persons** | R 87.990million for Old Age Homes, Welfare Organisations and Service Centres |
| **Services to persons with Disabilities** | R 33.638 million to fund persons with disabilities who will be accessing Community Based Rehabilitation Services and persons with disabilities accessing services in funded protective workshops. |
| **Early childhood Development (ECD) and Partial Care** | R 298.953 million to fund children to access registered ECD programs. The number of days funded for ECD centres will increase from 237 to 264 |
| **Youth Development** | R 3.366 million to fund youth to participate in skills development programmes |
| **Women Empowerment** | R 3.639 million to fund women livelihood initiatives supported. |
| **Poverty Alleviation and Sustainable Livelihoods** | R 15.023 million to fund people accessing ford security and nutrition initiatives |
| **Sanitary Dignity Project** | R 22.815 million which is aimed at providing sanitary products to female learners I grade 4 to grade 12 in quintile 1 to quintile 3 schools |
|  | |
| **Sport, Recreation, Art & Culture:** the aim of the department is to develop and promote Sport, Recreation, Art and Culture for spiritual, intellectual, physical and material upliftment of the people of the Eastern Cape. R 3.095 Billion 2019/20 MTEF | |
| **Cultural Affairs** | 222.934 million which includes among others, hosting or arts and culture festivals, national significant days and commemoration partnership projects. |
| **Library and Archives Services** | R 257.268 million that includes among others building of library infrastructure and digitisation of archives. |
| **Sport and Recreation** | R 176.845 million that includes among others hosting of major sport tourism events and school sport competitions. |
| **Transport:** the aim of this department is to provide, facilitate, develop, regulate and enhance safe, affordable and reliable multi-modal transport system which is integrated with land uses to ensure improving levels of accessibility and optimal mobility of people and goods in support of socio-economic growth and development in the province of the Eastern Cape. R 4.974 Billion in 2019/20 financial year. | |
| Provincial Roads and Maintenance grant | R 1.483 billion 2019/20 to supplement the provincial investment on road infrastructure maintenance inclusive of those effected by disasters. |
| **Subsidies Bus Services** | R 532.865 Billion in 2019/20 Financial Year to ensure that people in the most rural areas have access to affordable bus services. The empowerment of the public transport industry will be continued concurrently with the review of the Provincial Integrated Public Transport Master Plan. |
| **Scholar Transport Project** | R 570449 million to transport 81000 qualifying learners to the nearest public schools. Priority will continue to be given to those qualifying learners that come from deep rural communities. |
| **Traffic Law Enforcement** | R 352.686 million in order to maximise traffic control and law enforcement on the roads. |
| **Community Development** | R 584.109 million for the creation of job opportunities mainly through the monitoring and coordination of SMME development within infrastructure projects through the Contractor Development Programmes and on the job training of youth through the National Youth Services Programme. |
|  | |
| **Rural Development & Agrarian Reform: R 2.340 billion 2019/20** | |
| Food Security (Crop & livestock production) | 175.473 million |
| Veterinary Services | 290.138 million |
| Agricultural Infrastructure (livestock & crop production) | 190.314 million |
| **Cooperative Governance &Traditional Affairs R1.009 billion** | |
| Municipal Support | R 243.280 million |
| Support on Traditional Leaders | R 342.309 million |
| Traditional Council | R 5.6 million |
| **Provincial Treasury R 440.930 million** | |
| Sustainable Resources Management | R 79. 391 million |
| Asset and Liabilities Management | R 89. 281 million |
| Municipal Accounting and Reporting | R 76. 844 million |
| **Public Works R 2.365 billion** | |
| Development of skilled and capable workforce to support the strategic goals of the department | R887.102 million |
| Public Works Infrastructure | R 1.665 billion |
| Expanded Public Works Programme | R 120.758 |
| **Economic Development, Environment Affairs and Tourism R 4 581 billion** | |
| Support and strengthening of the environment and conservation function | R 336. 060 million |
| Effective Business Regulations | R 58. 710 million |
| LRED Fund | R 20 million |
| EPWP | R 2. 670 million |
| Provincial Economic Stimulus Package | R 339. 402 million |
| **Office of the Premier R 973.083 million** | |
| Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation | R 38. 252 million |
| Human Resource Development Support | R 70.157 million |
| Provincial ICT | R 84.509 million |
| Intervention Projects | R 213 million |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.5** | **PROCESS PLAN (2018/19 CYCLE)** |

Council formulated and adopted an IDP Process Plan in August 2018 to serve as guide in preparation for the review of the Integrated Development Plan. In brief the IDP Process Plan outlines the time frames of scheduled events / activities, and co-ordinate structures involved in the processes.

The Integrated Development Planning (IDP) Process is a process through which Municipalities prepare ***strategic development plans*** for a five year period. An IDP is one of the key tools for Local Government to cope with its developmental role and seek to arrive at decisions on issues such as municipal budget, land management, promotion of local economic development and institutional transformation in a consultative manner.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.5.1** | **OBJECTIVE OF THE IDP REVIEW PROCESS PLAN** |

Makana Municipality wants to continue to develop its IDP as an effective management tool for the municipality, this includes:

* Creating a greater level of focus and thereby improving on the strategic nature of the document.
* Aligning this strategic document with the realities of the resources available i.e. financial and human,
* Alignment of the IDP with the activities of the Sector Departments and other service providers (vice versa) and
* Alignment of the IDP with the various sector plans.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.5.2** | **APPROACH TO THE 2018/19 IDP REVIEW** |

* Strategic review of the refining of strategies of 2018/2019
* Review Community Based Plans
* Sector Alignment
* IDP –Budget Alignment
* Community Engagement (Public Participation) for approval and final
* Review of status of the sector plans
* Compliance with MSCOA
* MEC Comments

**1.5.1 MEC COMMENTS 2018/19**

**Table 6**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **KPA** | **RATING 2013/14** | **RATING 2014/15** | **RATING 2015/16** | **RATING 2016/17** |
| **Spatial Planning, Human settlement** | **High** | **High** | **High** | **High** |
| **Service Delivery** | **Medium** | **High** | **High** | **Medium** |
| **Financial Viability** | **Medium** | **Medium** | **Medium** | **Medium** |
| **Local Economic Development** | **High** | **High** | **High** | **High** |
| **Good Governance & Public**  **Participation** | **High** | **Medium** | **High** | **High** |
| **Institutional Arrangements** | **Medium** | **Medium** | **Medium** | **High** |
| **Overall Rating** | **Medium** | **High** | **high** | **High** |

**Table 7**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **KEY MEC COMMENTS ACTION PLAN** | | |
| **KPA** | **2016/17 ASSESSMENT** | **RESPONSE/ACTION/PLANNED** |
| **Spatial Planning, Human settlement** | SDF (Spatial Development Framework) | Municipality has been having challenges on funding to reviews its SDF, however the municipality has secured funding for 2018/19 for the review from MISA *(Municipal Infrastructure Support Agent)* |
| Land audit | It has been developed but awaiting council adoption |
| **SPLUMA (Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act)** Implementation | The nomination of the members of the MPT was advertised but there were no responses.  The municipality needs to re-advertise |
| **Local Economic Development** | Reflection of Demographics in the socio-economic analysis | IDP has been revised to include information under LED and Rural Development |
|  | Review of LED Strategy | LED Strategy will be prioritised in the 2018/19 financial year |
| **Financial Viability and Management** | No Financial Plan | Development of Financial Plan will be prioritised in the 2018/19 financial year |
| **Good Governance and Public Participation** | Integrated Service Delivery Model | Municipality prioritise implementation of ISDM*(Integrated Service Delivery Model)* across the municipality as currently is working in the two wards |
| **Service Delivery and Infrastructure** | Three year Road Capital Plan | Municipality will be developing a three year Road Capital Plan in 2018/19 financial year |
| **Institutional Arrangement** | Mechanisms to management of satellites offices | Municipality is in process of reviewing its structure to ensure roles and responsibility are well define |

**1.5.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES - INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL**

### Table 8

| **ROLE PLAYERS** |  | **ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Council** | - | Final decision making | | |
|  | - | Approval of the reviewed IDP document | | |
| **Ward Councillor** | - | Linking the IDP process with their constituencies. | | |
|  | - | Organizing public participation at Ward level. | | |
| **Mayor** | -  - | Assign responsibilities to the MM.  Submit the IDP Process Plan to Council for approval. | | |
|  | - | Chair the IDP Representative Forum.  Chair the IDP Steering Committee | | |
| **Municipal Manager** | -  - | | Prepare IDP (Integrated Development Plan) process plan.  Ensures that timeframes are adhered to. | |
|  | - | | Decide on and monitor IDP process. | |
|  | - | | Overall management and co-ordination. | |
| **Chief financial Officer** | -  - | | Prepare Budget  Advise on availability of financial resources | |
|  | - | | Alignment of IDP and Budget | |
|  | - | | Input on the Development of SDBIP *(Service Delivery & Budget Implementation Plant)* | |
| **Mayoral Committee** | - | | Helps to harness common understanding between political and administrative components before the IDP reports are considered at Council meeting. | |
|  | - | | Makes recommendations to the IDP Representative Forum. | |
| **IDP Manager** | -  - | | Day to day management of the IDP process.  Co-ordinate technical or sector expertise. | |
|  | - | | Co-ordinate Sector plan inputs | |
|  | - | | Prepare draft progress reports. | |
| **IDP Steering Committee** | -  - | | Assist and support the Municipal Manager/IDP Manager and IDP Representative Forum.  Information “GAP” identification.  Oversee the alignment of the planning process internally with those of the Local Municipality areas. Portfolio head to lead related Portfolio matters | |
| **IDP Co-ordinator** | - | | Monitor the Process plan progress | |
|  | - | | Plan Public Participation Engagement | |
|  | - | | Alignment of IDP and Budget | |
|  | - | | Plan stakeholder Engagement | |
|  | - | | Integration with Sector departments | |
| **The District Council** | -  -  - | | Co-ordination role with all Local Municipalities within the District.  Ensuring horizontal and vertical alignment of the IDPs of the Municipalities in the District Council area. Facilitation of vertical alignment of IDPs with other spheres of Government and Sector Departments. | |
|  | - | | Provide events for joint strategy workshops with Local municipalities, Provincial and National Role Players and other subject matter specialist. | |
| **Ward Committees** |  To be consulted on an individual or collective basis to establish community needs and reach consensus on IDP Projects/ Initiatives and Priorities. | | |
| **IDP Representative Forum** |  Representing public interest and contributing knowledge and ideas. | | |
| **Government Departments,**  **Parastatals and NGO’s** | * Provide data and information. * Budget information * Alignment of budget with the IDP * Provide professional and technical support. * To assist in facilitating the Community Based Planning (CBP) | | |
| **Planning Expert and Sarah Baartman District Municipality** | Methodology guidance and professional support in:   * Strategic and Town Planning * Sector Plan Inputs * IDP Document preparation. * Alignment with National, Provincial and SBDM | | |

#### 1.5.5 MECHANISM FOR COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER PARTICIPATION *Table 9*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PHASE** | **PARTICIPATION MECHANISMS** |
| **Analysis** | Community Based Planning (Mayoral Imbizo) |
| IDP Representative Forum (Community Stakeholder engagement) |
| **PHASE** | **PARTICIPATION MECHANISMS** |
| **Strategies and Objectives** | District Level Strategy workshops |
| Strategic Planning Session |
| **Project Proposals** | IDP Representative Forum Meeting |
| Internal IDP/ Budget Alignment |
| **Integration** | Internal IDP/ Budget Alignment |
| **Approval** | Mayoral Imbizo’s Community Engagement on budget |
| Opportunity for comments from residents and stakeholder organizations |

**1.5.6 MECHANISM FOR ALIGNMENT**

##### Table10

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SPHERE** | **DEVELOPMENT**  **PLANNING**  **INSTRUMENT** | **RESOURCE ALLOCATION** | **ANNUAL CYCLE** | **REVIEW**  **CYCLE** |
| **National** | Medium Term  Strategic Framework  (MTSF) | National Budget: Medium  Term Expenditure  Framework (3 years) | 1 April – 31  March | **July – Sept** |
| **Provincial** | Provincial Growth and  Development  Strategies | Medium Term Expenditure  Framework (3 years) | 1 April – 31  March | **July – Sept** |
| **Sector** | Strategic Plans for sector departments | MTREF (3 years) | 1 April – 31  March | **July – Sept** |
| **Local Municipality** | Integrated  Development Plan | Municipal Budget  (5 year plan) | 1 July -30 June | **Sept – Feb** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.5.7** | **REVISED TIME SCHEDULE OF KEY DEADLINES FOR 2018/2019** |

### Table 11

| **ORIGINAL COMPLETION DATE** | **ACTIVITY** | | **TIME FRAMES** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IDP and Budget** |  Preparation of an IDP / Budget Timetable | | August 2018 |
|  Co-ordination role of the budget process through preparation and completion of the process plan | | September 2018 |
| * Tabling of the Timelines to Council * Submission of the Timelines to Provincial Treasury * Beginning of Annual Report Preparation   Process.   * Submission of the Budget Checklist * Preparation of the budget related Policies * Review of IDP and Budget processes and develop improvements. | | October 2018 |
| **IDP/Budget** | * Determine the funding/ revenue projections for the next three years. * CFO to liaise with Council regarding the strategic objectives for service delivery and development for the next three years * The Executive Mayor to determine the strategic objectives for service delivery and development for the next three years (IDP reviews) * A budget workshop will be held to clarify budgetary requirements and discussing the budget tool. | | November 2018 |
| **Budget** | * Preparation of the draft budget by the various departments. * Directors to meet with their HOD’s and any relevant staff members to discuss draft capital / operational budget to ensure that anticipated expenditure meets parameters set out by National / Provincial Government. | | November 2018 |
| **Budget** |  Budget plans, capital / operational budget and all related items to have been consolidated (based on the budget inputs submitted by Departments). | | December 2018/ January 2019 |
| Budget and PMS | Mid-Year Budget and Performance Assessment visits |  | January 2019 |

**1.5.8 REVISED TIME TABLE:**

**Table 12**

| **PHASE** | **ACTION** | **PURPOSE** | **RESPONSIBILITY** | **ACTIVITY/ SUBMISSION**  **DATE** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SITUATIONAL ANALYSIS** | IDP Institutional  Analysis | Review IDP  Document Key  Performance Areas | Directors | 6 February 2019 |
| Directorates Strategic  Planning sessions | Review Directorate  Strategies | Directors | February 2019 |
| Institutional Strategic  Planning Session | Review Institutional  Strategies | EM, all  Councillor’s MM,  Directors | February 2019 |
| Tabling of Draft IDP 2018/19 by Mayor to Council | Adoption by  Council | Executive Mayor | 28 March 2019 |
| Advertising of Draft  IDP 2018/19 | Invite inputs and comment on IDP and Budget. Uploading of draft document to Website and | IDP Manager | March to April 2019 |
| Presentation of Draft IDP/ Budget document to extend Senior Management team | Review | MM and  Directors | April 2019 |
| IDP/Budget Road  Show | Consultation | MM and  Directors | April to May 2019 |
| Presentation of Draft IDP/Budget Document  to IDP Steering Committee | Consultation | MM and  Directors | May 2019 |
| **Approval** | Presentation of Draft IDP/Budget Document to IDP Representative  Forum | Consultation | MM and  Directors | May 2019 |
| Presentation of Final  IDP/Budget Document  Council | Approval | MM and  Directors | May 2019 |

**1.6 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION STRATEGY AND PROCEDURE**


**1.6.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION STRATEGY**

Our public participation strategy is based on the definition of a Municipality as contained in Section 2 of the Municipal Systems Act that states that a municipality consists of:


* The political structures of the municipality (Council).
* The administration of the municipality.
* The community of the municipality.

A municipality functions in its area in accordance with the political, statutory and other relationships between its political structures, political office bearers, administration and its community. This relationship can be depicted as follows:


**FIGURE 8: COMPOSITION OF A MUNICIPALITY**



**COUNCIL**



**ADMINISTRATION**



**COMMUNITY**

Our public participation mechanisms comprise;

* Sector engagements
* Ward Public meetings
* IDP Representative Forums
* Ward Committee Meetings

The objective of the public participation process to solicit inputs for the 2017/2022 IDP review. The

Municipality established the following mechanisms to facilitate public participation;

**1.6.2 STAKEHOLDER INPUT AND COMMUNITY BASED PLANNING**:

The IDP is about determining the stakeholder and community needs and priorities which need to be addressed in order to contribute to the improvement of the quality of life of residents within the Municipal Area. Various stakeholders and sector departments were involved during the draft IDP development process and this process comprises 13 ward meetings and 1 IDP sector meeting.

The IDP public meetings are conducted to ensure that people are included in the planning and to assist the Municipality to achieve its long-term development objectives. It will also guide the ward in what it will do to take forward its own developmental programme with support from all role-players. The Municipality has developed 14 Ward Development Plans through public meetings that were held in all 14 wards between 1st September and 31 December 2015. The purpose of the Ward-based IDP meetings was for the ward priorities to be included in the new IDP for 2017 -22.

All wards have produced a ward development plan which informs the Municipality of the priorities of each ward. Ward Development Plans include needs identification, and plans to address priorities, support needed from the Municipality and other stakeholders involved in the IDP process. The Municipality has also partnered and conducted different engagements that have been introduced in the province such as Provincial District assessment, SBDM IDP Representative Forums and District IDP Managers Forum. The IDP Representative contributes in finding solutions by reaching agreements with sector departments on enhancing service by addressing community issues that are specific- sector related. IDP priorities have been derived from the priorities from the wards, as have many elements of the plans, as well as IDP projects.

**1.6.3 COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDERS ENGAGEMENTS**

Intergovernmental Relation forum was held on the 17 April 2018, draft IDP 2018/19 was table to s to inform the government department about the revised objective strategies and to gain input into the IDP representative forum was held on the 29 May 2018 to stakeholder on the Draft IDP to gain more inputs.

The Draft IDP 2018/19 was adopted by Council on the 26th March 2018. An advert was published in the Grocott’s mail on the 27th March 2018 to invited comments and inputs from the public for period of 21 days from the 27th to the 18th April 2018. Copies of the Draft IDP were distributed to all municipal building, libraries in Grahamstown including Alicedale and Riebeeck East. Not formal comments were received except during the IDP Mayoral Imbizo and IDP/Budget roads shows;

**1.6.4 SECTOR ENGAGEMENTS**

In a bid to enhance the quality our public participation outcomes, we divided the Municipal Area (Community Circle in figure above) into 14 sectors and have commenced with individual sector engagements with registered stakeholders in each sector.

Sector engagements take the form of on-going two way dialogues between and amongst sector representatives and the Municipality and are proving to be very effective as they focus on issues as well as ways and means of resolving issues jointly. They also enable the Municipality to solicit more detailed inputs than it is possible to do in public meetings.

**1.6.5 WARD PUBLIC MEETINGS**

In terms of the approved Time Schedule of Key Deadlines, two series of Ward Public Meetings are convened as part of the IDP revision / budget process. The first series of meetings were held in November 2017 and was aimed at the review and re-prioritisation of needs by the communities the IDP Mayoral Imbizo Consultation.

The meetings were scheduled as follows:

**IDP MAYORAL IMBIZO AND IDP BUDGET ROAD SHOWS**

**Table 13**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **AREAS** | **DATE** | **VENUE** | **TIME** |
| WARD 13 Hope Fountain Farm | 16/10/2018 | Broughton Farm School | 17H30 |
| WARD 3 & 5 EXT 9 & 10 | 19/10/2018 | Extension 9 Hall | 17H30 |
| WARD 10 Fingo & Tantyi | 18/10/2018 | B.B. Zondani Hall | 17H30 |
| WARD 7 Hlalani & Eluxolweni | 22/10/2018 | Foley’s Ground (Multi- purpose Hall) | 17H30 |
| WARD 1 Fort Brown | 24/11/2017 | Fort Brown Community Hall | 17H30 |

In terms of the approved Time Schedule of Key Deadlines, the IDP Mayoral Imbizo where held as detailed in the above table, second series of meetings would be held in April 2018 aimed at presenting to communities the IDP Development priorities for 2018/2019 and Budget for 2018/2019. These meetings will provide opportunity to the Makana Community to comment on the Draft IDP/ Budget Revision. These meetings generally follow the tabling of draft IDP and Budget so that the municipality can have opportunity to interact with the communities before making their final recommendation to Council.

**Table 14: IDP BUDGET ROAD SHOWS**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DAY** | **WARD** | **VENUE** | **DATE** | **Time** |
| **1** | 2 | Noluthando Hall | 18/04/2018 | 17h30 |
| **2** | 3 | Mayfield (empty space centre of all arears/tent) | 19/04/2018 | 17h30 |
| **3** | 7&10 | Tantyi hall | 23/04/2018 | 17h30 |
| **4** | 14 | Alicedale | 24/04/2018 | 17h30 |
| **5** | 1 | Fort Brown Community Hall | 25/04/2018 | 17h30 |
| **6** | 13 | Broughton Farm School | 26/04/2018 | 17h30 |
| **7** | 1 | Alfred Dike – Kota hall | 02/05/2018 | 17h30 |

**1.6.3 IDP REPRESENTATIVE FORUM**

Municipal Council approved the establishment of an IDP Representative Forum in terms of Section 15 of the Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations. The IDP Representative Forum comprises the following:

* Ward Committee Representatives from each Ward;
* Representative from the Sarah Baartman District Municipality;
* The Executive Mayor, Speaker, Portfolio Chairpersons,
* All ward Councillors
* All Senior Managers
* Sector Representatives
* CDWs

Two series of IDP Representative Forums were convened, first ones in August 2017 presented to stakeholders with process plan to review IDP and Budget. Second IDP forum was held in 29th May 2018 to present Draft IDP to allow stakeholder an opportunity to comment on the Draft IDP Revision, Budget.

# CHAPTER TWO: SITUATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1** | **SPATIAL PLANNING, LAND AND HUMAN SETTLEMENT** |


**2.1.1 Spatial Overview and Geographic Description:**

The Makana Municipal area is a Category B Local Municipality and falls within the Sarah Baartman District Municipality in the Eastern Cape Province. The Municipality’s area is bordered on the North-east by Amathole District Municipality, North-west by Blue Crane Route, the South by Ndlambe Municipalities and the South-west by Sunday’s River Valley.

Based on the Stats SA 2016, the population figure is 82 060. In 2011 Makana was delimited into fourteen Wards, as depicted in Map. 3 and the municipal area cover an area of 4375.62km the largest concentration of people is found in Grahamstown. Makana Local Municipality covers an area of 4,375.62 km2 and constitutes 7.5% of the Sarah Baartman District Municipality. It consists of the following characteristics:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Population | Approx. 85 000 |
| Size | Approx. 4379 km² |
| Households | Approx. 22 000 (excluding informal structures and backyard shacks) |
| Major Towns | Greater Grahamstown, Alicedale and Riebeeck East |

### *Map 4: Statistic SA 2016*



**2.1.2 LOCATION OF MAKANA WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF SARAH BAARTMAN DISTRICT**

**MUNICIPALITY**

The Sarah Baartman District Municipality (SBDM), is the largest (58 243 km²) of the six (6) District Municipalities in the Eastern Cape Province, as shown on **Map1** below.




**Map2: Eastern Cape Source: Municipal Demarcation Board, 2011**

The District is situated in the western portion of the province, bordering the Western Cape, Northern Cape, Chris Hani and Amathole District Municipalities in the Eastern Cape. Nine local municipalities have been established within the jurisdiction of Sarah Baartman DM, as reflected on Map below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.3** | **SPATIAL LOCATION OF SARAH BAARTMAN DISTRICT MUNICIPAL AREA** |

Makana Municipality falls within the Sarah Baartman District Municipal area. The Municipality’s area is bordered on the North-east by Amathole District Municipality, North-west by Blue Crane Route, the South by Ndlambe Municipalities and the South-west by Sunday River Valley. ².

### *Map3: Spatial Location*



**Source: Sarah Baartman District Municipality**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.4** | **EASTERN CAPE PROVINCIAL SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (ECPSDP)** |

The Department of Corporative Governance and Traditional Affairs reviewed the Eastern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Plan (ECPSDP) in 2010. The Review underscores the clustering of opportunities in nodes and development corridors to achieve areas of shared impact.

It also takes into account the environmental potential, and characteristics, economic attributes, migration trends and the impact of climate change on development. The impact of these factors will influence the development trends in Makana taking into account the current Spatial Development Framework (SDF) review.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.5** | **LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE SDF** |

There is a need for Makana Municipality to develop a new Spatial Development Framework (SDF) for its area of jurisdiction in line with the Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act of 2013. The Municipality is presently faced with a variety of outdated legislation and policies dealing with Spatial Planning and Land Use Management to redress fragmented, scattered, past spatial imbalances, imbalances due to the nature of the municipality.

The MSA (Municipal System Act) requires that each municipality must prepare and IDP including a Spatial Development Framework. In terms of Chapter 5 Section 26 (e), this Framework must include basic guidelines for a Land Use Management System that will apply to the whole municipality.

**2.1.6 SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK**

SDFs are frameworks that seek to influence the overall spatial distribution of current and future land use within a municipality in order to give effect to the vision, goals and objectives of the municipal Integrated Development Plan (IDP). Makana Spatial Development Framework Plan (SDF) was last revised in 2013 and will require a suitably qualified Town Planning Consultancy Firm to undertake a review of the municipality’s Spatial Development Framework Plan (SDF). Municipality has secured funding from MISA to review its Spatial Development Framework in the 2018/19 financial year and the process is already underway.

While SDFs along with sector plans are integral to a complete IDP, it should be noted that SDFs are also integrative instruments of municipal management. In this commonality some confusion has risen regarding the respective roles and content of SDFs and IDPs. The essential distinction lies in the focus of SDFs on spatial considerations while IDPs deal with the full scope of municipal management including budgeting, institutional resourcing, etc. An SDF has a longer time horizon than an IDP and therefore the SDF should provide the long-term spatial context for the IDP. The SDF is more than the spatial representation of the sector plans of IDP, it needs to set the spatial strategy. The key spatial plan of the SDF and the spatial perspective as well as the short term (5 year) spatial priorities should be incorporated in the IDP as the SDF sector plan.


Local municipalities have the functions and powers referred to in sections 156 and 229 of the Constitution which include municipal planning, municipal infrastructure and municipal facilities, and municipal fiscal powers and functions but excluding those functions and powers vested in the District municipality in whose area they are located. Municipal planning includes all spatial planning and development control within their respective municipal boundary. Part E of SPLUMA sets out the preparation and content requirements of MSDFs.

At the moment the absence of a wall to wall spatial plan to guide urban development is impacting negatively in redressing spatial imbalances and the outdated policies on the economic, land development discouraging growth and investment as the policies do not respond to the current development trends.

The geographical area of Makana is predominantly rural. The vast majority of the people live in a rural or peri-urban environment, which for most part is unplanned and poorly serviced. All spatial planning must take cognizance of this population and contribute to the improvement of their living conditions. As a result, the spatial structure of the municipality reflects land use fragmentation, separation and inadequate access to services and urban opportunities by the poor.

Any intervention in terms of spatial development should facilitate effective and efficient service delivery, and unlock opportunities for economic development in the underdeveloped areas.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| At the municipal level Integrated Development Plans, which include budget projections, financial and sector plans, are set every five years correlating with political terms of office in local government. SPLUMA, however, recognizes the need for a ‘longer term spatial development vision’ (i.e. ten to twenty years) which can be adopted by the different municipal sectors to create long-term sector strategies in successive IDPs. This approach identifies sector plans that coincide with political terms of office so that each elected council can develop the part of the vision they champion while still maintaining consistency in their achieving overall objectives. The SDF, as a long-term vision, should be reviewed every five years to examine whether the context and priorities remain the same. The SDF may be rewritten in the case of a change of context is found at the time of review (Source: DRD&LR SDF guidelines 2014). |  |



Base MAP 2013

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.1.7** | **CURRENT SPATIAL CHARACTERISTICS** | |
|  | |
| **2.1.7.1** | **ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW** | |

This Environmental profile provides an overview of the environmental characteristics of the Makana area. Development associated with primary activities such as mining and agriculture, as well as tertiary activity such as tourism is often based on an area’s physical attributes.

The environmental profile will thus feed into the developmental potential that can be based on Makana’s inherent physical characteristics. The environmental characteristics of Makana are discussed under the following sub-sections.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.7.2** | **CLIMATE** |

The Southern portion of the municipality has the highest rainfall. The majority of the municipality is classified as semi-arid. Makana lies in a subtropical climatic zone, meaning that the area is characterized by warm summers and cool winters and fairly evenly distributed rainfall throughout the year.

The Grahamstown area experiences moderate weather conditions. Rain falls throughout the year with mean precipitation averaging 680mm. Summer temperatures (January) vary from an average maximum of 26’C to a minimum of 15’C. In winter (July) temperatures vary from an average maximum of 18’C to an average minimum of 4’C. The prevailing wind direction is from the west and southwest.

In Alicedale, rainfall is approximately 555mm per year. Temperature Alicedale range from 40’C to 15’C in summer and 18’C to -8’C in the winter months.

Rainfall in Riebeeck East area is approximately 865mm per year, with identical average winter and summer temperatures to those experienced Grahamstown.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.7.3** | **CLIMATE CHANGE** |

Climate change is defined as a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability over comparable time periods. ***(United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change)***

The manifestation of climate change in the Eastern Cape is expected to be:

* High temperature increases towards the North -West Interior with lowest increases along the coast.
* A drying trend towards the South and South -West.
* Increased precipitation more likely towards the east of the Province.
* Sea level rise scenario’s ranging from 2m to 6,5m depending on exposure.
* Risk assessment, adaptation and mitigation measures addressed in SBDM and Eastern Cape Climate Change Response Strategy (DEDEAT, 2011).

No spatial demarcation has been made of the high risk areas:

* Lower rainfall in the South and South-West and higher rainfall to the east.
* Water shortages
* Lower food production
* Reduced tourism
* Increased fire risk

**(SDF 2013-Map 2 Rainfall pg9)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.7.4** | **GEOLOGY AND SOIL** |

From a municipal wide perspective there are no unfavourable geotechnical conditions that will prevent development or require specific safety considerations. The necessary on-site investigations should be undertaken to ensure that the site specific circumstances are suitable for the intended development. **(SDF 2013-Map 3 Rainfall pg10)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.9.1.4** | **TOPOGRAPHY** |

The eastern part of the municipality can be classified as lowlands, with contours generally lying below 300m above sea level. The western part of the locality in which Alicedale is situated can be classified as being of a moderate elevation, lying between 300m and 600m above sea level. The central part of the municipality that contains Grahamstown and Riebeek East has the highest elevation of between 600m and 900m above sea level. Grahamstown is situated in a valley that cuts into a plateau.

The highest point on the plateau is 770m above sea level and the lowest point in the valley is 490m above sea level. Alicedale is located about 360m above sea level in a flood plain created by the confluence of the Bushman’s river and the New Year’s river. Riebeek East is located 630m above sea level. **(SDF 2013-Map 4 Rainfall pg10)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.9.1.5** | **TOPOGRAPHY AND SLOPE** |

Most of the land in Makana has a slope of either between 3-8º or between 8-20 º ***(State of the***

#### *Sarah Baartman Environment, 2005)* (SDF 2013-Map 5 Rainfall pg11)

#### 

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.9.1.6** | **HYDROLOGY** |

The major water related driver in the municipal area is the Orange-Fish-Sundays Water Supply system which ensures continuous sustainable water supply for economic activity and is essential for community’s well-being and socio-economic prosperity in the area. The whole municipality is classified as a primary catchment area, with a mean quaternary runoff of between 12m³ and

#### 40m³. *(State of the Sarah Baartman Environment (2005)*

Surface water is largely provided by dams and reservoirs that are linked to perennial and non-perennial rivers. Ground water is provided by boreholes and springs that are evenly distributed throughout the municipality. In terms of water sources in the towns it is found that:

Grahamstown’s water is from local dams and sources transferred from the Orange River.

* There is adequate supply to cater for the 8.6M/m³ but there is also a need for more groundwater development.
* Riebeek East’s water is sourced from local boreholes. It also has adequate supplies of water to cater for the 0.0285 M/m³ that it uses.
* A planned water scheme for Riebeek East is an increase in storage capacity in the form of tanks and a reservoir.

#### • Alicedale’s water is sourced from a local New Year dam*. (State of the Sarah Baartman Environment, 2005)*

With regards to the quality of water resources in the area, the State of Sarah Baartman District Environment report of 2005 states that water quality is ‘good, or even natural (e.g. the New Year’s Dam and Bushman’s River sites) even though it is naturally saline and not suitable for certain uses

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.9.1.7** | **LAND CAPACITY AND SOIL TYPES** |

Land capacity is determined by the collective effects of soil, topography, hydrology and climate features. It indicates the most intensive long-term and sustainable use of land for rain-fed agriculture and at the same time highlights the permanent limitations associated with different land use classes. Table below shows the classifications with which land is classified. It also shows different land usages that go in line with the different levels of land capability. This shows the nature of agricultural activity that can be feasibly undertaken throughout the municipality.

### *Table 15:* Land Use options per Land Capabilities Class

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Classes | Forest | Veld | Pasture | Limited | Moderate | Intensive | Very  Intensive |
| I | X | x | X | X | X | x |  |
| II | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| III | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| IV | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| V | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| VI | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| VII | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIII | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Source: Directorate Agriculture Land Resource Management, 2002**

***Table 16*** Land Capability in Makana

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Land capability class | Area (ha) | Percentage |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00% |
| 2 | 0.00 | 0.00% |
| 3 | 0.00 | 0.00% |
| 4 | 31789.20 | 7.27% |
| 5 | 20456.20 | 4.68% |
| 6 | 300661.00 | 68.71% |
| 7 | 46331.70 | 10.59% |
| 8 | 38072.30 | 8.70% |

**Source: Sarah Baartman ABP & LAA, 2008**

Most of the land (68.71%) in Makana is classified under class 6. This means that the majority of land in Makana is only suitable for grazing of animals and non-arable. Based on the results presented in Table 6.2 and Figure 6.2, the Makana Area Based Plan (2008) states that land in the Makana area has suitable potential to for:

Large areas of irrigation, should water be available

* Rain-fed cropping of Wheat, chicory, pecan nuts and aloe
* Irrigation-fed cropping of stone fruit, olives, cotton, vegetable, sugar beet and oranges
* Livestock including game farming and ostrich farming

Soils in the Makana area are generally shallow and weakly developed, with depths typically not exceeding 600mm. This is because the municipality’s geology is based on Beaufort group sandstones and shale’s which dominate the landscape. The shallow and weakly developed soils limit the types of crops that may be planted throughout the municipality as this affects root development, nutrient retention and moisture drainage.

There are some rich alluvial and colluvial soils close to rivers, which support intensive agricultural cultivation. Topsoil textures vary from loamy sand to sandy clay loam **(Makana ABP &**

**LAA, 2008).**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.9.18** | **VEGETATION TYPES AND BIODIVERSITY** |

The vegetation in an area represents an integration of climate, soil and a number of other biological factors. Physical factors including geomorphology and geology also act as variables that determine vegetation types and biodiversity.

Makana has richly diverse and unique vegetation, comprising of ten vegetation types, representing six of the seven major Southern African biomes namely:

1. forest,
2. grassland,
3. succulent Karoo,
4. fynbos,
5. savannah grasslands and
6. the thicket vegetation.

Makana municipality is situated in the Albany Centre of Endemism and has 27 endemic plant species of which 17 (62%) are cited as being vulnerable and 5 (32%) are cited as being endangered. Significant portions of land in the Makana municipality are classified as “Critical Biodiversity Areas”. This means that they are to be managed for biodiversity and conservation, with only limited development in the form of small scale tourism amenities recommended. Critical Biodiversity areas also have guidelines on the form an agriculture that may take place **(State of the**

**Sarah Baartman Environment, 2005; Makana ABP and LAA, 2008)**

The biodiversity of the thicket within Makana is threatened by several factors, including:

* Urbanization
* Transformation of land for agricultural purposes
* Overgrazing by livestock
* Habitat loss through preparation of cultivated land for products such as cash crops
* Overpopulation of alien species introduced through agriculture and game reserves
* Unsustainable resource use
* Collection of plant species for medicinal and ornamental uses
* Land degradation due to the use of irrigation in areas with poor soils

**Strategies:**

* Map the ECPSDF *(Eastern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework)* biodiversity framework (Critical biodiversity areas, protected nature reserves and parks etc.)
* Make the information available to all stakeholders.
* Specific attention needs to be given to the critical biodiversity areas that fall outside of the protected area network.
* Determine guidelines and actions to ensure that the environmental footprint is achieved.
* Ensure that the provisions of NEMA *(National Environmental Management Act)* are applied.
* Establish partnerships with the relevant stakeholders to ensure cross border alignment.
* The accurate identification of the various components of the environmental network needs to be undertaken, either on a project by project basis through the EIA process or by means of a specific verification process.  ***Map 8,5: Environment Biodiversity***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.9.19** | **SPATIALLY RELEVANT FOCUS AREAS AND OUTCOMES:** |

* + Expediting the provision of services such as roads, alternative water source and
  + provision of alternative energy sources for rural and urban areas
  + Addressing housing backlog
  + Addressing fragmented spatial planning in urban areas and land distribution in rural area
  + Address ageing infrastructure
  + Ensure Sustainable Human Settlements
  + Rural development, land reforms, food production
  + Ensure sustainable development

### Environmental Key Issues

* The ECPSDF (Eastern Cape Province Spatial Development Framework) has identified a biodiversity footprint that from a Provincial perspective, is considered to be ‘non-negotiable’.
* This footprint has been adopted into the SBDM’s SDF and will be accommodated into Makana’s SDF.
* Cognisance needs to be taken of the protected expansion areas.
* There are critical biodiversity areas that fall outside of the protected area network.
* The extent and location of the components of the Environmental Footprint have not been ‘ground trothed’.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.9.2.** | **LAND USE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM** |

As indicated in Section 26(e) of the Municipal Systems Act, the SDF is required to “include the provisions of basic guidelines for a Land Use Management System (LUMS) for the municipality”.

**2.1.9.2.1 LAND USE MANAGEMENT AIMS TO REGULATE:**

* Land-use changes such as the rezoning of a property from residential to commercial use.
* ‘Green fields’ land development, i.e. the development of previously undeveloped land;
* Subdivision and consolidation of land parcels
* Regularization and upgrading process of informal settlements, and
* The facilitation of land development through the more active participation of the municipality in the land development process, especially through public-private partnerships

### 2.1.9.2.2 PLANNING SCHEMES/ MECHANISMS

The Planning Schemes are the statutory mechanisms whereby land may be developed, managed and regulated. Municipality is using Avvio as its GIS to manage application and zoning of land.

### 2.1.9.2.3 LAND USE MANAGEMENT NEEDS

The current land use management mechanisms in Makana are unable to address the needs of the residents. The following shortcomings have been identified:

* Ineffective zoning records, specifically for the former Western District Council Area
* The public does not have easy access to information regarding land
* No database of the registered municipal land,
* Credible land audit,

In response to this shortcoming municipality has conducted land audit in 2016/17 internal, however the report is still waiting for Council approval. Secondly these shortcomings are resulting in the growing tendency of illegal occupation of open spaces. The Municipality in response is law enforcement and is in the process of developing policy on land invasion

***A full set of general guidelines for Land Use Applications are contained in the SDF and for this reason will not be elaborated upon in the IDP.***

### 2.1.9.2.4 MUNICIPAL PLANNING TRIBUNAL

A Municipal Planning Tribunal (MPT) is intended to be the decision-making body for Land Use decisions in terms of SPLUMA (Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act). Any application submitted since 1 July 2015 should be dealt with in terms of SPLUMA.

SPLUMA requires that applications are categorised so that the decision making is split between a Land Development Officer (Riana) and the MPT – as things stand we have managed to avoid the issue by delegating almost all decision making to the LDO rather than the MPT – however at the end of the day this is not in line with the spirit of the Act.

The MPT should consist of qualified people in the field of town planning, engineering, law, quantity surveying etc. Internal people can sit on the tribunal, but obviously no-one who has had input into the processing of an application can do so – we will be very hard pressed to find enough officials for this – although this option has not been explored extensively enough in my opinion.

The other problem is that anyone from outside must also not have a vested interest and they will expect to be paid for their time.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.1.9.2.5** |  | **LAND REFORM PROGRAMME** |

The Department of Rural Development and Land Affairs has compiled an Area Based Plan, for the Sarah Baartman District area as a whole. An Area Based Plan essentially is a sector plan that seeks to address land related issues at a municipal level and is intended to form part of the Integrated Development Plan (IDP), informing the Spatial Development Framework (SDF) and Land Use Management System (LUMS).

Fundamentally the Plan seeks to integrate Land Reform into the municipal planning process wherein identified projects are supported during and post implementation phases to ensure sustainability. Also to develop an implementation tool for pro-active land acquisition to facilitate acquisition of land at scale by DRD & LR to meet the 2014 target of transferring 30% of white owned land into the hands of the black farming class.

The Land Reform Programme is anchored on three key programmes, namely:

1. restitution,

2. redistribution and

3. tenure reform.

These were developed to promote land acquisition, restore land rights lost through dispossession and achieve tenure upgrade respectively.

**Land reform targets:**

**Table 17**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Land area | Total exclusion | Remaining land (White owned) | 30% Target | Land Redistribution 1994-2008 | Remaining Target | %Achieved |
| **437561** | **159089** | **278472** | **83541** | **13113** | **70428** | **18.62%** |

**Land Demand:**

**Table 18**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Settlement** | **Level** | **Housing Demand** | **Land Identified by SDF** | **Units optimal density** | **Over/under provision** |
| Riebeeck East | 1 | 450 | 9.93 | 298 | -152 |
| Alicedale | 1 | 450 | 37.07 | 1 112 | 662 |
| Grahamstown | 3 | 12 000 | 792.34 | 23 770 | 11 770 |
| **Total** | **N/A** | **12 900** | **839.34** | **25 180** | **12 280** |

**2.2 MUNICIPAL DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIAL SERVICES PROFILE**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.2.1** |  | **POPULATION AND POPULATION DENSITY** |

The Makana relies on the South African National census 2011 and the Community Survey 2016 (StatsSA) data information on official population figures, assisting it to make informed decision relating to population challenges faced by the Municipality.

The census determined the country's population as 55.7 million, Sarah Baartman’s population to be 479 923 and Makana 82060. The Municipality has undertaken to access a number of alternative sources of data to provide a comparative analysis against the census 2011 figures and also assist in evaluating the growth trends within the district, namely:

* Information obtained from the 2003 the Eastern Cape Socio Consultative Council survey;
* Information obtained from the 2004 district economic growth and development strategy as undertaken by the Urban Econ Group;
* Information obtained from the Global Insight Group reflecting projected population estimates as per 2011.
* Information obtained from the Community Survey 2016 reflection mid-term population estimates

**Population and extent of Area km² - EC, SBDM and MLM**

##### Table 19

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Stats SA 2016** | **Eastern Cape** | **SBDM** | **Makana Local** |
| **Total Population** | 6 996 976 | 479922 | **82060** |
| **Area km²** | 168 966 km² | 58 266.3 km² | 4375.62 km² |

As indicated by the statistical information above, Makana accounts for 17.8% of the Sarah Baartman District and 1.2% of the Provincial population.

Makana is the second largest population contributor in the district. Geographically Makana has a fairly large population living in a relatively small area with a population density of 18.4 per km².

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.2** | **POPULATION DISTRIBUTION** |

#### Age distribution, Gender, Population Grouping and Head of Household

##### Source: Stats SA 2016

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.2.1** | **DEMOGRAPHICS** |

**Table 20**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DEMOGRAPHICS** | **2** | **011** |  |  | **2016** |
|  | **No** |  | **%** | **No** | **%** |
| Population Profile | 80 390 |  |  | 82 060 |  |
| Population growth |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Population profile: |  |  | |  |  |
| Black African | 62 702 | 78.0 | | 66 257 | 80.7 |
| Coloured | 9 725 | 12.1 | | 8 788 | 10.7 |
| Indian or Asian | 525 | 0.7 | | 470 | 0.6 |
| White | 6 974 | 8.7 | | 6 546 | 8.0 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.2.2** | **POPULATION DENSITY** |

**Table 21**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **POPULATION DENSITY** | **2011** | **%** | **2016** | **%** |
| **POPULATION BY HOME LANGUAGE:** | | | | |
| Afrikaans | 11 111 | 14.8 | 8 868 | 11.0 |
| English | 7 831 | 10.5 | 7 051 | 8.7 |
| IsiXhosa | 53 494 | 71.5 | 63 887 | 79.0 |
| IsiZulu | 321 | 0.4 | 279 | 0.3 |
| Sesotho | 272 | 0.4 | 76 | 0.1 |
| Other | 1 791 | 2.4 | 686 | 0.9 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.2.3** | **GENDER** |

**Table 22**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **GENDER** | **2011** | **%** | **2016** | **%** |
| Male | 38 175 | 47.5 | 39 218 | 47.8 |
| Female | 42 215 | 52.5 | 42 842 | 52.2 |

Figure 5

15.0

10.0

5.0

0.0

5.0

10.0

15.0

00-04

10-14

20-24

30-34

40-44

50-54

60-64

70-74

80-84

Makana % Pyramid

Female

Male

|  |
| --- |
| **2.2.2.4 AGE** |

**Table 23**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **AGE:** | | | 2011 | % | 2016 | % |
|  |  | 0 - 14 | 19 611 | 24.4 | 22 024 | 26.8 |
|  |  | 15 - 34 | 30 702 | 38.2 | 32 291 | 39.4 |
|  |  | 35 - 64 | 25 075 | 31.2 | 20 046 | 24.4 |
|  |  | 65 + | 5 002 | 6.2 | 7 699 | 9.4 |

By comparison the demographic information indicates an increase in the population figures and this is reflective in the population growth rate of 0.4% p.a. between the period of 2011 and 2016. The sex ratio is fairly even with 9 males for every 10 females. Stats SA 2016 reflects that 27% of the population is young and less than 15 years of age, which requires intergovernmental planning efforts to jointly focus on improved education and providing sport and recreation facilities.

Sport in particular plays an important part in youth development and relevant role-players should form partnerships to promote sport initiatives and youth programs in Makana. The high number of children could also be an indication of a dependency on child support grants.

The Stats SA reflects that there has been a 2.4% increase, from 52.1% to 52.2%, in respect of female headed households between 2011 and 2016. The increase is moderate considering that the population has grown by 10% over a 10 year period and the male to female sex ratio has only risen marginally.   
The data extracted from the Census 2011 figures has revealed the following about the Municipality:

* The growth over the past 10 years has been lower than 1% per year.
* The total population has grown by almost 8% from 2001.
* There are now approximately 5900 new persons residing in the municipality
* The growth has been approximately 590 persons per year.
* Ward 4 reflects an increase of almost 70%.
* The population of Wards 11 and 8 have remained static.
* The population is highly urbanized
* The population density of 18, 36 persons/km² is higher than the district density of

7, 7 persons/ km²

* The population density has increased from the 16, 1 persons/km² in 2001.
* The total number of households has increased from 17 000 to 21 849.
* The average household size is 3.8 persons

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.2.3** |  | **HOUSEHOLDS PROFILE** |

For purposes of this IDP revision we have based households’ information on Statistics South African Customer survey 2016. There was a total of 22 700 households in the Municipality of which 6085 are poor (indigent) during the financial year of 2016/17. This figure constitutes 27% of the total number of households and is also increasing from the previous year where indigent households constituted 5250 of the total number of households. Indigent households are defined as households where the combined monthly income of the household is less than the equivalent of two state pensions.

**Table 24**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **HOUSEHOLDS** | **2011** | **2016** |
| **Number of households** | **21 849** | **22 700** |
| **Households size** | **3.7** | **3.8** |

**2.2.4 SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT PROFILE**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.4.1** | **EDUCATION:** |

Education is the cornerstone to sustainable development and it is therefore very important to be prioritised because 66% of the population fall between 0-34 years of age in Makana.

***Table 25***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CATEGORY** | **AGE** | **PERCENTAGE** |
| Youth | 15-34 | 39% |
| Adult | 35-64 | 24% |
| Elder | 65+ | 9% |
| Children | 0-14 | 27% |

**2.2.4.2 SCHOOLS IN MAKANA**

***Table 26***

| **SCHOOL** | **P/S/F/J** |
| --- | --- |
| Andrew Moyake public school | Jun sec |
| Archie Mbolekwa junior secondary school | Jun sec |
| George Jacques junior secondary school | Jun sec |
| Hendrik Kanise combined school | Combined/farm |
| Khutliso Daniels secondary school | Secondary |
| Nathaniel Nyaluza senior secondary school | Secondary |
| Nombulelo Secondary school | Secondary |
| Ntaba Maria junior secondary school | Jun sec |
| Ntsika Senior secondary school | Secondary |
| Hoërskool P J OLIVIER | COMBINED |
| T. E. M Mrwetyana secondary school | Secondary |
| Victoria Girls' high school | Secondary |
| C. M. Vellem junior secondary school | Jun sec |
| D.D. Siwisa primary school | Primary |
| Fikizolo public primary school | Jun sec |
| Grahamstown SDA primary school | Jun sec |
| Makana public primary school | Jun sec |
| N. V. Cewu public primary school | Jun sec |
| Samuel Ntlebi primary school | Jun sec |
| Samuel Ntsiko primary school | Jun sec |
| Tantyi public school | Primary |
| Victoria primary school | Jun sec |
| George Dickerson primary school | Jun sec |
| Good Shepherd EC primary school | Jun sec |
| Graeme College boys' high | Combined |
| Grahamstown primary school | Jun sec |
| Johan Carinus art centre | Jun sec |
| Mary Waters secondary school | Jun sec |
| Oatlands Preparatory school | Jun sec |
| St Mary's RC primary school | Jun sec |
| Kuyasa Special school | Primary |
| Riebeeck East Combined school | Combined/farm |
| Sidbury primary school | Primary/ farm |
| Grahamstown Amasango Career School | Jun sec |
| Carlisle Bridge primary school | Jun sec/ farm |
| Holy Cross school | Primary |
| Farmer field Intermediate Farm school | Jun sec/ farm |
| Fort brown primary school | Jun sec/ farm |
| Hope Fountain Junior secondary school | Primary/ farm |
| KwaMhala Primary School | Primary/ Farm |
| Manley Flats Mission school | Jun sec/ farm |
| Masakhane Combined school | Combined/ farm |
| Zintle Primary school | Primary/ farm |
| Wilson's Party Farm school | Jun sec/ farm |
| Heidi | JUN SEC |
| Kleuterlland. | JUN SEC |
| Gadra Matric school | Private |
| St Andrews Primary | Private |
| St Andrews College | Private |
|  |  |

##### INSTITUTIONAL ATTENDANCE

##### Table: 27

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MUNICIPALITY** | **NUMBER** | **PUBLIC**  **GOVERN-MENT** | | | **PRIVATE INDEPEN-DENT** | | **HIGHER EDU- CATION** | **TVET FORMERLY FET** | |
| Sarah Baartman District Municipality | 149 839 |  | 137 320 | 11 667 | | 15 220 | |  | 6 381 |
| Makana Local Municipality | 28 457 |  | 22 936 | 4 877 | | 5 307 | |  | 2 271 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.4.2** | **EDUCATION LEVEL** |

**Table: 28**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EDUCATION LEVEL** | **2011** | **PERCENT** | **2016** | **PERCENT** |
| **No schooling** | 3 100 | 6.3 | 1 921 | 3.7 |
| **Some primary** | 7 819 | 15.9 | 5 464 | 10.5 |
| **Completed primary** | 3 107 | 6.3 | 2 629 | 5.0 |
| **Some secondary** | 17 871 | 36.3 | 20 699 | 39.7 |
| **Grade 12/Matric** | 11 305 | 23.0 | 14 228 | 27.3 |
| **Higher** | 5 555 | 11.3 | 6 245 | 12.0 |
| **Other** | 424 | 0.9 | 887 | 1.7 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.4.3** | **SCHOOL ATTENDANCE** |

Of the 28 457 people attending education institution in Makana, more than 80% are doing Pre-school up to secondary school

**Table: 29**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SCHOOL** | **PERCENTAGE** |
| Primary School | 42% |
| Secondary | 25.6% |
| Technical Education | 5.6% |
| Other Colleges | 0.7% |
| Higher Education | 8.5% |
| Pre-School | 15.6% |

**LEVEL OF EDUCATION (20+)**

**Table: 30**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **EDUCATION** | **2011 NUMBER** | **2016 NUMBER** |
| No schooling | 3 100 | 1 921 |
| Some primary | 7 819 | 5 464 |
| Completed primary | 3 107 | 2 629 |
| Some secondary | 17 871 | 20 699 |
| Grade 12/Matric | 11 305 | 14 228 |
| Higher | 5 555 | 6 245 |
| Other | 424 | 887 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.4.4** | **FIELD OF TVET** |

**Table: 31**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **FIELD** | MAKANA |
| Management | **614** |
| Marketing | **95** |
| Information technology and computer |  |
| Science | **38** |
| Finance | **176** |
| Office Administration | **265** |
| Electrical Infrastructure Construction | **29** |
| Civil Engineering and Building |  |
| Construction | **52** |
| Engineering | **139** |
| Primary Agriculture | **30** |
| Hospitality | **69** |
| Tourism | **36** |
| Safety in Society | **42** |
| Mechatronics | **-** |
| Education and Development | **86** |
| Other | **524** |
| Do not know | **77** |
| Not applicable | **79 574** |
| Unspecified | **215** |
| **Total Population** | **82 060** |
| Agriculture | 108 |
| Architecture and the built environment | 31 |
| Arts (Visual and performing arts) | 54 |
| Business | 518 |
| Communication | 24 |
| Computer and information sciences | 173 |
| Education | 1 173 |
| Engineering | 148 |
| Health Professions | 366 |
| Family Ecology and Consumer Sciences | 43 |
| Languages | 202 |
| Law | 435 |
| Life Sciences | 112 |
| Physical Sciences | 64 |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 59 |
| Military Sciences | - |
| Philosophy | 18 |
| Psychology | 367 |
| Public Management and Services | 121 |
| Social Sciences | 341 |
| Other | 855 |
| Do not know | 95 |
| Not applicable | 76 538 |
| Unspecified | 215 |
| **Total population** | **82 060** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.4.6** | **LEVEL OF EDUCATION** |

**Table: 32**

| **LEVEL** | **NUMBER OF**  **PEOPLE** | **% SHARE** |  | **LEVEL OF EDUCATION** | **NUMBER OF**  **PEOPLE** | **% SHARE** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No schooling | 8 831 | 10.80% | N4/NTC 4 | 443 | 0.50% |
| Grade 0 | 3 038 | 3.70% | N5/NTC 5 | 218 | 0.30% |
| Grade 1 | 2 498 | 3.00% | N6/NTC 6 | 532 | 0.60% |
| Grade 2 | 1 651 | 2.00% | Certificate with less than Gr 12 | 66 | 0.10% |
| Grade 3 | 3 185 | 3.90% | Diploma with less than Gr 12 | 78 | 0.10% |
| Grade 4 | 2 624 | 3.20% | Advanced Certificate with Gr 12 | 564 | 0.70% |
| Grade 5 | 3 021 | 3.70% | Diploma with Grade 12 | 1 147 | 1.40% |
| Grade 6 | 3 241 | 3.90% | Higher Diploma | 774 | 0.90% |
| Grade 7 | 3 605 | 4.40% | Post-Higher Diploma | 741 | 0.90% |
| Grade 8 | 4 932 | 6.00% | Bachelor's degree | 1 479 | 1.80% |
| Grade 9 | 5 002 | 6.10% | Honours degree | 323 | 0.40% |
| Grade 10 | 8 143 | 9.90% | Master’s degree | 452 | 0.60% |
| Grade 11 | 9 067 | 11.00% | PHD (Doctoral degree) | 310 | 0.40% |
| Grade 12 | 14 804 | 18.00% | Other |  | 0.40% |
| NTC I/N1 | 115 | 0.10% | Do not know | 607 | 0.70% |
| NTCII/N2 | 49 | 0.10% | Unspecified | 156 | 0.20% |
| NTCIII/N3 | 52 | 0.10% | Total (Makana LM) | 82 060 | 100% |


|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.6** | **POVERTY** |

Very serious poverty (where people live on less than $2 or R 14 – R 18 per day) is rapidly declining, probably in response to the roll out of social grants in South Africa

**2.2.6.1 HUMAN DEVELOPMENT**

**The Human Development Index**

##### Figure 7: The Sarah Baartman Human Development Index 2001 and 2011




**Source: Global Insight (2014)**

The **Human Development Index** (**HDI**) is a composite statistic of life expectancy, education, and per capita income indicators, which are used to rank countries into four tiers of **human development**.

###### 2.2.6.2 LEVEL OF POVERTY IN THE DISTRICT

Figure 8: The level of poverty in the District is high, but showing steady decline:



##### 2.2.7 SOCIAL DEPENDANCY

##### 2.2.7.1 GRANT DEPENDENCY

###### *Table: 33*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **District**  **Office** | **Grant Type** | **No. of Beneficiaries** | | **No. of Children** | | **Expenditure** | | |
| **2017** | **2018** | **2017** | **2018** | **2017** | **2018** | |
| **GRAHAMSTOWN** | Care  Dependency | 281 | 276 | 289 | 283 | **R 436 390.00** | **R 452 800.00** | |
| Child  Support  (Total 0-18) | 11294 | 11 325 | 17470 | 17 663 | **R 6 289 200.00** | **R6 711 940.00** | |
| Foster Care | 824 | 843 | 1075 | 1 102 | **R 956 750.00** | **R 1013 840.00** | |
| Grant in Aid | 781 | 758 |  |  | **R 281 160.00** | **R 288 040.00** | |
| Old Age | 5143 | 5 333 |  |  | **R 7 672 549.00** | **R8 424 374.00** | |
| Old Age (75 Years &  Over) | 1814 | 1 827 |  |  | **R 2 759 421.00** | **R2 945 347.00** | |
| Permanent  Disability | 3306 | 3 141 |  |  | **R 4 980 902.00** | | **R5 017 104.00** |
| Temporary  Disability | 122 | 133 |  |  | **R 183 311.00** | | **R 212 146.00** |
|  |  | **23 636** |  | **19 048** |  | | **R 25 065 591.00** |

Assuming that no individual qualifies for more than one grant then 45.5% of the total population is receiving a social grant. The dependency on grant funding further reinforces the need to stimulate the local economy by creating labour intensive growth opportunities and optimizing the job creation elements of the EPWP and CWP.

##### 2.2.8 CRIME STATISTIC REPORT 2017/18

This section consist of seventeen (17) Community priorities and other Sub Categories of crimes. This statistics is a clustered information from all different police station precincts under Grahamstown cluster

**2.2.8.1: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES AND OTHER SUBCATEGORIES OF CRIME**

**Table 34**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **GRAHAMSTOWN CLUSTER SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE ANALYSIS REPORT** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **March** | | | | | | | **April to March** | | | |
| 2016 | | 2017 | | % Change | | Indicator | 15/16 | 16/17 | % Change | Indicator |
| **CONTACT CRIME** | | | | | | | | | | |
| [Robbery with aggravating circumstances](http://www.crimestatssa.com/provinceselect.php?sortorder=&ShowProvince=Eastern+Cape&go=1&Precincts%5B%5D=953&Precincts%5B%5D=944&Precincts%5B%5D=931&Precincts%5B%5D=954&Precincts%5B%5D=1121&Precincts%5B%5D=780&Precincts%5B%5D=939&Precincts%5B%5D=965&Crimes%5B%5D=Murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Total+Sexual+Offences&Crimes%5B%5D=Attempted+murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Assault+with+the+intent+to+inflict+grievous+bodily+harm&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+assault&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+robbery&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+with+aggravating+circumstances&Crimes%5B%5D=Arson&Crimes%5B%5D=Malicious+injury+to+property&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+of+motor+vehicle+and+motorcycle&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+out+of+or+from+motor+vehicle&Crimes%5B%5D=Stock-theft&Crimes%5B%5D=Illegal+possession+of+firearms+and+ammunition&Crimes%5B%5D=Drug-related+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Driving+under+the+influence+of+alcohol+or+drugs&Crimes%5B%5D=Sexual+offences+as+result+of+police+action&Crimes%5B%5D=All+theft+not+mentioned+elsewhere&Crimes%5B%5D=Commercial+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Shoplifting&Crimes%5B%5D=Carjacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Truck+hijacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Culpable+homicide&Crimes%5B%5D=Public+violence&Crimes%5B%5D=Crimen+injuria&Crimes%5B%5D=Neglect+and+ill-treatment+of+children&Crimes%5B%5D=Kidnapping&Years%5B%5D=2015&Submit=Submit) | 27 | 24 | | -11.11 | | Decrease | 335 | 287 | -14.33 | Decrease |
| [Common](http://www.crimestatssa.com/provinceselect.php?sortorder=&ShowProvince=Eastern+Cape&go=1&Precincts%5B%5D=953&Precincts%5B%5D=944&Precincts%5B%5D=931&Precincts%5B%5D=954&Precincts%5B%5D=1121&Precincts%5B%5D=780&Precincts%5B%5D=939&Precincts%5B%5D=965&Crimes%5B%5D=Murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Total+Sexual+Offences&Crimes%5B%5D=Attempted+murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Assault+with+the+intent+to+inflict+grievous+bodily+harm&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+assault&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+robbery&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+with+aggravating+circumstances&Crimes%5B%5D=Arson&Crimes%5B%5D=Malicious+injury+to+property&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+of+motor+vehicle+and+motorcycle&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+out+of+or+from+motor+vehicle&Crimes%5B%5D=Stock-theft&Crimes%5B%5D=Illegal+possession+of+firearms+and+ammunition&Crimes%5B%5D=Drug-related+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Driving+under+the+influence+of+alcohol+or+drugs&Crimes%5B%5D=Sexual+offences+as+result+of+police+action&Crimes%5B%5D=All+theft+not+mentioned+elsewhere&Crimes%5B%5D=Commercial+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Shoplifting&Crimes%5B%5D=Carjacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Truck+hijacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Culpable+homicide&Crimes%5B%5D=Public+violence&Crimes%5B%5D=Crimen+injuria&Crimes%5B%5D=Neglect+and+ill-treatment+of+children&Crimes%5B%5D=Kidnapping&Years%5B%5D=2015&Submit=Submit)  [robbery](http://www.crimestatssa.com/provinceselect.php?sortorder=&ShowProvince=Eastern+Cape&go=1&Precincts%5B%5D=953&Precincts%5B%5D=944&Precincts%5B%5D=931&Precincts%5B%5D=954&Precincts%5B%5D=1121&Precincts%5B%5D=780&Precincts%5B%5D=939&Precincts%5B%5D=965&Crimes%5B%5D=Murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Total+Sexual+Offences&Crimes%5B%5D=Attempted+murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Assault+with+the+intent+to+inflict+grievous+bodily+harm&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+assault&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+robbery&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+with+aggravating+circumstances&Crimes%5B%5D=Arson&Crimes%5B%5D=Malicious+injury+to+property&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+of+motor+vehicle+and+motorcycle&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+out+of+or+from+motor+vehicle&Crimes%5B%5D=Stock-theft&Crimes%5B%5D=Illegal+possession+of+firearms+and+ammunition&Crimes%5B%5D=Drug-related+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Driving+under+the+influence+of+alcohol+or+drugs&Crimes%5B%5D=Sexual+offences+as+result+of+police+action&Crimes%5B%5D=All+theft+not+mentioned+elsewhere&Crimes%5B%5D=Commercial+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Shoplifting&Crimes%5B%5D=Carjacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Truck+hijacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Culpable+homicide&Crimes%5B%5D=Public+violence&Crimes%5B%5D=Crimen+injuria&Crimes%5B%5D=Neglect+and+ill-treatment+of+children&Crimes%5B%5D=Kidnapping&Years%5B%5D=2015&Submit=Submit) | 12 | 13 | | 8.33 | | Increase | 148 | 144 | -2.70 | Decrease |
| [Murder](http://www.crimestatssa.com/provinceselect.php?sortorder=&ShowProvince=Eastern+Cape&go=1&Precincts%5B%5D=953&Precincts%5B%5D=944&Precincts%5B%5D=931&Precincts%5B%5D=954&Precincts%5B%5D=1121&Precincts%5B%5D=780&Precincts%5B%5D=939&Precincts%5B%5D=965&Crimes%5B%5D=Murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Total+Sexual+Offences&Crimes%5B%5D=Attempted+murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Assault+with+the+intent+to+inflict+grievous+bodily+harm&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+assault&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+robbery&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+with+aggravating+circumstances&Crimes%5B%5D=Arson&Crimes%5B%5D=Malicious+injury+to+property&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+of+motor+vehicle+and+motorcycle&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+out+of+or+from+motor+vehicle&Crimes%5B%5D=Stock-theft&Crimes%5B%5D=Illegal+possession+of+firearms+and+ammunition&Crimes%5B%5D=Drug-related+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Driving+under+the+influence+of+alcohol+or+drugs&Crimes%5B%5D=Sexual+offences+as+result+of+police+action&Crimes%5B%5D=All+theft+not+mentioned+elsewhere&Crimes%5B%5D=Commercial+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Shoplifting&Crimes%5B%5D=Carjacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Truck+hijacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Culpable+homicide&Crimes%5B%5D=Public+violence&Crimes%5B%5D=Crimen+injuria&Crimes%5B%5D=Neglect+and+ill-treatment+of+children&Crimes%5B%5D=Kidnapping&Years%5B%5D=2015&Submit=Submit) | 6 | 5 | | -16.67 | | Decrease | 56 | 48 | -14.29 | Decrease |
| [Attempted murder](http://www.crimestatssa.com/provinceselect.php?sortorder=&ShowProvince=Eastern+Cape&go=1&Precincts%5B%5D=953&Precincts%5B%5D=944&Precincts%5B%5D=931&Precincts%5B%5D=954&Precincts%5B%5D=1121&Precincts%5B%5D=780&Precincts%5B%5D=939&Precincts%5B%5D=965&Crimes%5B%5D=Murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Total+Sexual+Offences&Crimes%5B%5D=Attempted+murder&Crimes%5B%5D=Assault+with+the+intent+to+inflict+grievous+bodily+harm&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+assault&Crimes%5B%5D=Common+robbery&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+with+aggravating+circumstances&Crimes%5B%5D=Arson&Crimes%5B%5D=Malicious+injury+to+property&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Burglary+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+of+motor+vehicle+and+motorcycle&Crimes%5B%5D=Theft+out+of+or+from+motor+vehicle&Crimes%5B%5D=Stock-theft&Crimes%5B%5D=Illegal+possession+of+firearms+and+ammunition&Crimes%5B%5D=Drug-related+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Driving+under+the+influence+of+alcohol+or+drugs&Crimes%5B%5D=Sexual+offences+as+result+of+police+action&Crimes%5B%5D=All+theft+not+mentioned+elsewhere&Crimes%5B%5D=Commercial+crime&Crimes%5B%5D=Shoplifting&Crimes%5B%5D=Carjacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Truck+hijacking&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Robbery+at+non-residential+premises&Crimes%5B%5D=Culpable+homicide&Crimes%5B%5D=Public+violence&Crimes%5B%5D=Crimen+injuria&Crimes%5B%5D=Neglect+and+ill-treatment+of+children&Crimes%5B%5D=Kidnapping&Years%5B%5D=2015&Submit=Submit) | 5 | 2 | | -60.00 | | Decrease | 44 | 23 | -47.73 | Decrease |
| Rape | 12 | 8 | | -33.33 | | Decrease | 230 | 176 | -23.48 | Decrease |
| Assault GBH | 71 | 54 | | -23.94 | | Decrease | 752 | 632 | -15.96 | Decrease |
| Common  Assault | 55 | 65 | | 18.18 | | Increase | 700 | 617 | -11.86 | Decrease |
| **Totals** | 191 | 172 | | **18.18** | | Increase | 2295 | 1953 | -14.90 | Decrease |
| **CONTACT RELATED CRIME** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arson | 1 | 0 | | **-100.00** | | Decrease | 20 | 12 | -40.00 | Decrease |
| MITP | 28 | 33 | | 17.86 | | Increase | 407 | 363 | -10.81 | Decrease |
| **Totals** | 29 | 33 | | **13.79** | | Increase | 472 | 375 | -12.18 | Decrease |
| **PROPERTY RELATED CRIME** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Burglary  Business | 17 | 14 | -17.65 | | Decrease | | 133 | 125 | -6.02 | Decrease |
| Burglary  Residential | 96 | 81 | -15.63 | | Decrease | | 1006 | 1000 | -0.60 | Decrease |
| Theft of Motor  Vehicle | 4 | 4 | 0.00 | | - | | 69 | 41 | -40.58 | Decrease |
| Theft  Out/From  Motor Vehicle | 15 | 42 | 180.00 | | Increase | | 339 | 442 | 30.38 | Increase |
| Stock Theft |  |  |  | |  | |  |  |  |  |
| **Totals** | 149 | 156 | 4.70 | | Increase | | 1700 | 1775 | 4.41 | Increase |
| **OTHER SERIOUS CRIME** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shoplifting | 8 | 9 | 12.50 | | Increase | | 129 | 129 | 0.00 | - |
| Theft General | 65 | 81 | 24.62 | | Increase | | 887 | 910 | 2.59 | Increase |
| Fraud | 23 | 10 | -56.52 | | Decrease | | 143 | 162 | 13.29 | Increase |
| **Totals** | 96 | 100 | 4.17 | | Increase | | 1159 | 1201 | 3.62 | Increase |

**SUB CATEGORIES CRIME: Trio Crime**

**Table 35**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2016** | **2017** | **% Change** | **Indicator** | **15/16** | **16/17** | **% Change** | **Indicator** |
| Carjacking | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - | 6 | 2 | -66.67 | Decrease |
| House  Robbery | 3 | 3 | 0.00 | - | 44 | 35 | -20.45 | Decrease |
| Business  Robbery | 7 | 4 | -42.86 | Decrease | 77 | 30 | -61.04 | Decrease |
| **Other crime under sub categories crime** | | | | | | | | |
|  | **2016** | **2017** | **% Change** | **Indicator** | **15/16** | **16/17** | **% Change** | **Indicator** |
| Cash in Transit | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - |
| Truck Hi-  Jacking | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - | 3 | 5 | 66.67 | Increase |
| Bank Robbery | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - |
| **Totals** | 10 | 7 | -30.00 | Decrease | 130 | 72 | -44.62 | Decrease |
| **Public**  **Violence** | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - | 15 | 8 | -46.67 | Decrease |
| **Totals** | 10 | 7 | -30.00 | Decrease | 130 | 72 | -44.62 | Decrease |
| **Public**  **Violence** | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - | 15 | 8 | -46.67 | Decrease |
| **Robber Aggravated (incl Trio)** | | | | | | | | |
| Robbery with  Firearm | 14 | 9 | -35.71 | Decrease | 168 | 102 | -39.29 | Decrease |
| Robbery with other weapon | 13 | 15 | 15.38 | Increase | 167 | 185 | 10.78 | Increase |
| **Total for Serious Offences** | | | | | | | | |
| All Sexual  Offences | 15 | 9 | -40.00 | Decrease | 260 | 202 | -22.31 | Decrease |
| All Trio Crime | 10 | 7 | -30.00 | Decrease | 127 | 67 | -47.24 | Decrease |
| All Serious offences | 465 | 461 | -0.86 | Decrease | 5581 | 5304 | -4.96 | Decrease |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.9** | **COMMUNITY SURVEY 2016** |

**2.2.9.1 THE VOICE OF PEOPLE IN MAKANA**

A question was introduced in the Community Survey 2016 that asked households what they considered to be the main problem or difficulty they were facing in their municipality presently. The voice of people in Makana was recorded in terms of:

* Municipal wide challenges faced presently;
* the extent to which the municipality is trying to resolve the problem;
* how people in the municipality rate the services they receive;
* the importance of services provided by the municipality

**2.2.9.2**  **MUNICIPAL WIDE CHALLENGES**

Table: 36

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CHALLENGES** | **NUMBER** | **%** |
| Lack of safe and reliable water supply | 16 779 | **20%** |
| Lack of/ inadequate employment opportunities | 12 498 | **15%** |
| Inadequate housing | 11 767 | **14%** |
| Inadequate roads | 11 612 | **14%** |
| Violence and crime | 4 315 | **5%** |
| Cost of water | 3 755 | **5%** |
| Inadequate sanitation/sewerage/toilet services | 3 718 | **5%** |
| Cost of electricity | 3 068 | **4%** |
| None | 2 669 | **3%** |
| Other | 1 964 | **2%** |
| Inadequate refuse/waste removal | 1 954 | **2%** |
| Alcohol abuse | 1 487 | **2%** |
| Lack of reliable electricity supply | 1 450 | **2%** |
| Corruption | 1 448 | **2%** |
| Inadequate street lights | 1 322 | **2%** |
| Drug abuse | 884 | **1%** |
| Lack of/ inadequate parks and recreational area | 636 | **1%** |
| Gangsterism | 269 | **0%** |
| Lack of/ inadequate healthcare services | 267 | **0%** |
| Lack of/ inadequate public transport | 105 | **0%** |
| Lack of/ inadequate educational facilities | 92 | **0%** |
| **Grand Total** | **82 060** | **100%** |

###### 2.2.9.3 THE TOP 5 CHALLENGES CURRENTLY FACING MAKANA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY, 2016

According to the community survey conducted by Stats SA (2016), the top five challenges that Makana local municipality is facing presently are as follows:

* Lack of safe and reliable water supply (20% of the population)
* Lack of employment opportunity (15% of the population)
* Inadequate housing (14% of the population)
* Inadequate road (14% of the population)
* Violence and crime (5% of the population)
* Electricity

###### 2.2.9.4. RATING OF THE OVERAL QUALITY OF SERVICES

Table: 37

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SERVICES** | **GOOD** | **AVERAG** | **E** | **POOR** | **NO ACCE** | **DO NOT US** | **TOTAL POPULATION** |
| Electricity supply services | 56 698 | 18 277 |  | 5 682 | 815 | 587 | 82 060 |
| Refuse removal services | 53 392 | 19 586 |  | 7 799 | 988 | 295 | 82 060 |
| Local public hospital | 53 200 | 16 414 |  | 4 843 | 2 162 | 5 441 | 82 060 |
| Local public school | 49 309 | 15 686 |  | 8 084 | 3 016 | 5 966 | 82 060 |
| Local public clinic | 48 437 | 16 167 |  | 12 048 | 1 638 | 3 769 | 82 060 |
| Toilet/sanitation services | 47 863 | 13 775 |  | 17 152 | 2 667 | 603 | 82 060 |
| Local police services | 34 839 | 17 875 |  | 22 366 | 1 409 | 5 571 | 82 060 |
| Govrt RDP/subsidised dwelling | 9 472 | 8 831 |  | 11 191 | 61 | 52 505 | 82 060 |
| Electricity supply services | 69% | 22% | 7% | | 1% | 1% | 100% |
| Refuse removal services | 65% | 24% | 10% | | 1% | 0% | 100% |
| Local public hospital | 65% | 20% | 6% | | 3% | 7% | 100% |
| Local public school | 60% | 19% | 10% | | 4% | 7% | 100% |
| Local public clinic | 59% | 20% | 15% | | 2% | 5% | 100% |
| Toilet/sanitation services | 58% | 17% | 21% | | 3% | 1% | 100% |
| Local police services | 42% | 22% | 27% | | 2% | 7% | 100% |
| Government  RDP/subsidised dwelling | 12% | 11% | 14% | | 0% | 64% | 100% |

**.2.9.5 GOOD SERVICES RENDERD**

***Figure 9:***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | | | | | 1 | **Electricity supply** |
| 2 | **Refuse removal** |
| 3 | **Local public Hospital** |
| 4 | **Local public school** |
| 5 | **Local public clinic** |
| 6 | **Toilet/sanitation** |
| 7 | **Local police** |
| 8 | **Govrt**  **RDP/Subsidised** |
| 9 | **RDP/subsidised** |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** |  |  |
| **69%** | **65%** | **65%** | **60%** | **59%** | **58%** | **42%** | **12%** |  |  |

**2.2.9.6** **PEOPLE’S OPINION ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF SERVICES TO IMPROVE THE**

## STANDARD OF LIVING: MAKANA 2016

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Figure 10:*** | | | | | | 1 | Safety and security |
| 2 | Living conditions |
| 3 | Health |
| 4 | Employment |
| 5 | Education |
| 6 | Ownership of household assets |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** |  |  |
| **75%** | **77%** | **80%** | **76%** | **81%** | **75%** |  |  |

**2.2.9.7 SOCIAL COHESION IN THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING**

There are a number of social activities organised by the Municipality and other stakeholders that encourage social cohesion within the municipal area. Below are some of the activities organised annually

**ACTIVITIES PERIOD**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| National Arts Festival | June/ July yearly |
| Science Festival | March yearly |
| Makana Freedom Festival | April yearly |
| Mayoral Cup | Easter weekend |

**2.2.9.8. DIMENSION AND CROSS- CUTTING ISSUES: SPU**

Municipal planning is influenced by a number of dimensions and cross-cutting issues. The main dimension being: Social, Spatial, Economic, Institutional, Natural Environment.

The impact that projects can and will have on the above must always be borne in mind, hence the importance of designing strategies that will incorporate these considerations, as well as address crosscutting issues such as Poverty Relief, HIV/AIDS and SPU.

A Special Programmes Unit (SPU) has been established in the Municipality in the office of the Mayor. The Special Programmes Unit of the Makana Municipality is responsible for the following categories:

* + People living with disabilities
  + Youth (incl. Sports)
  + Gender
  + Older persons
  + HIV/AID

The post of Manager Special Programmes was included and filled as part of the restructuring of the Municipality’s Organogram. The Manager SPU is holding monthly meetings and has already successfully activated a number of Special Events, such as Youth tournament, etc. Programmes have also been identified for inclusion in the Municipality’s Operating Budget, whilst some projects of capital nature have been earmarked for external funding.

HIV/AIDS is a matter of such importance that features as a cross-cutting issue in every area of development. Makana municipality has an HIV/AIDS Policy/ Plan which is revised annually.

Other programs the office is involves in is mainstreaming of HIV/AIDS in workplace and society, awareness of HIV/AIDS, how to manage it within the workplace and curb the spread of it. It is further advocated during all project training and employment orientation sessions.

**2.2.9.9 HIV/AID TEST IN MAKANA AREA**

**FINANCIAL YEAR 2017-2018 TESTED:**

**Table 38**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ORGANISATION UNIT** | **APR TO JUN 2017** | **JUL TO SEP 2017** | | **OCT TO DEC 2017** | | **JAN TO MAR 2018** | |
| Anglo African Street Clinic | 160 | 194 | | 121 | | 163 | |
| Grahamstown Mobile 2 | 18 | 11 | | 12 | | 28 | |
| Grahamstown Mobile 3 | 16 | 14 | | 33 | | 18 | |
| Joza Clinic | 232 | 426 | | 782 | | 818 | |
| Kwa-Nonzwakazi Clinic | 91 | 77 | | 114 | | 96 | |
| Middle Terrace Clinic | 91 | 77 | | 58 | | 69 | |
| NG Dlukulu Clinic | 836 | 702 | | 517 | | 728 | |
| Raglan Road Clinic | 472 | 443 | | 425 | | 406 | |
| Raymond Mhlaba Clinic | 6 | | 9 | | 20 | | 7 |
| Settlers Day Hospital | 687 | | 1339 | | 717 | | 388 |
| Virginia Shumane Clinic | 363 | | 477 | | 416 | | 324 |

**FINANCIAL YEAR 2018-19 TARGETS**

**Table 39**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FACILITY** | **APR TO JUN** | **JUL TO SEP** | **OCT TO DEC** | **JAN TO MAR 2019** |
| Anglo African Street Clinic | 108 | 108 | 108 | 108 |
| Joza Clinic | 479 | 479 | 479 | 479 |
| Kwa-Nonzwakazi Clinic | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 |
| Middle Terrace Clinic | 198 | 198 | 198 | 198 |
| NG Dlukulu Clinic | 322 | 322 | 322 | 322 |
| Raglan Road Clinic | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 |
| Raymond Mhlaba Clinic | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Settlers Day Hospital | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 |
| Virginia Shumane Clinic | 233 | 233 | 233 | 233 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.3.** | **SOCIO-ECONOMIC DIMENSIONS ANALYSIS** |
|  |  |
| **2.3.1** | **INCOME LEVELS** |

### Income Category

### *Table: 40*

**Source: Stats SA 2011**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SOCIO ECONOMIC ANALYSIS** | **MAKANA AREA (STATSSA 2001)** | **MAKANA AREA (STATSSA 2016)** | **%** | **GROWTH %**  **p.a.** |
| **Total Population** | | | | |
| Income 15 to 65 | 14 919 | 51 057 |  |  |
| No Income | 320 | 22 964 | 45.0 | 707.6 |
| R1 – R400 | 3 078 | 2 789 | 5.5 | -0.9 |
| R401 – R800 | 3 265 | 2 565 | 5.0 | -2.1 |
| R801 - R1600 | 2 812 | 8 573 | 16.8 | 20.5 |
| R1601 – R3200 | 2 376 | 4 610 | 9.0 | 9.4 |
| R 3 201 - R 6 400 | 1 783 | 2 720 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| R 6 401 - R 12 800 | 852 | 2 515 | 4.9 | 19.5 |
| R 12 801 - R 25 600 | 227 | 1 627 | 3.2 | 61.7 |
| R 25 601 - R 51 200 | 81 | 535 | 1.0 | 56.2 |
| R 51 201 - R 102 400 | 64 | 127 | 0.2 | 9.8 |
| R 102 401 - R 204 800 | 46 | 79 | 0.2 | 7.2 |
| R 204 801 or more | 15 | 50 | 0.1 | 23.3 |
| Unspecified | 0 | 1 902 | 3.7 |  |

### Table : Statics South; Family Electoral Wards, Geography by Individual monthly income for Person weighted

### Table 41

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **No income** | **R 1 –**  **R 400** | **R 401 - R 800** | **R 801 – R 1 600** | **R 1 601 – R 3 200** | **R 3 201 – R 6 400** | **R 6 401 - R 12 800** | **R 12 801 – R 25 600** | **R 25 601 – R 51 200** | **R 51 201 - 102 400** | **R 102 401**  **R 204 800** | **- R 204 801 more** | **Grand Tot** |
| 21004001:  Ward 1 | 881 | 513 | 238 | 736 | 247 | 86 | 90 | 43 | 22 | 6 | 2 | - | 2862 |
| 21004002:  Ward 2 | 3386 | 1013 | 222 | 1148 | 389 | 281 | 231 | 66 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6762 |
| 21004003:  Ward 3 | 3093 | 575 | 238 | 870 | 385 | 261 | 252 | 217 | 97 | 29 | 12 | 6 | 6033 |
| 21004004:  Ward 4 | 3172 | 211 | 170 | 716 | 554 | 551 | 666 | 565 | 186 | 57 | 30 | 22 | 6900 |
| 21004005:  Ward 5 | 3463 | 1933 | 370 | 1369 | 556 | 203 | 130 | 32 | 28 | - | - | - | 8085 |
| 21004006:  Ward 6 | 2130 | 787 | 283 | 608 | 258 | 208 | 183 | 94 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4574 |
| 21004007:  Ward 7 | 946 | 485 | 175 | 592 | 171 | 76 | 45 | 12 | 3 | - | - | - | 2505 |
| 21004008:  Ward 8 | 1357 | 185 | 49 | 276 | 248 | 279 | 347 | 321 | 83 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 3176 |
| 21004009:  Ward 9 | 2145 | 892 | 267 | 815 | 302 | 139 | 132 | 90 | 15 | 2 | 1 | - | 4801 |
| 21004010:  Ward 10 | 3338 | 823 | 211 | 1190 | 388 | 238 | 200 | 58 | 14 | - | 2 | 1 | 6462 |
| 21004011:  Ward 11 | 2757 | 1661 | 321 | 1374 | 343 | 120 | 79 | 37 | 17 | - | 2 | - | 6712 |
| 21004012:  Ward 12 | 52 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 18 | 28 | 33 | 41 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 211 |
| 21004013:  Ward 13 | 2135 | 887 | 354 | 1187 | 427 | 201 | 148 | 79 | 57 | 9 | 7 | - | 5491 |
| 21004014:  Ward 14 | 2412 | 1040 | 325 | 1160 | 646 | 245 | 137 | 104 | 34 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 6138 |
| **Grand Total** | **31266** | **11009** | **3226** | **12048** | **4931** | **2915** | **2673** | **1759** | **611** | **137** | **84** | **53** | **70711** |

Stats SA 2011 reflects that poverty levels are high with 45.0% of the population not receiving any income, and a further 10.5% earning less than R801 per month, therefore technically falling under the poverty line. This is exacerbated by the fact that 63.4% of the potential labour force are not working.

**Geography by Annual household income for Household Weighted:**

#### *Table 42*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Wards** | **No income** | | **R 1 –**  **R 4800** | **R 4801 -**  **9600** | **R 9601 R 19 60** | **– R 19 601 0 R 38 20** |  | **R 38 201 R 76 40** | **0** | **R 76 401 R 153 80** | **R 153 80 R 307 60** | **1 R 307 601 R 614 40** | **R 614 00 R 1 228 8** | **R 1 228 81–R 2 457 6** | **0**  **R 2 457 6**  **or more** | **0**  **Grand Tot**  **e** |
| 21004001:  Ward 1 | 38 | | 18 | 51 | 174 | 249 |  | 122 |  | 62 | 32 | 25 | 7 | 1 | - | 779 |
| 21004002:  Ward 2 | 291 | | 66 | 75 | 459 | 394 |  | 294 |  | 226 | 93 | 33 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1942 |
| 21004003:  Ward 3 | 243 | | 55 | 82 | 271 | 265 |  | 242 |  | 175 | 178 | 116 | 53 | 14 | 6 | 1702 |
| 21004004:  Ward 4 | 250 | | 22 | 38 | 171 | 227 |  | 261 |  | 395 | 432 | 298 | 94 | 29 | 25 | 2244 |
| 21004005:  Ward 5 | 268 | | 143 | 244 | 472 | 534 |  | 353 |  | 144 | 54 | 30 | 6 | - | - | 2246 |
| 21004006:  Ward 6 | 108 | | 62 | 106 | 215 | 230 |  | 191 |  | 178 | 101 | 33 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1235 |
| 21004007:  Ward 7 | 95 | | 53 | 60 | 244 | 229 |  | 123 |  | 65 | 15 | 5 | - | - | - | 888 |
| 21004008:  Ward 8 | 258 | | 18 | 29 | 117 | 153 |  | 134 |  | 225 | 241 | 155 | 36 | 6 | 11 | 1383 |
| 21004009:  Ward 9 | 161 | | 76 | 90 | 302 | 347 |  | 192 |  | 127 | 74 | 30 | 6 | 3 | - | 1408 |
| 21004010:  Ward 10 | 380 | | 136 | 130 | 476 | 381 |  | 296 |  | 219 | 74 | 24 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2120 |
| 21004011:  Ward 11 | 241 | | 89 | 136 | 480 | 516 |  | 254 |  | 98 | 47 | 18 | 2 | 2 | - | 1884 |
| 21004012:  Ward 12 | 8 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  | 9 |  | 22 | 30 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 106 |
| 21004013:  Ward 13 |  | 167 | 89 | 129 | 434 | 411 | 239 | | 108 | | 62 | 40 | 18 | 7 | - | 1706 |
| 21004014:  Ward 14 |  | 212 | 77 | 94 | 347 | 443 | 321 | | 127 | | 57 | 39 | 16 | 4 | 6 | 1742 |
| **Grand Tot** | **a** | **2721** | **905** | **1266** | **4163** | **4382** | **3030** | | **2172** | | **1491** | **867** | **254** | **77** | **56** | **21385** |

***Table 43***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Geography by Annual household income for Household Weighted :** | | | | | | | |  | |  | |  |  | | | |
| **No income** | | **R 1 – R48** | **R 4801 9600** | **- R 9601 – R19600** | **R 19 601 – R38200** | **R 38 20**  **R7640** | **1**  **0** | **R 76 401 R15380** | **0** | **R 153 80 R30760** | **1** | **R 307 601 – R614400** | **R 614 001**  **R1228800** | **R 1 228 80 R245760** | **1 R 2 457 6**  **0 or more** | **Grand Tot**  **e** |
| 21004001:  Ward 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21004002:  Ward 2 | 38 | 18 | 51 | 174 | 249 | 122 |  | 62 |  | 32 |  | 25 | 7 | 1 | - | 779 |
| 21004003:  Ward 3 | 29 | 166 | 75 | 459 | 394 | 294 |  | 226 |  | 93 |  | 33 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1942 |
| 21004004:  Ward 4 | 24 | 355 | 82 | 271 | 265 | 242 |  | 175 |  | 178 |  | 116 | 53 | 14 | 6 | 1702 |
| 21004005:  Ward 5 | 25 | 022 | 38 | 171 | 227 | 261 |  | 395 |  | 432 |  | 298 | 94 | 29 | 25 | 2244 |
| 21004006:  Ward 6 | 26 | 8143 | 244 | 472 | 534 | 353 |  | 144 |  | 54 |  | 30 | 6 | - | - | 2246 |
| 21004007:  Ward 7 | 10 | 862 | 106 | 215 | 230 | 191 |  | 178 |  | 101 |  | 33 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1235 |
| 21004008:  Ward 8 | 95 | 53 | 60 | 244 | 229 | 123 |  | 65 |  | 15 |  | 5 | - | - | - | 888 |
| 21004009:  Ward 9 | 25 | 818 | 29 | 117 | 153 | 134 |  | 225 |  | 241 |  | 155 | 36 | 6 | 11 | 1383 |
| 21004010:  Ward 10 | 16 | 176 | 90 | 302 | 347 | 192 |  | 127 |  | 74 |  | 30 | 6 | 3 | - | 1408 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.3.2** | **LABOUR FORCE: GEOGRAPHY BY SUMMATION** |

### *Table: 44* Geography by summation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Area** | **Number** |  |  | **Percentage** |  | |
| **Employed** | **Unemployed** | **Total** | **Employed** | **Unemployed** | **Total** |
| Ward 1 | 1 123 | 94 | 1 217 | 92.3 | 7.7 | 100 |
| Ward 2 | 1 367 | 939 | 2 305 | 59.3 | 40.7 | 100 |
| Ward 3 | 1 686 | 586 | 2 272 | 74.2 | 25.8 | 100 |
| Ward 4 | 2 809 | 502 | 3 311 | 84.8 | 15.2 | 100 |
| Ward 5 | 1 617 | 1 321 | 2 938 | 55 | 45 | 100 |
| Ward 6 | 930 | 542 | 1 472 | 63.1 | 36.9 | 100 |
| Ward 7 | 695 | 514 | 1 209 | 57.5 | 42.5 | 100 |
| Ward 8 | 1 243 | 127 | 1 369 | 90.8 | 9.2 | 100 |
| Ward 9 | 1 017 | 910 | 1 927 | 52.8 | 47.2 | 100 |
| Ward 10 | 1 407 | 1 269 | 2 677 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 100 |
| Ward 11 | 1 325 | 1 019 | 2 344 | 56.5 | 43.5 | 100 |
| Ward 12 | 117 | 2 | 119 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Ward 13 | 1 953 | 793 | 2 746 | 71.1 | 28.9 | 100 |
| Ward 14 | 1 946 | 642 | 2 589 | 75.2 | 24.8 | 100 |

### 2.3.3 LABOUR STATUS AND TRENDS

#### *Table: 45* Labour Status

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SOCIO ECONOMIC ANALYSIS** | **I** | **MAKANA AREA (STATSSA 2001)** | **MAKANA AREA**  **(STATSSA 2011)** | **%** | **GROWTH % p.a** |
| Total Population | |  | | |  |
| Labour status 15 to 65 |  | 50 915 | 52 582 |  |  |
| Employed |  | 14 922 | 19 234 | 36.6 | 2.9 |
| Unemployed |  | 14 489 | 9 260 | 17.6 | -3.6 |
| Non economically active |  | 21 504 | 24 088 | 45.8 | 1.2 |

**Source: Stats SA 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.3.4** | **FORMAL AND INFORMAL EMPLOYMENT** |

Over the past two decades, informal sector employment in Makana local municipality has more than double

***Table 46***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Informal Sector Employment** | **1995** |  | **2000** |  | **2005** |  | **2010** |  | **2015** |  | **Growth rate** |
|  | **1995 - 2015** |
| Formal employment | 16 | 6 | 15 | 8 | 15 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 15 | 7 | -5% |
| Formal: Skilled | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | -3% |
| Formal: Semi-skilled | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 5 | -2% |
| Formal: Low skilled | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 1 | -9% |
| Informal employment | 3 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 113% |
| Formal + Informal employment | 20 | 4 | 19 | 6 | 19 | 3 | 20 | 5 | 23 | 9 | 17% |

 between 1995 and 2015, employment in the informal sector grew most in Ikwezi and Makana

*Figure 13:*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | | | | | | | 1 | Ikwezi |
| 2 | Ndlambe |
| 3 | Blue Crane |
| 4 | Makana |
| 5 | Kouga |
| 6 | Camdeboo |
| 7 | Sarah |
| 8 | Sundays |
| 9 | Baviaans |
| 10 | Kou-Kamma |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |
| 148% | 113% | 112% | 105% | 102% | 86% | 83% | 48% | 47% | 25% |  |  |

**2.3.5 SKILLS IN MAKANA FORMAL EMPLOYMENT**

Skills in Makana’s Formal employment (For every 10 people employed in the formal sector, 2 are skilled)

##### Table 47

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **EMPLOYMENT** | **PERCENTAGE** |  |
| **FORMAL EMPLOYMENT** |  | 66% |
| Low skilled |  | 39% |
| Semi-skilled |  | 41% |
| Formal skilled |  | 20% |
| **INFORMAL EMPLOYMENT** |  | 34% |

**2.3.6 SECTOR CONTRIBUTION TO EMPLOYMENT IN MAKANA AND GVA, 2015**

##### Table 48

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DEPARTMENTS** | **PERCENTAGE** | **GVA** |
| Agriculture | 10% | 2.40% |
| Mining | 0% | 0.10% |
| Manufacturing | 6% | 11.60% |
| Electricity | 0% | 1.00% |
| Construction | 8% | 4.50% |
| Trade | 25% | 19.20% |
| Transport | 5% | 7.90% |
| Finance | 10% | 19.50% |
| Community services | 19% | 8.90% |
| General government | 17% | 24.90% |
| **TOTAL** | **100%** | **100%** |

**2.3.7 SECTORS CONTRIBUTION TO GDP: (MAKANA, 2015)**

***Table 49***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SECTORS** | **PERCENTAGE** |  |
| Agriculture |  | 1% |
| Mining |  | 0% |
| Manufacturing |  | 12% |
| Electricity |  | 1% |
| Construction |  | 5% |
| Trade |  | 19% |
| Transport |  | 8% |
| Finance |  | 19% |
| Community services |  | 9% |
| General government |  | 25% |

#### 2.3.8 SECTORS WITH COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE

##### Table 50

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SECTORS** | **PERCENTAGE** |
| Leather and leather products | 3.71 |
| Motor vehicles + parts | 2.6 |
| Transport equipment | 2.24 |
| Education | 2.03 |
| Rubber products | 1.94 |
| Non-metallic mineral products | 1.73 |
| Catering and accommodation services | 1.66 |
| Other community services | 1.55 |
| Community and personal services | 1.51 |
| National and Provincial government | 1.5 |
| General government | 1.49 |
| Local government | 1.42 |
| Basic chemicals | 1.36 |
| Health and social work (Private) | 1.28 |
| Trade | 1.27 |
| Food | 1.27 |
| Wholesale and retail trade | 1.24 |
| Textiles | 1.17 |
| Tertiary sector | 1.17 |
| Construction | 1.16 |
| Business activities n.e.c. | 1.15 |
| Agriculture | 1.13 |
| Textiles, clothing and leather goods | 1.12 |
| Food, beverages and tobacco | 1.06 |
| Business services | 1.03 |
| Other manufacturing groups | 1.01 |
| Professional business services | 1 |

#### 2.3.9 INVESTMENT IN MAKANA

Building and construction works investment in Makana is 48%, machinery 38% and total investment in 2015 was R961 million.

##### Table 51

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SECTORS** | **PERCENTAGE** |  |
| Transfer costs |  | 2% |
| Building and construction works |  | 48% |
| Machinery and other equipment |  | 38% |
| Transport equipment |  | 12% |

##### 2.4 INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT 2.4.1 INSTITUTIONAL PLAN

**2.4.1.1 COMPOSITION OF THE MUNICIPALITY**

Makana Municipality is established in terms of Section 12 of the Municipal Structures Act, 1998 (Act 118 of 1998) as a Municipality with a Mayoral Executive System combined with a Ward Participatory System. Section 2(b) of the Municipal Systems Act states that a Municipality is constituted by its Political Structures, Administration and Community.

**Political structures include:**

The Municipal Council which comprises 27 Councillors, 14 of whom are Ward Councillors and 13 of whom are Proportional Representation (PR) Councillors;

The Office of the Speaker: the Speaker is the Chairperson of the Municipal Council; The Executive Mayor and Executive Mayoral Committee; and Portfolio Committees who oversight Directorate operations.

**The Administration is divided in 6 Directorate Namely:**

**Table 52**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DIRECTORATE** | | **OFFICE** |
| 01. | The Municipal Manager’s Office | City Hall, Church Square, Grahamstown |
| 02. | Corporate and Shared Services | City Hall, Church Square, Grahamstown |
| 03. | Public Safety and Community  Services | City Hall, Church Square, Grahamstown |
| 04. | Budget and Treasury Office | 86 High Street, Grahamstown |
| 05. | Engineering, Infrastructure and Technical Services | 86 High Street, Grahamstown |
| 06. | Local Economic Development and  Planning | Church Square, Grahamstown |
| **SATELLITE OFFICES** | |  |
| 01. | Riebeeck East | 63 Morgan Street Riebeeck East 580 |
| 02. | Alicedale | 1003 Main Alicedale 6135 |

The municipality has created community structures including: Ward Committees, the IDP Representative Forum, Sector Engagements Forums and Ward Room Forums, Business sector forums

**2.4.1.2 POWERS AND FUNCTIONS**

Section 156, read together with Schedules 4B and 5B of the Constitution sets out the functions of a Municipality. Section 84 of the Municipal Structures Act regulates the division of these functions between the District and Local Municipality.







The Makana LM currently has 36 different functions, based on the Municipal Systems Act, No 32 of 2000 and Act 117 of 1998.

**Table 53**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **POWERS AND FUNCTION** | **No.** | **POWERS AND FUNCTION** |
| 1. | Child care facilities | 19. | Local amenities |
| 2. | Firefighting DM function | 20. | Municipal parks and recreation |
| 3. | Municipal airports | 21. | Municipal Roads |
| 4. | Municipal Health services | 22. | Noise pollution |
| 5. | Pontoons and ferries | 23. | Pound |
| 6. | Trading regulations | 24. | Public places |
| 7. | Sanitation | 25. | Refuse removal, refuse dumps and solid waste disposal |
| 8. | Building regulations | 26. | Control of undertakings that sell liquor to the public |
| 9. | Electricity reticulation | 27. | Fencing and fences |
| 10. | Local tourism | 28. | Street trading |
| 11. | Municipal planning | 29. | Street lighting |
| 12. | Municipal public transport | 30. | Traffic and parking |
| 13. | Stormwater | 31. | Control of public nuisance |
| 14. | Water (potable) | 32. | Fencing and fences |
| 15. | Cemeteries, funeral parlours and crematoria | 33 | Licensing of dogs |
| 16. | Billboards and the display of advertisements in public places | 34. | Licensing and control of undertakings that sell food to the public |
| 17. | Facilities for the accommodation, care and burial of animals | 35. | Cleansing |
| 18. | Local sport facilities | 36 | Air Pollution |



|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.4.1.3** | **ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE** |

Figure15



**MUNICIPAL**



**MANAGER**



**BUDGET AND**

**TREASURY**

**DIRECTORATE**





**CORPORATE &**

**SHARED**

**SERVICES**

**DIRECTORATE**





**PUBLIC SAFETY**

**COMMUNITY &**

**SOCIAL SERVICES**



**DIRECTORATE**



**ENGINEERING and**

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

**DIRECTORATE**




**LOCAL ECONOMIC**

**DEVELOPMENT**

**AND PLANNING**

**DIRECTORATE**



**INTERNAL AUDIT**

**RISK MANAGEMENT**

**EXECUTIVE SUPPORT**

**STRATEGIC PLANNING AND**

**MONITORING**

**Table 54**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DIRECTORATE** | **DEPARTMENT** |
| Municipal Manager’s Office | * Risk Management * Internal Audit * Executive support * Strategic Planning and Monitoring * Information Technology and Communication * Legal and Land Estate |
| Corporate and Share Services | * Human Resources * Legal Services * Administration * Council support * Records Management |
| Budget and Treasury Services | * Budget * Revenue Management * Expenditure Management * Supply Chain Management * Compliance and Reporting * Fleet and Asset Management |
| Public Safety and Community Services | * Library services * Environmental management * Waste Management * Traffic control and road worthy * Fire and rescue services * Disaster management |
| Engineering and Technical Services | * Water and sanitation * Roads and storm water * Electricity * Housing * PMU |
| Local Economic Development and  Planning | * SMME development * Tourism * Trade and investment * Heritage development * Agriculture * Spatial Development Framework * Rural Development |

###### 2.4.1.4 ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE CHALLENGES

The municipality annually undertakes a comprehensive organisational development exercise for the entire institution. The objective is the alignment of the current and future organisational needs. The current organisational structure which is under review was approved by Council in January 2017.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.4.1.5** | **STAFFING AND ESTABLISHMENT, CRITICAL POSITION AND VACANCY RATE** |

**Table 55**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.4.3.2.1: VACANCY RATE SCHEDULE** | | |
| Total positions of the entity (incl. non-funded positions) | Total vacant non-funded positions | Total Vacant Positions as per Organogram |
| **1031** | **290** | **405** |

**Table 56**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **POSITION** | **TASK GRADE** | **STATUS** |
| **MUNICIPAL MANAGER'S OFFICE** | | |
| 1 X Municipal Manager | Section 56 | Advertised |
| 1 X Manager ICT | 15 | Filled |
| 1 X Secretary to the MM | 7 | Awaiting for motivation |
| 1 X Systems Administrator | 12 | Interviews: 26 February 2018 |
| TOTAL VACANT POSITIONS | 4 |  |
| **ENGINEERING & INFRASTRUCTURAL SERVICES** | | |
| 1 X Manager: PMU | 16 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Sewer Worker / Operator Grade 2 | 3 | Moratorium |
| 1 X TLB Operator: Water Reticulation /  Maintenance | 6 | Re-advertised :31 March 2017 (on hold due to moratorium) |
| 2 X Truck Drivers: Water Reticulation /  Maintenance | 6 | To be re-advertised |
| 1 X Manager: Roads & Storm water | 15 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Senior Technician | 14 | Moratorium |
| 11 X General Worker | 3 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Shift man | 6 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Senior Mechanic | 10 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Superintendent | 11 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Plumber | 9 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Pump Attendant | 6 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Light Fitter High mast | 5 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Driver | 6 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Supervisor Driver | 6 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Grader Operator | 6 | Moratorium |
| **TOTAL VACANT POSITIONS** | **27** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **COMMUNITY & PUBLIC SAFETY** | | |
| **POSITION** | **TASK GRADE** | **STATUS** |
| 1 X Sports and Recreation Officer | 11 | Moratorium |
| 4 X Machine Operator | 3 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Tractor Driver | 5 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Truck Driver | 6 | Moratorium |
| 2 X Machine Operator (Vegetation  Control Unit) | 4 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Machine Operator (Sports Ground) | 4 | Moratorium |
| 2 X Leading Hand (Nursery) | 5 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Foreman (Auxiliary Services) | 9 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Commonage Artisan | 3 | Moratorium |
| 1 X General Worker (Collection) | 3 | Moratorium |
| 2 X Library Assistant | 5 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Toilet Cleaner | 3 | Moratorium |
| 2 X Cleaner | 3 | Moratorium |
| 2 X General Worker | 3 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Street Sweeper | 3 | Moratorium |
| **TOTAL VACANT POSITIONS** | **23** |  |
| **CLEANSING DEPARTMENT** | | |
| 1 X Superintendent | 9 | Ready for shortlisting |
| 1 X Driver Supervisor | 7 | Ready for shortlisting |
| 5 X General Worker (Cleansing) | 3 | Moratorium |
| 2 X Toiler Cleaner (Cleansing) | 3 | Moratorium |
| 2 X Street Sweeper (Cleansing) | 3 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Tractor Driver (Cleansing) | 5 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Loader Trailer (Cleansing) | 3 | Moratorium |
| Library Services |  |  |
| 1 X Librarian | 11 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Mobile Library Assistant | 5 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Library Assistant | 5 | Moratorium |
| **TOTAL VACANT POSITIONS** | 16 |  |
| **Traffic Department** | | |
| 1 X Traffic Officer Gr2 | 10 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Foreman-Examiner Technical Service | 10 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Typist / Clerk | 6 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Road Painter | 3 | Moratorium |
| **TOTAL VACANT POSITIONS** | **4** |  |
| **Fire Department** | | |
| 3 X Fire Fighter | 7 | Interviews for 1 X Fire Fighter:04 May 2017  (Put on Hold due to moratorium) |
| 1 X Disaster Management Officer | 10 | Moratorium |
| **TOTAL VACANT POSITIONS** | 4 |  |
| **LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 1 x Manager: SMME & Trade  Investment | 15 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Building Inspector | 10 | Moratorium |
| **TOTAL VACANT POSITIONS** | **2** |  |
| **CORPORATE & SHARED SERVICES** | | |
| 1 X Secretary to Director | 7 | Moratorium |
| **POSITION** | **TASK GRADE** | **STATUS** |
| 2 X Records Clerk (Registry) | 5 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Committee Clerk | 7 | Moratorium |
| 1 X Employee Wellness Practitioner | 10 | Moratorium |
| 2 X General Worker (Alicedale) | 3 | Moratorium |
| **TOTAL VACANT POSITIONS** | **8** |  |
| **BUDGET & TREASURY** | | |
| 1X Senior Clerk: Rates & Indigent | 7 | Closing date: 09 February 2018 |
| 1 X Clerk Grade / Payroll Clerk | 6 | Moratorium |
| **TOTAL VACANT POSITIONS** | **2** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.4.1.6** | **FILLED SENIOR MANAGERS POSITION AND VACANT** |

**Table 57**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **OFFICE** | **POSITION** | **NAME** |
| **EXECUTIVE MAYOR** | Strategic Manager | Vacant |
| SPU Manager | Mr. V. Wali |
| **SPEAKERS OFFICE** | Manager in the Office of the Speaker | Ms. N. Santi |
| **MM’S OFFICE** | MUNICIPAL MANAGER | VACANT |
| Risk Officer | Mrs. N, Kosi |
| Strategic Planning & Monitoring | Mr. M. Pasiya |
| Manager Internal Audit | Ms A. Njomeni |
| Manager ICT | Mr. L. Mhlekwa |
| PMU Manager | In progress |
| Manager: Support Services | vacant |
| Unit Manager Riebeeck East | Ms. N. Kulati |
| **CORPORATE AND SHARED**  **SERVICES** | DIRECTOR | Mrs. N. Mazwayi |
| Manager Administration | Mr. W. Mager |
| Human Resources Manager | Mr. X. Kalashe |
| Operations Manager | Mr. Ngandi |
| Records Manager | Ms. N Xintolo |
| Unit Manager Alicedale | Mr. G. Goliath |
| Legal Manager | Mrs. N Mbanjwa |
| **FINANCE DIRECTORATE** | CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER | Mr G. Goliath |
| Manager Expenditure | Mr. M. Crouse |
| Manager Revenue & Data Control | vacant |
| Manager Supply Chain | Ms. Z. Gxowa |
| Manager Compliance & Reporting | Vacant |
| **LOCAL ECONOMIC**  **DEVELOPMENT** | DIRECTOR | Ms. R. Meiring |
| Manager Agriculture | Ms. P. Gqweta |
| Manager SMME | Vacant |
| Manager Tourism & Heritage | Mr. T. Sindane |
| Deputy Director Planning & Dev. | Vacant |
| Manager Planning & Estate | Vacant |
| **ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL**  **SERVICES** | **DIRECTOR** | **Mr. D. Mlenzana (M)** |
| Deputy Director | Mr. S. Nomandela |
| Operations Manager | Vacant |
| **ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENT** | Deputy Director | Mr. M.J. Siteto |
| Town Electrical Engineer | Mr. M. Radu |
| **ROADS & STORMWATER** | Manager | Mr Mhlaba |
| **WATER & SEWERAGE** | Manager | Ms Soyizwapi |
| **PUBLIC SAFETY AND**  **COMMUNITY SERVICES** | **DIRECTOR** | **Mr. K. Makgoka** |
| **FIRE & RESCUE SERVICES** | Manager | Mr. Welkom |
| **LIBRARY SERVICES** | Manager | Ms. P. Vubela |
| **TRAFFIC SERVICES** | Manager | Mr. Hanekom |
| **PARKS DEPARTMENT** | Manager | Mr. J. Budaza |
| **ENVIRONMENTAL**  **MANAGEMENT** | Manager | Mr. N. Nongwe |
| **ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH** | Manager | Mr. J. Esterhuizen |
| **OPERATIONS MANAGER** | Manager | Mr. Smaile |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.4.2** |  | **LABOUR RELATIONSHIP** |

Makana Local Municipality acknowledges that the primary goals of employer-employee relations are to create a productive, engaged workforce and to eliminate the perception that organized labour and management have a perpetually adversarial relationship. A number of industrial relations objectives can help both labour unions and employers attain those goals. Fundamental to labour-management goals is a clear understanding of the Labour Relations Act. Makana LM has a formal recognised agreement with the South African Municipal Workers Union (SAMWU) and Independent Municipal Allied Trade Union (IMATU) and South African Local Government Association (SALGA).

In 2017 Salaries and wage adjustments affecting the organised labour have been satisfactorily concluded for three years. Makana LM has a disciplinary and grievance procedure in place to settle and resolve any internal matters.

An Industrial Relations Officer position has been filled with the aim of improving employer employee relations. The following committees are functional in order to enhance constructive consultation: Local Labour Forum (LLF), Employment Equity, Training and Development and Health and Safety.

As part of improving labour relationship, the municipality established wellness section to pursue employee wellness initiatives and, within this effort, has implemented an HIV/AIDS prevention programme that serves to create awareness and share information on available assistance for HIV/AIDS treatment. The initiative has also offered elected community members as peers educators so that participants are able to interact meaningfully with affected persons, both within the workplace and in the broader community.

**2.4.3 WORK SKILLS PLAN AND TRAINING DEVELOPMENT**

Workplace Skills Plan is developed annually by the Skills Development Facilitator. This is a product of numerous processes that ranged from identifying the employee current, gaps or future skills. The Workplace Skills Plan is approved by Council with a specific budget and implementation report is submitted to the Local Government SETA on the 30 March 2018 to recover a portion of monies spent on implementing the plan. The Makana LM offers various annual in-service training, internship opportunities to promote the development of skills in specific areas in order to encourage growth and exposure for the youth.

Targeted training and employment are also used to affirm previously disadvantaged categories, with a special training initiative to address the gender imbalances in the workforce. There has been a particular focus on developing women as water treatment works operators over the past five years, this trend will continue in the future.

Municipality has developed critical and scarcity policy to ensure municipality is attracting and retain skilled personnel more special for service delivery functions.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.4.4** |  | **PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT** |

It is noted that the municipality has revised its Performance Management System and was approved by Council in June 2016. PMS is not implemented across municipality. Performance evaluations are only done at senior management level only.

Makana does not actively manage performance outcomes in relation to development, managing poor performance and recognition of performance. There is lack of consequence for poor performance and transgression of policies and procedures within the municipality. This has created a culture of non-performance which has a negative impact on service delivery, financial management sustainability and the audit outcome.

Some of the root causes that have been identified to have led to performance management related issues include: The non-availability of a champion to oversee the implementation of The Performance Management System within the municipality; and The lack of segregation of duties between the IDP/PMS Manager and the relevant personnel responsible for Performance Management within the municipality as organisational performance management fall within the IDP/PMS function. This weakens the control environment and the effective implementation of the performance management system (PMS) within the municipality.

The municipality will therefore undertake a process of performance review of all municipal employees and implement measures to address poor or non-performance. The human resources function has not undertaken any workshops on performance management for all departments and/ or employees within the municipality, specifically regarding target setting which requires performance targets to be specific in clearly identifying the nature and required level of performance.

Evidence also suggests that thirty-three percent (33%) of the indicators were not well defined, specific or time-bound according to the SMART principle and hence, it is critical that the human resource function takes the role of work shopping all stakeholders on performance management. The human resource function in the municipality requires support in the development and implementation of a good practice framework and/ or guidelines to ensure that the performance management framework illustrates how key performance indicators (KPIs) should be cascaded from the strategic level to the lowest level in the municipality.

**2.4.5 INSTITUTIONAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES**

**Table 58**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| N**O** | **POLICY TITLE** | **DIRECTORATE** | **LAST REVIEW** |
| 1 | Telephone Use | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-2017 |
| 2 | Use of Municipal halls | Corporate and Shared Services | 11-Jun-15 |
| 3 | Report Writing | Corporate and Shared Services | 11-Jun-15 |
| 4 | Records Management | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-16 |
| 5 | Communication | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-16 |
| 6 | Termination of Employment | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-2017 |
| 7 | Employee Wellness programme | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-2017 |
| 8 | Internship | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-2017 |
| 9 | Leave of absence and procedure | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-2017 |
| 10 | Overtime and procedure | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-2017 |
| 11 | Recruitment and Selection | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-2017 |
| 12 | Retention | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-2017 |
| 13 | Study Assistance | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-2017 |
| 14 | Training and Development | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-16 |
| 15 | Transport allowance | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-16 |
| 16 | Fleet Management | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-16 |
| 17 | Subsistence & Travel | Corporate and Shared Services | 24-Jun-16 |
| 18 | Placement, Promotion,  Demotion and Transfer Policy | Corporate and Shared Services | Adopted in 2016 |
| 19 | Dress Code Policy | Corporate and Shared Services | Adopted in 2016 |
| 20 | Municipal Residents Policy | LED and Planning | Adopted in 2016 |
| 21 | Land Disposal Policy | LED and Planning | 24-Jun-16 |
| 22 | ICT Policy Framework | Municipal Manager’s Office | 11-Jun-15 |
| 23 | Information & Technology Change Management Policy | Municipal Manager’s Office | 11-Jun-15 |
| 24 | IT Use Policy | Municipal Manager’s Office | 11-Jun-15 |
| 25 | Change Control Policy and Procedure | Municipal Manager’s Office | 24-Jun-16 |
| 26 | Fraud Prevention | Municipal Manager’s Office | 11-Jun-15 |
| 27 | Risk Management Policy | Municipal Manager’s Office | 24-Mar-13 |
| 28 | International Relations | Municipal Manager’s Office | New |
| 29 | IGR Framework | Municipal Manager’s Office | New |
| 30 | Catering | Municipal Manager’s Office | Last review 2014 |
| 31 | Performance  Management Policy | Municipal Manager’s Office | Last reviewed in 2017 |
| 32 | Property Rates | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 33 | Property Rates by-law | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 34 | Assistance to the poor  & Indigent | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 35 | Cash Management and Investment | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 36 | Funding Reserves | Budget and Treasury | 11-Jun-15 |
| 37 | Tariff | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 38 | Expenditure | Budget and Treasury | 24-Jun-16 |
| 39 | Budget | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 40 | Asset Management | Budget and Treasury | 11-Jun-15 |
| 41 | Supply Chain Management | Budget and Treasury | 24-Jun-16 |
| 42 | Petty cash | Budget and Treasury | 24-Jun-16 |
| 43 | Grant in Aid in Lieu of Rates | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 44 | Credit Control and Debt Collection | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 45 | Credit Control and Revenue Management by-law | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 46 | Provision of Debt Write off – Doubtful debt | Budget and Treasury | 26-May-16 |
| 47 | Inventory Management | Budget and Treasury | 24-Jun-16 |
| 48 | Virement | Budget and Treasury | 11-Jun-15 |
| 49 | HIV/AIDS | Mayor’s Office |  |
| 50 | Grants and Donations | Mayor’s Office | 11-Jun-15 |

**2.5. GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Makana Municipality is a Category B Municipality (local municipality) with an executive Mayoral Committee System combined with a Ward Participatory System, as defined under Chapter 1 of the Local Government: Municipal Structures Act No. 117 of 1998. The Political and Administrative seat is situated in Grahamstown.

#### 2.5.1 STRUCTURES

Section 53 of the Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 200), stipulates inter alia that the respective roles and areas of responsibilities of each political structures and each Political Office Bearer of the Municipality and the Municipal Manager must be defined. Makana Municipality has Fourteen (14) wards and 27 Councillors.

##### 2.5.2 MAKANA POLITICAL STRUCTURE OVERVIEW

The Makana Local Municipality was established in terms of Section 12 of the Local Government Municipal Structures Act (Act No. 177 of 1998). Council has of twenty seven (27) Councillors (including the Mayor), 13 of whom are proportional councillors.

The municipality operates in an Executive Mayoral Committee system with an Executive Mayor and five Portfolio Chairpersons being members of the Mayoral Committee. The Council plays an oversight role in terms of the delegations and the Mayor and council provides political guidance over the financial matters of the Municipality.

There is a fully functional Audit Committee attached to the municipality that provides opinions and recommendations on financial processes and performance and provides comments to the Oversight Committee on the Annual Report.


The Municipal Public Accounts Committee is comprised of non-executive councillors, with the specific purpose of providing the Council with comments and recommendations on the Annual Report. The Oversight Committee report will be published separately in accordance with MFMA guidance.

###### 2.5.2.1 OUTLINE OF THE POLITICAL STRUCTURE

Figure16



**COUNCIL**




SPEAKER



EXECUTIVE MAYOR



MAYORAL COMMITTEE



**AUDIT**

**COMMITTEE**

**MPEC**



**STANDING COMMITTEE**

Financial Admin, Monitoring &

Evaluation

Social Services Development

Infrastructure Development

Local Economic Development

Tourism and Creative Industries

**Table 59**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **EXECUTIVE MAYOR:** | **CLR N. Gaga** |
| **MAYORAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS** |  |
| Chairperson of Social Services Development Committee | **Cllr P. Matyumza** |
| Chairperson of Finance, Administration, Monitoring and  Evaluation Committee | **Cllr N. Masoma** |
| Chairperson of Infrastructure Development Committee | **Cllr M. Matyumza** |
| Chairperson of Local Economic Development Committee | **Cllr E. Louw** |
| Chairperson of Tourism and Creative Industries Committee. | **Cllr M. Khubalo** |

## SPEAKER AND COUNCIL

### *Table 60*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SPEAKER:** | | **CLR YANDISWA VARA** | |
| **COUNCILLORS** | |  | |
| **NO** | **SURNAME AND INITIALS** | **WARD** | **ORGANISATION** |
| 01 | BRUINTJIES T. F (M) | PR | DA |
| 02 | CLARK C. (F) | PR | DA |
| 03 | FARGHER B. W. (M) | 04 | DA |
| 04 | FATYI M. (M) | 13 | ANC |
| 05 | **MPAHLWA M. (EXECUTIVE MAYOR)** (M) | EM | ANC |
| 06 | GAUSHE T. (F) | 06 | ANC |
| 07 | GOJELA M.(M) | 11 | ANC |
| 08 | HOLM D. B. ( | 12 | DA` |
| 09 | JACKSON B. (M) | 08 | DA |
| **10** | **KHUBALO M. (Chairperson TCI)** (M) | **07** | **ANC** |
| 11 | **LOUW E. (Chairperson Local Economic Development)** (M) | **14** | **ANC** |
| 12 | MADYO X.G.(M) | PR | DA |
| 13 | **MASOMA N. (Chairperson FAME)** (F) | PR | ANC |
| 14 | **MATYHUMZA M. (Chairperson Engineering & Infrastructure)** (M) | PR | ANC |
| 15 | **MATYHUMZA P (Chairperson** **Social Services Development)** (F) | PR | ANC |
| 16 | MEYER A. J. | PR | DA |
| 17 | MOYA M. (M) | 09 | ANC |
| 18 | MTWA N. (F) | PR | EFF |
| 19 | NASE L. (M) | 05 | ANC |
| 20 | NHANHA M. A. (M) | PR | DA |
| 21 | PIETERS N.M. (F) | 01 | ANC |
| 22 | QOTOYI M. (M) | PR | EFF |
| 23 | SAKATA L. (M) | 10 | ANC |
| 24 | SEYISI T. (F) | 13 | ANC |
| 25 | SODLADLA S ( | PR | ANC |
| 26 | **VARA Y. (Speaker)** (F) | PR | ANC |
| 27 | XONXA N. R. (M) | 02 | ANC |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.5.3** | **COUNCIL COMMITTEES** |

Governance and oversight within the municipality must be strengthened for improved decision making and service delivery. A meeting schedule for all Council and Committee meetings is compiled and approved by Council for a financial year. With a few exceptions, meeting dates are adhered to and well attended by Councillors and Officials.

A Control and Monitoring Sheet was developed which sets clear time-lines for among others the compilation and distribution of agendas, the finalization of minutes and the date the minutes and action sheets should be distributed to Directors and Managers for implementation. This has contributed to the timeous implementation of council resolutions and committee decisions within the municipality. Adherence to the scheduled dates of Council and Committee meetings is critical. There is a need for an improvement on the monitoring of the implementation of council resolutions.

The implementation and enforcement of a code of conduct for councillors is critical. The system of delegations has been reviewed. The political component of the municipality should however also be addressed.

Adequate personnel is available to provide administrative services for Council and Committee meetings. The personnel however lack experience but are receiving regular in-service training. The late submission of agenda items and/ or relevant reports for the meetings is being addressed with Directors and Managers.

The culture of poor or non-performance and the perception that transgressions were an accepted norm within the municipality should be addressed. This would assist in improving service delivery, financial management and the audit outcomes.

The municipality has developed a communication strategy, and a public participation and communication (internally and externally) programme on continued basis and is enhanced.

### 2.5.3.1 Section 80 Committees

* Financial Admin, Monitoring & Evaluation
* Social Services Development
* **I**nfrastructure Development
* Local Economic Development
* Tourism and Culture Industries

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.5.4** | **WARD COMMITTEES AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT WORKERS** |

**Section 79 & 80 Committees**

Makana Municipality has 13 Ward committees in place the 14th ward is the Rhodes University area and Grahamstown Foundation (Monument) and the matter is the subject of an IEC probe. Issues pertinent to IDP are referred from these structures and other fora like IDP Representative Forum which they are part of and through the Ward Councillor to the IDP unit for attention.

A Community Development Worker (CDW) is based in each Ward and acts as an ex-officio member in the Ward Committee. Ward Committees meet on a monthly basis and regular constituency meetings are held, to keep the communities informed about Municipal affairs, progress with project implementation and also to receive public input on matters of general concern.

**2.5.5 UNIT BASED PLANNING**

The Ward-based public participation for developing the 2016 – 2017 IDP and its annual review was even more rigorous than previous year. Community-Based Planning Workshops were held for 13 Wards and a comprehensive CBP Report containing extensive data for each Ward which was a final outcome was compiled. This in turn informed Municipality and Sector Department as to the Development Needs & Priorities of the Communities and assisted them in their project planning & design for the forthcoming fiscal and outer years. Community Consultation & Participation is taken further by regular Ward Meetings held by Ward Councillor and their Ward Committees, as well as the Mayoral Imbizo and other events.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.5.6** | **INTER-GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS** |

The Honourable Mayor established an Inter-Governmental Relations Forum and the forum reviewed the local IGR Strategy, to ensure its efficient and effective functioning and also clarifying the aims & objectives, as well as the roles and responsibilities of the IGR and its various stakeholders. This structure also serves to co-ordinate the planning of projects and implementation of programmes stemming from the IDP process, Ward-based Plans, etc. The meetings of the IGR Forum are chaired by the Mayor and convened on a quarterly basis with all local Government Departments being represented by Senior-Decision makers.

**2.5.6.1 National Intergovernmental Structures**

As an active member of the South African Local Government Association, the Makana Municipality plays an active role when National Planning Proposals are discussed.

**2.5.6.2 Provincial Intergovernmental Structures**

The Municipal Manager attends all meetings of the Provincial Muni-MEC and similar structures with all resolutions taken at that level being worked into the Makana Council agenda. The value in this interaction is in ensuring that the needs of the local community are considered when the implementation of Government Projects is discussed.

**2.5.6.3 District Intergovernmental Structures**

The Makana Municipality regularly interacts with the other Municipalities in the District as the Government has created platforms for such deliberations, such as the Sarah Baartman District Municipal Managers Forum. This ensures that information related to new initiatives in the District is effectively relayed to the Makana Municipality’s senior management.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.5.7** | **AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE** |

During the year, the three year term of the previous committee ended on the 31 December 2017. As a result a new four member Audit and Risk Committee was appointed by Council in April 2018 effective from the 01 May 2018 – 30 April 2021. The committee holds its quarterly meetings and performs its function in terms of the Makana Municipality Audit and Risk Committee Charter approved by Council and PMS Framework which are reviewed annually before the start of each financial year.

**Other parties in attendance are:**

Makana Municipality : The Municipal Manager, Director of Budget & Treasury, Chief Financial officer , Director of LED, Director Technical & Engineering Services, Director of Corporate Services and Director Public Safety and Community Services. Internal Auditor and Auditor-General representative, IDP/PMS Manager and the MPAC Chairperson as an ex-officio.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.5.8** | **INTERNAL AUDIT UNIT** |

The Municipality has an in-house Internal Audit Unit in place that is in the office of the Municipal Manager, headed by an Internal Audit Manager. The staff component consists of Internal Audit Manager, Senior Internal Auditor and a National Treasury Intern appointed on the 05 February 2018. Risk based and statutory audits as required by section 165 of the Municipal Finance Management Act are performed each year including Ad – Hoc requests from time to time.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.5.9** | **RISK MANAGEMENT** |

**Risk management:** A risk management framework is in existence and there is a risk based audit plan but the implementation remains a challenge. In addition, the risk based audit plan needs to be reviewed and updated in light of the current challenges at the municipality. Risk management is not fully embedded in the culture and internal control processes of the municipality.

Strategic risk register has been developed and reports produced on the implementation of corrective action quarterly.

## *Table 61:* SUMMARY OF STRATEGIC INSTITUTIONAL RISKS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RISK CATEGORY** | **DESCRIPTION** |
| **No financial sustainability (not a going concern)** | 1. Low collection rate. 2. Incorrect billing. 3. Non-payment and poor debt account management. 4. Unfunded budget. 5. Not cash backed. 6. Poor relations with service provider. 7. Poor relations with residents. 8. Poor governance leading to non-payment. 9. High payroll costs. 10. Poor implementation of revenue management strategy. 11. Inaccuracy of the indigent register. |
| **Inadequate and ageing water infrastructure including plants** | 1. Ageing infrastructure. 2. Lack of preventative and scheduled maintenance of existing water reticulation system. 3. Poor implementation of maintenance plans. 4. Inadequate funding for maintenance. 5. No regular maintenance of bulk water treatment plants. 6. Non implementation of WSDP. 7. Poor spending on conditional grants. 8. Vandalism of the infrastructure. 8. Inadequate funding to replace ageing infrastructure. 9. Delays in procurement process. |
| **Ageing road and Stormwater infrastructure** | 1. Lack of maintenance, no maintenance plans. 2. Insufficient funds. 3. Lack of resources such as plant and equipment. 4. Low staff morale. 5. Inadequate maintenance of storm water infrastructure. 6. No capital budget allocation. Lack of skills. Increased traffic volumes. |
| **Unstable and poor reliability of supply of electricity** | 1. No maintenance plans. 2. Ageing infrastructure. 3. Lack of funding for maintenance. 4. Vandalism/Theft. 5. Non-compliance on calibrations of testing equipment. 6. Non-existence on mandatory trainings. 7. Delays in SCM processes for emergency situations. |
| **Solid waste management** | 1. Lack of protective wear. 2. Inadequate vehicles for refuse collection. 3. Management and maintenance of the municipal tip. 4. Illegal dumping by the communities. 5. Refuse bags not availed. Staff shortages. |
| **Performance targets not fully achieved** | 1. Setting of unrealistic targets. 2. Poor planning. 3. Poor management of leave 4. Low staff morale. 6. Shortage of skills/expertise in some areas of responsibility. 7. PMS not cascaded down to other levels of management. 2. Non alignment of budget to the IDP and SDBIP. Lack of urgency. Unfunded budget. Management responsibility to motivate their staff. Lack of consequence management. The IDP and SDBIP not prioritised. Too many crises occurring. Quarterly reviews not performed. |
| **None-compliance with laws and statutory regulations** | 1. Lack of understanding of relevant legislations. 2. Poor compliance management and oversight. 3. Lack of implementation of MFMA calendar. 4. Lack of consequence management. Lack of institutionalised process of managing compliance. |
| **Business continuity compromised** | 1. Delays in the Procurement processes of the electronic systems. 2. Lack of Prioritisation of e-governance. 3. Lack of ICT Governance. (Capacity (Financial/Human) constraints. 4. Lack of business security. 5. Logs are not reviewed regularly. 6. Segregation of duties. Poor ICT infrastructure). 7. Inadequate records management ( Poor archiving, lack of disaster recovery plan for records, limited fire proof storage space for personal records, no building plans storage in place, poor financial record keeping. 8. There is not enough storage space). 9. No backups connected. |
| **Poor contract management** | 1. Poor planning. 2. Poor management of SMME expectations. 3. Fraudulent activities. 4. Lack of urgency. 5. Projects not properly quantified. 6. Milestones not achieved. 7. Noncompliance with Basic Conditions of Employment Act (employment contracts) |
| **Poor planning of infrastructure development** | 1. Population growth. 2. Lack of Master Plans. 3. Lack of funding. 4. No updated SDF. |
| **Sewer spillages and inadequate waste water treatment plants** | 1. Inadequate capacity of the sewer system. 2. Ageing infrastructure. 3. Insufficient qualified staff. 4. Low staff morale. Inadequate maintenance. 5. No maintenance plans (for the reticulation system and plants) 6. Vandalism of plants. 7. No maintenance budget.   8. Staff shortages in critical positions. 9. Illegal settlements. 10. Use of bucket system in informal areas. |
| **Ineffective disaster management (natural disasters)** | 1. No Fire Disaster Plans. 2. Not enough vehicles. Capacity constraints. Lack of experience. Limited fire proof storage space. No capital budget. Poor financial budget. Disaster management is a district function. |

**2.5.10 AUDIT OUTCOME 2016-17:**

**2.5.10.1 BASIS FOR QUALIFICATION OPINION**

**2.5.10.1.1 Irregular expenditure**

The municipality did not have an adequate systems to identify and disclose all irregular expenditure incurred during the year as required by section 125(2) (d) (i) of the MFMA. The irregular expenditure disclosed in note 41 to the financial statements is understated in respect of amounts incurred and identified during the audit process of R25, 5 million. Due to the lack of systems it was impracticable to determine the full extent of the understatement of the irregular expenditure disclosed as R4, 4million (2016: R38, 3 million) in note 41 to the financial statements.

**2.5.10.1.2 Receivables from exchange transactions**

The municipality did not account for receivables from exchange transactions in accordance with the requirements of GRAP 104: Financial instruments and did not measure debtors when the measurement criteria had been met as debtors were not correctly valued and completely impaired. As a result, receivables from exchange transactions, as disclosed in the statement of financial position and note 3 to the financial statements, are overstated by R43, 0 million (2016: R35, 7 million) and accumulated surplus was overstated by R30,9 million and VAT by R10,2 million . I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence for receivables from exchange transactions as there was inadequate supporting documentation for debtors with credit balances and the impairment of receivables from exchange transactions. I was unable to confirm receivables from exchange transactions by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustments to receivables from exchange transactions of R 46, 1 million (2015-16: R35, 8 million) as disclosed in the statement of financial position and as disclosed in note 3 to the financial statements were necessary.

**2.5.10.1.3 Receivables from non-exchange transactions**

I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence for receivables from non-exchange transactions as there was inadequate supporting documentation for debtors with credit balances and the impairment of receivables from non-exchange transaction. I was unable to confirm receivables from non-exchange transactions by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustments to receivables from non-exchange transactions as disclosed in note 4 to the financial statements and stated at R 25, 7 million were necessary. The municipality did not account for receivables from non-exchange transactions in accordance with the requirements of GRAP 104: Financial instruments and did not measure debtors when the measurement criteria were met. Debtors were not recorded at appropriate amounts and were not adequately impaired. As a result, receivables from non-exchange transactions, as disclosed in the statement of financial position and note 4 to the financial statements, are overstated by R12, 9 million and accumulated surplus is overstated by the same amount.

**2.5.10.1.4 Revenue from exchange transactions**

The municipality did not measure revenue at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable in accordance with GRAP 9, Revenue from exchange transactions. This was due to management not having adequate systems to recognise revenue and ensure that it was correctly accounted and recorded. Consequently, revenue from exchange transactions disclosed in the statement of financial performance and note 18 is overstated by R41,9 million and receivables from exchange transactions as disclosed in the statement of financial position and note 3 to the financial statements is overstated by the same amount.

I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence for revenue from exchange transactions as other income transaction could not be substantiated by the supporting evidence provided for audit. I was unable to confirm the revenue transactions by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustments were necessary to revenue.

**2.5.10.1.5 Value Added Tax (VAT) Payable**

Sufficient appropriate audit evidence was not available to support the value added tax (VAT) payable disclosed in note 14 to the financial statements. The municipality does not have the adequate controls and system to maintain the transactions on the Vat suspense account. I was unable to obtain the required evidence by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustments to VAT payable of R14, 3 million (2015-16: R5, 0 million) disclosed in note 14 to the financial statements were necessary.

**2.5.10.1.6 Unauthorised expenditure**

I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the unauthorised expenditure as disclosed on note 39 to the financial statements, as the disclosure was presented in the financial statements for auditing purposes without accurate and complete underlying accounting records. I was unable to audit the disclosure in the financial statements by alternative means. Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustments to the unauthorised expenditure of R356, 6 million as disclosed in note 39 to the financial statements was necessary.

**2.5.10.1.7 Cash flow statement**

The presentation of the cash flow statement was not in accordance with GRAP 2: Cash flow statements. Cash flows from operating activities and cash flows from investing activities in the cash flow statement have been incorrectly presented. Consequently, the net decrease in cash and cash equivalents as disclosed on the cash flow statement is understated by R31, 0 million **(2016: R159 million)**

**2.5.10.1.8 Corresponding figures: Employee related costs**

I was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence for employee related costs disclosed in the 2015-16 financial statements. During the current year, I was again not provided with information required to substantiate these transactions because the municipality did not have adequate systems to maintain records of employee related costs. I was unable to substantiate these transactions by alternative means Consequently, I was unable to determine whether any adjustments to the corresponding figure for employee related costs of R161,1 million disclosed in the statement of financial performance and note 27 to the financial statements.

**2.5.10.2** **AUDIT OF THE ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT**

**2.5.10.2.1 KPA 1: Basic Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development**

Disclaimer of opinion: I do not express an opinion on the reported performance information for Basic service delivery and infrastructure development of the municipality. Because of the significance of the matters described in the basis for disclaimer of opinion section of my report, I have not been able to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence to provide a basis for an opinion on the reported performance information of the Basic Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development.

**2.5.10.2.2 KPA 2: Local economic development**

Qualified opinion: in my opinion, except for the possible effects of the matters described in the basis for qualified opinion section of my report, the reported performance information for Local economic development is useful and reliable in accordance with the applicable criteria as developed from the performance management and reporting framework set out in annexure D of this report.

**2.5.10.3 AUDIT OF COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATION**

Audit of compliance was assessed on the following:

* Strategic planning and performance management
* Budget
* Annual Financial Statement and Annual Report
* Expenditure Management
* Asset Management
* Liability

KPIs were not set for the provision of basic water, sanitation, electricity and solid waste removal services and the SDBIP for the year under review did not include monthly revenue projections by source of collection and the monthly operational and capital expenditure by vote(Strategic planning and performance management) • Reasonable steps not taken to prevent unauthorised expenditure (Budgets) • Material misstatements items identified by the auditors in the submitted financial statements were subsequently corrected and the supporting records were provided, but the uncorrected material misstatements resulted in the financial statements receiving qualified audit opinion and the annual performance report for the year under review did not include a comparison of the performance with set targets and comparison with the previous financial year (Annual financial statements and annual report) • Effective steps not taken to prevent irregular and FW expenditure and money owed by the municipality was not always paid within 30 days or an agreed period (Expenditure management) • An adequate management, accounting and information system which accounts for assets was not in place and an effective system of internal control for assets (including an asset register) was not in place( Asset Management) • An adequate management, accounting and information system which accounts for liabilities was not in place and an effective system of internal control for liabilities (including a liability register) was not in place(Liability Management)

* Procurement and Contract Management
* Revenue Management
* Consequence Management
* Some of the goods and services with a transaction value of below R200 000 were procured without obtaining the required price quotations,
* Some of the goods and services of a transaction value above R200 000 were procured without inviting competitive bid
* Deviations were approved by the accounting officer even though it was not impractical to invite competitive bids
* Some of the invitations for competitive bidding were not advertised for a required minimum period of days,
* Competitive bids were not always evaluated by bid evaluation committees which were composed of officials from the departments requiring the goods or services AND/OR at least one SCM practitioner of the municipality(Procurement and contract management
* Some of the contracts were awarded through a competitive bidding process that was not adjudicated by the bid adjudication committee.
* Some of the competitive bids were adjudication by a bid adjudication committee that was not composed in accordance with SCM regulation 29(2) (Procurement and contract management)
* Some of the contracts were awarded to bidders that did not score the highest points in the evaluation process (Procurement and contract management
* Contracts extended/modified without relevant approval (Procurement and contract management)
* Unauthorised, irregular and fruitless and wasteful expenditure was not investigated by the municipality to determine if any person is liable for the expenditure(Consequence management)
* Some of the fruitless and wasteful expenditure was certified by council certified as irrecoverable without having conducted an investigation to determine the recoverability of the expenditure (Consequence management)
* An adequate management, accounting and information system which accounts for revenue was not in place, An effective system of internal control for debtors and revenue was not in place and Interest was not charged on all accounts in arrears ( Revenue Management)

**2.5.10.4 AUDIT OUTCOME –EMPHASIS OF MATTERS**

**2.5.10.4.1 Fruitless and Wasteful**

As disclosed in note 40 to the financial statements, fruitless and wasteful expenditure to the amount of R5, 5 million (2016:R9, 9 million) was incurred, as a result of interest paid on overdue accounts.

**2.5.10.4.2 Restatement of Corresponding Figures**

As disclosed in note 47 to the financial statements, the corresponding figures for 30 June 2016 have been restated as a result of an error in the financial statements of the municipality at, and for the year ended, 30 June 2017

**2.5.10.4.3 Significant Uncertainties**

As disclosed in note 44 to the financial statements, the municipality was the defendant in a number of lawsuits estimated at R39, 9 million (2016: R43 million). The outcome of these lawsuits cannot be determined at present as litigation is still in progress.

**2.5.10.4.4 Material losses**

As disclosed in note 49 to the financial statements, material electricity losses to the amount of R94, 5 million (2016: R38, 6 million) was incurred which represents 13% (2016: 60.7%) of total electricity purchased. Material losses were due to tampering of meters, faulty meters and illegal connections

**2.5.10.4.5 Financial Sustainability**

As disclosed in note 52 to the financial statements, the municipality is facing a number of financial risks that cast doubt on its ability to sustain its current level of operations in the near future. The key financial risks identified include: an inability to pay creditors within due date’s negative key financial ratios including a net current liability position.

In addition, the actions taken by management to mitigate the impact of these risks are disclosed in this note.

**2.5.10.5 ROOT CAUSES**

* + Lack of records management that is efficient and organised
  + Lack of stability in top management due to unfilled vacancies that resulted in lack of accountability by staff.
  + Lack of consequence management for poor performance and noncompliance with laws and regulations
  + • Inadequate implementation of audit action plan.

**2.5.10.6 CONTROLS**

**Leadership**: Leadership has not instituted all the disciplines necessary to enable effective oversight that promotes efficiency and effectiveness in financial management, performance reporting and compliance with legislation. The inability to appoint an accounting officer has contributed to leadership not providing effective oversight over the implementation and monitoring of internal controls. The lack of leadership undermines accountability in the municipality, resulting in the modified audit opinion on the financial statements, performance reporting and material non-compliance with legislation.

**Financial and performance management:** The control environment at the municipality is inadequate, with inadequate daily and monthly processing and reconciliation of transactions. The submitted financial statements contained numerous material misstatements that were not identified by the municipality’s deficient system of internal control. In addition, underlying information was not adequate to support all the balances and transactions presented in the financial statements resulting in a modified audit opinion for the year under review. Furthermore, compliance with legislation was not monitored adequately throughout the year, resulting in numerous material findings on compliance with legislation.

**Governance**: The annual performance report was not supported by complete, relevant and accurate information. Records management was inadequate and not systematically and regularly monitored by management to ensure that information was easily retrievable and available for audit purposes.

Although an internal audit unit and the audit committee existed during the financial year, their effectiveness was impacted negatively because leadership failed to respond to the recommendations of internal and external audit.

##### 2.5.11 AUDIT ACTION PLAN:

The Audit Action Plan has been developed; many of these issues need to be addressed as a matter of urgency to ensure the sustainability of the municipality and improvement in the audit outcome. In addition, the lack of dealing with and rectifying issues raised by the Auditor-General will result in additional audit findings and repeat findings in 2016/17.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.5.12** | **INFORMATION COMMUNICATION AND TECHNOLOGY** |

Information Communication Technology (ICT) section, is responsible for the following functions:

Planning, Network, Data, Security and Support. Makana Municipality’s ICT Environment supports about 150 users. The municipality has the following offices namely:

1. City Hall
2. Finance Building
3. Engineering Building
4. Alicedale Office
5. Riebeek East Office
6. Electricity
7. Stores
8. Housing
9. Parks

In the current financial year, the municipality has managed to appoint an ICT Manager to provide the strategic direction for the unit, however there are still vacant critical positions not yet filled as per the organogram. So far the municipality has managed to procure a server which runs on Microsoft Windows server 2008 R2 Standard OS. The server is installed to facilitate network connectivity, domain control functions, active directory and password management for all those who have access to computers.

Access control unit has been installed to access the server room. Fire detection and suppression system, water detection system, sensors have also been installed. Munsoft and Payday Financial system, are installed in the Finance building server room and accessible only to authorized users. Also, system generated reports can be extracted from both systems as and when required.

The ICT Master Plan has been developed but not yet approved, the document details and al ICT activities to be performed in ensuring that ICT is enabling the institution to realize its goals and objectives in an efficient manner, the municipality has also adopted ICT governance framework. The ICT Governance Institute (ITGI) defines ICT governance as “the leadership organisational structure and process that ensure that the enterprise’s ICT sustains and extends the enterprise’s strategies and objectives. ICT governance exists to inform and align decision making for ICT Planning, Policy and Operations in order to meet business objectives, ascertain that risks are managed appropriately and verify that resources are being used responsibly and strategically.

**Disaster Recovery Plan:**

The overall objective of the plan is to facilitate the recovery of the ICT environment in the event of a disaster to enable the municipality to resume its normal operations and service delivery in an acceptable period of time.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.5.13** | **RECORD MANAGEMENT:** |

The municipality does not have proper record keeping and no record management system is in place to ensure that documents are filed and stored correctly. The municipality currently has a manual system of record keeping and document management and the system is characterised by poor record keeping and ineffective document management as well as the loss of documents amongst others. An electronic document management system was installed in the municipality but has not been functional. Staff should be trained on the electronic document system. The record keeping function within the municipality needs to be reviewed and improved including document security for the protection and management of information.

**2.5.14 PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER PARTICIPATION**

The municipality has a stakeholder register which is used as data base for contacting stakeholder when there is consultative forms to be organised. This data base includes government departments, NGO/CBO. The challenge at the moment is lack of cooperation amongst important stakeholders. Other challenges include mobilisation of communities due to geographic’s where they reside (Lack of access to rural areas and private farms), language barriers.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.5.15** | **REVISED COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT WARD DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES** |

**Ward Plans**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 1: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** | |
| 1 | | RDP Housing rectification | | | |  | Human Settlement | | 6 | |  | |
| 2 | | New houses needed kwa Nomzamo | | | |  | Human Settlement | | 6 | |  | |
| 3 | | 22 Outstanding houses affected by disaster | | | |  | Human Settlement | | 6 | |  | |
| 4 | | Land required for human settlement development | | | |  | Human Settlement | | 6 | |  | |
| 5 | | Eradication of bucket system | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  | |
| 6 | | Repair and maintenance of community Hall, playgrounds/ parks in Hooggenoeg and Riebeeck East | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  | |
| 7 | | Job creation initiatives and opportunities | | | |  | Municipality | | 3 | |  | |
| 8 | | Livestock farming facilities needed, dip tank and loading ramp, cattle grid at commonage, fencing around commonage fixed | | | |  | Municipality/ Dept. of Agriculture | | 3 | |  | |
| 9 | | Illegal dumping & general cleaning of area | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  | |
| 10 | | Repair and maintenance of school facilities (Hooggenoeg/ Riebeeck East) | | | |  | Education Dept. | | 2 | |  | |
| 11 | | Satellite fire Station in Riebeeck East | | | |  | Municipality / SBDM | | 2 | |  | |
| 12 | | Electrification of all farm cottages and street lights | | | |  | Eskom | | 1 | |  | |
| 13 | | * Provision of clean water * Fix current earth dam * Supply water harvesting tanks (KwaNomzamo location and farm cottages) | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  | |
| 14 | | * Resuscitation of existing boreholes( Carlisle Bridge, Fort Brown, Table Farm) * Refurbishment of WTW holding ponds | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  | |
| 15 | | Upgrading of gravel road from Riebeeck East | | | |  | Dept. Roads and Transport | | 1 | |  | |
| 16 | | Maintenance, repair ,paving and upgrading Internal roads in Hooggenoeg | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  | |
| 17 | | Lack of Health facilities –Ambulance service | | | |  | Dept. of Health | | 1 | |  | |
| J0174315 | | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | |  | | | |
| **NB** | | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # | **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  |  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 2: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Water outages | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 2. | Water Harvesting | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | Upgrade of sewer bulk line(KwaThaTha) | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 4. | Eradicate the bucket system | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 5. | Illegal Dumping | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 6. | Accessible waste disposal facilities | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 7. | Need houses and Rectification of houses | | | |  | Dept. of Human Settlement | | 1 | |  |
| 8. | Town establishment to address infill areas(Upper Mnandi) | | | |  | Municipality | | 3 | |  |
| 9. | High unemployment rate | | | |  | Municipality and Government Department agency | | 3 | |  |
| 10 | Food Security | | | |  | Municipality and Department of Agriculture | | 3 | |  |
| 11. | Improved road safety | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 12. | Install the lights for Nompondo area | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 13. | Installation of Geysers | | | |  | Eskom | | 1 | |  |
| 14. | Satellite Fire Station | | | |  | Municipality / SBDM | | 2 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 3: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Water outages and quality | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 2. | Eradicate the bucket & Pit-system | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | Illegal dumping and lack of waste management disposal facilities | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 4. | RDP houses required in Phumlani: Extension 2 | | | |  | Municipality | | 6 | |  |
| 5. | Rectification of houses | | | |  | Municipality | | 6 | |  |
| 6. | Formalisation of Infill areas | | | |  | Municipality | | 3 | |  |
| 7. | Assist unemployed youth artists | | | |  | Municipality | | 3 | |  |
| 8. | Speed humps needed in Ghost town and pedestrian bridge | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 9. | Maintain roads and storm water system | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 10. | Overheard bridge needed | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 11. | Install high masts lights in Papamani, Zolani Polar Park. Paving of extension 10 | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 12. | Cemetery needs maintenance – fencing | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 13. | The Recreation park and sport field not maintained | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 14. | Primary health facilities | | | |  | Department of Health | | 2 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 4: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Speed hump needed in Scott’s farm Fitchat street | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 2. | Painting of traffic lines | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 3. | High level of crime | | | |  | SAPS | | 2 | |  |
| 4. | Deterioration of the electrical distribution network | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 5. | Lack of Street lights maintenance | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 6. | Repair and maintenance of Crown hall and recreation halls | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 7. | High unemployment levels particularly in Scott’s Farm | | | |  | Municipality / Government Agencies | | 3 | |  |
| 8. | New RDP Houses | | | |  | Municipality/ department of Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 9. | Housing Rectification | | | |  | Municipality/ Department of Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 10. | Drastic deterioration of Emergency Houses from the 2008 tornado | | | |  | Municipality/ Department of Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 11. | Drug and Alcohol Abuse | | | |  | Municipality/ Government Agencies and NGO’s | | 2 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 5: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | New RDP Houses | | | |  | Municipality/ department of Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 2. | Paving of streets in July street, taxi routes | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 3. | Lack of maintenance of cemeteries | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 4. | Satellite police station is needed | | | |  | Municipality / SAPS | | 2 | |  |
| 5. | Lack of Recreational facilities | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 6. | Illegal dumping | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 7. | 2010 Sanitation project never completed, transit camp toilets not connected | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 8. | Support SMME’s and food security initiatives | | | |  | Municipality / Government Agencies | | 3 | |  |
| 9. | The community hall is sinking and ramps for disabled posing a risk | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 10. | Need primary school in the areas | | | |  | Municipality / Department of Education | | 2 | |  |
| 11. | Primary health facility | | | |  | Municipality / Department of Health | | 2 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

| **WARD 6: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** | |
| 1. | Water Outages | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  | |
| 2. | VIP Toilets not lined | | | |  | Municipality / Department of Human Settlement | | 1 | |  | |
| 3. | Bucket eradication system | | | |  | Municipality / Department of Human Settlement | | 1 | |  | |
| 4. | The 8 illegal dumping sites | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  | |
| 5. | High masts are needed | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  | |
| 6. | Construction of speed humps needed near church street | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  | |
| 7. | Paving of main and access roads | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  | |
| 8. | Skills development | | | |  | Municipality / State Agencies | | 3 | |  | |
| 9. | Repair and maintenance of Roads | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  | |
| 10. | Maintenance of Storm water drainage | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  | |
| 11. | RDP houses | | | |  | Municipality / Department of Human Settlement | | 6 | |  | |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 7: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Water outages | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 2. | Flooding | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | Bucket eradication | | | |  | Municipality/ Department of Human Settlement | | 1 | |  |
| 4. | RDP Houses, Tantyi Phase II, Xolani RDP and rectification project | | | |  | Municipality/ Department of Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 5. | Provide houses for people in infill area | | | |  | Municipality / Department of Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 6. | Disaster project | | | |  | Municipality/Department Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 7. | Storm water drainage need maintenance | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 8. | Need jobs | | | |  | Municipality/State agencies | | 3 | |  |
| 9. | High crime rate | | | |  | Municipality/ SAPS | | 3 | |  |
| 10. | Mobile clinic | | | |  | Municipality/ Department of health | | 2 | |  |
| 11. | Upgrading lights for Foleys grounds | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 12. | Paving of all Gravel Roads. Resurfacing of tare Road at Hlalani Sidewalks in Hlalani Main Street. | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 13. | Lack of community recreational facilities | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 14. | Illegal Dumping | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 8: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Water outages | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 2. | Major sewer blockages and leakage of sewer in De Villiers Close | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | Need Jobs | | | |  | Municipality/ Government Agencies | | 3 | |  |
| 4. | High rate of violence murder, burglary, rapes and crime | | | |  | SAPS/CPF | | 2 | |  |
| 5. | Need speed humps in Powell Street, George street, Mathews, | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 6. | Upgrading of roads and fixing of potholes | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 7. | Storm water drainage need maintenance | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 8. | Illegal dumping | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 9. | Need RDP Houses | | | |  | Municipality and Department of Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-2019** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 9: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Renovations on Eluxolweni houses | | | |  | Human Settle/Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 2. | No water coming from stand pipes at Eluxolweni | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | Eradication of 50 bucket system toilets | | | |  | Human Settle/Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 4. | Burst sewer pipes | | | |  | Municipality | | 6 | |  |
| 5. | The area needs toilets | | | |  | Municipality | | 6 | |  |
| 6. | They also need geysers | | | |  | Municipality | | 6 | |  |
| 7. | Outside toilets have no doors | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 8. | Toilets required at Eluthuthwini | | | |  | Municipality | | 3 | |  |
| 9. | A clinic is needed | | | |  | Municipality and Department health | | 3 | |  |
| 10. | Cemeteries fencing | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 11. | Fencing of the two Sport field | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 12. | 1000 RDP homes needed | | | |  | Human Settle/ Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 13. | Housing rectification in Hlalani | | | |  | Human Settle/ Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 14. | Reconstruction of disaster houses in Vukani-10 units | | | |  | Human Settle/Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 15 | Paving of extension 5 at the circle. | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 16. | Main road needs street lights | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 17. | Maintenance of existing road in extension 5 | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 18. | Disaster houses | | | |  | Human Settle/ Municipality | | 6 | |  |
| 19. | Electrification of Eluthuthwini | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 20. | Housing Project RDP house in Newtown and Ndancama, | | | |  | Human Settle/Municipality | | 6 | |  |
| 21. | A & B streets needs a housing renovation project | | | |  | Human Settle/ Municipality | | 6 | |  |
| 22. | Illegal dumping | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 23. | High number of unemployed youth | | | |  | Municipality/ state agencies | | 3 | |  |
| 24. | Satellite library needed | | | |  | Municipality and Department of Arts and Culture | | 2 | |  |
| 25. | Open space at the back of extension 5 The land to be used for educational and business purposes | | | |  | Municipality | | 3 | |  |
| 26. | Community hall needed | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 27. | Curb the speeding of vehicles in A and B Streets | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 28. | Sport fields needed | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 29. | Maintenance of storm water drainage systems | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 30. | Access roads for Eluxolweni | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 31. | Parching of Potholes | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 32. | Need side walk Joza | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 33. | Paving required at Eluxolweni | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

| **WARD 10: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Water outages | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 2. | Eradication of bucket system for 106 units | | | |  | Human Settlement /Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | 250 Phase 2 Housing programme | | | |  | Human Settlement /Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 4. | New Development – 377 houses | | | |  | Human Settlement /Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 5. | Reconstruction of Disaster Houses | | | |  | Human Settlement /Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 6. | Fingo Village Project has stalled | | | |  | Human Settlement /Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 7. | High rate of violence, murder, burglary, rapes and crime | | | |  | SAPS | | 2 | |  |
| 8. | Paving needed in: J, N, Q, R, S Streets; Xolani Extension | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 9. | Upgrading roads and potholes | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 10. | Unfinished RDP Project | | | |  | Human Settlement /Municipality | | 6 | |  |
| 11. | Speeding vehicles a threat to children | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

| **WARD 11: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Water outages | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 2. | Maintenance of high masts | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | Electrification of 100 households in Ethembeni | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 4. | Dumping sites need addressing | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 5. | High number of unemployed youth | | | |  | Municipality | | 3 | |  |
| 6. | Satellite library needed | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 7. | Community hall needed | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 8. | Sport fields needed | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 9. | Maintenance of the grave site | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 10. | 2000 RDP houses needed | | | |  | Municipality and Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 11. | Poor RDP Housing standard | | | |  | Municipality and Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 12. | Poor roads | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 13. | Bucket system | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 12: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Water outages | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 2. | Summerset and Old Power stations need upgrade, transformers leaking oil. | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | Roads in African and Southey Street (Somerset to Southey) are in poor condition. | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 4. | Sewerage blockages | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 5. | High rate of violence targeted at students | | | |  | Municipality/SAPS | | 2 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WARD 13: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Housing rectification and the provision of 30 RDP homes | | | |  | Municipality and human settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 2. | Water outages | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | Need recreational facilities | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 4. | Tarring of Ring Street | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 5. | A clinic is required | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 6. | Toilets are leaking | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 7. | Lack recreational hall | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 8. | No electricity in some farm areas | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

| **WARD 14: DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES & CRITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING SITUATION ANALYSIS OCT/NOV 2017-18** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE / TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT : REPRIORITIZED** | | | | | **RATING** | **RESPONSIBLE AGENT** | | **KPA** | | **2018-19** |
| 1. | Shortage Water supply Seven fountains | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 2. | High unemployment and shortage skills to sustainable livelihoods | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 3. | Rectification of 221 houses | | | |  | Municipality and Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 4. | Reconstruction of the 20 disaster houses | | | |  | Municipality and Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 5. | New development of 33 houses | | | |  | Municipality and Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 6. | Seven Fountain in need of Housing Development | | | |  | Municipality and Human Settlement | | 6 | |  |
| 7. | Grave sites reaching capacity in kwa Nonzwakazi | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 8. | Kwa Nonzwakazi and Transriviere community hall maintenance | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 9. | No access to primary health the community has grown tremendously since 2012 | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 10. | Seven Fountains in need of sporting equipment | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 11. | Tarring of gravel road | | | |  | Municipality | | 1 | |  |
| 12. | Skills development centre | | | |  | Municipality/ State | | 6 | |  |
| 13. | SMME Development | | | |  | Municipality/ State | | 2 | |  |
| 14. | Illegal Dumping | | | |  | Municipality | | 2 | |  |
| 15. | Bushmen sand development | | | |  | Municipality/ State | | 3 | |  |
| 16. | Support Tourism initiatives | | | |  | Municipality/ State | | 3 | |  |
| J0174315 | | **PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENTS** : | | | **✪** |  | | | |  | |
| **NB** | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| **STATUS 2018-19** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **WARD PRIORITIES**  **(as determined in 2016)** | | | **BASELINE INFORMATION** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR** | | | **INPUT : PROGRESS / NON-PROGRESS : WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE** | | **KEY FOCUS AREA** | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |
|  | | |  |  | | |  | |  | |

**2.5.16 REGISTER CBO/NGO’S**

##### Table 62

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NAME & SURNAME** | **DESIGNATION** | **ORGANISATION** | | **CONTACT** | **FAX/ EMAIL** |
| Ms A. Runowics | Rehab manager | Ass. Phy/ disabled | 073 6531 618 | | N/A |
| Ms F.T. Mukendi | Social worker | 046 622 5359 | | N/A |
| Mr M.  Mzongwana | News researcher | Radio  Grahamstown | 046 622 2382 | | N/A |
| Mr A. Adam | Chairperson | Nafcoc | 046 636 2852/ 082 8910 432 | | 046 636 2852 adam@insightnet.co.za |
| Mr J. Walton | Manager | Black Sash | 046 622 9230 | | 046 622 3933  jonathan@blacksash.org.za |
| Mr V. Teyise | Manager | Egazini Outreach  Project | 076 6807  161 | | vumileteyise@yahoo.com |
| Mr D. Claassen | Manager | C.Y.C.C.-  Eluxolweni | 046 622 2537 072 2013 797 | | david.claasen@imaginet.co.z a |
| Ms Monica Canca | Director of  Operations | Umthathi project |  | | 086 665 6013 directorofoperations@umthathi.co.za |
| Michelle Griffith | Manager | (046) 637 0012 | | 046 622 4450  Info@umthathi.co.za |
| Ms A. Van  Niekerk |  | Raphael centre | (0)46 622 8831  072 238 NPO  (676) | | (0)46 622 8831  avanniekerk@albanynet.co.za |
| Mrs Mary  Humphrey | Director |  | | mary@raphaelcentre.co.za |
| Mr D Barker | Manager | HOSPICE | 046 622 9661 | | 046 622 9676  d.barker@grahamstownhospice.org.za |
| Mrs A. Harris | Manager | FAMSA | 046 622 2580 | | No Information |
| Mr M.J. Bradshaw | School Principal | Amasango | 083 5429 555 | | No Information |
| Mrs W. Bischoff | Manager | Child Welfare | 046 636 1355 | | 046 636 1366  Childwelfare.ght@telkomsa.net |
| Mrs L. Naidoo | Manager | ECARP | 046 622 5429 | | 046 622 2617 |
| Ms Adne | adne@ecarpe.org.za |
| Mr Mafongosi |  | Masifunde | 046 622 6527 | | 046 622 5587  fundile\_m@masifunde. co.za |
| Ms Babalwa  Mthana | Nurse Mentor | Africare | 046 622 5847 | | 046 622 4918 bmtana@africare.org.za |

**2.5.17 SECTORAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION FORUM**

**Table 63**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SECTOR** | **FORUM** |
| **LED and Rural Development** | **LED Mayoral Forum** |
| **Public Safety and Community Services** | * Road and Transport * Road and Transport Forum * Environmental Management Forum * Community Safety Forum |
| **Special Program Offices** | * Youth Forum |
| * Women Forum |
| * Women’s Forum |
| * People with disability |
| * HIV/AID Local Council |

**2.5.18 COMMUNICATION AND CUSTOMER CARE**

**COMMUNICATION:** Municipality has an established communication unit under the office of the municipal manager with staff component consist of

* manager media and communication (vacant)
* media and communication officer (filled)
* assistant media and communication (filled).

The municipality has a communication strategy that was last reviewed on 04 April 2018 that is used to guide all communication activities. Key functions of the unit is to ensure sound internal and external communication by providing communication support to all directorates through available communication mediums.

**CUSTOMER CARE**: the municipality has established a customer unit under Corporate and shared Services Directorate with one delegated staff personnel. It has also developed and adopted a customer care policy. It is using mobisam customer care system in conjunction with Rhodes University to manage its complaints.

**2.5.19 ANTI-CORRUPTION AND FRAUD**

**2.5.19.1. Fraud and Anti-Corruption Strategy**

Makana Municipality had developed and adopted a Fraud Prevention Policy Framework and Fraud Prevention Plan in draft form. All members of the Bid Committees are required to disclose their interests before every meeting and the membership of all Bid Committees, is revised annually. No Councillors are allowed to be part of the Bid Committees. The municipality is in the process establishing fraud line.

**2.5.20 BY-LAWS**

No new by-laws were enacted during the period of review but several of Makana Local Municipality’s policies were reviewed. Traffic assists currently with by-law enforcement. Number of by-laws need to be revised and developed.

**2.5.21. WEBSITE**

During the year under review, the Makana Website was regularly updated as the appointment of a skilled IT Manager ensured the availability of sufficiently skilled human resources.

#### 2.6 LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

**2.6.1 LED AND PLANNING INSTITUTIONAL CAPACITY**

**Table 64**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **POSITION** | **STATUS** | **SUPPORTING STAFF** |
| 1x Director | Filled | Personal Assistance |
| 1 x Manager Agriculture | Filled | 1 x administrative assistant, 2 x Agricultural officers (vacant non- Funded-proposed-post), 1 x Coordinator (1 x vacant-  No funded- Proposed-post), 2 x rural  Development officer |
| 1 x administration officer (1 x Vacant-funded) |
| 1x Manager SMME | Vacant | 1 x smme trade & investment  Researcher (proposed vacancy non-funded)  1x trade and investment facilitator  (tg 11/12) (proposed vacancy |
| 1x Manager Tourism & Heritage | Filled | 1 x tourism and heritage officer  (tg 14) (vacant-no funded-  Proposed-post)  1x tourism facilitator (vacant non-funded-proposed post) ( 1x tourism researcher (vacant-Non-funded-proposed post |
| 1x Deputy Director Planning & Dev. | Filled | 3x town planner(Ms 1 x vacant  (land use management officer)  Administrative officer,1 x Building control officer, 3 x Clerks grade tg 3 (1 x vacant non-funded-proposed-post), 3 x Building inspectors; 1 x vacant, |
| 1 x Manager Planning & Estate | Vacant | N/A |

**2.6.2 MAKANA LOCAL ECONOMIC STRATEGY AND SECTOR PLANS**

**2.6.2.1 LED Strategy:**

The LED Strategy was approved on the 3rd of February 2010 and it is due for review and will be prioritised in the 2018-19 financial year. According to the LED Strategy Makana has a strong competitive advantage in agriculture and government services. This is in line with its role as one of the larger small towns in the province. The town was historically strong in terms of agriculture but losing its advantage within the province. The municipality’s strength in government and community services is improving, as a result of the expansion of education facilities found in Grahamstown between 2001 and 2007.

The Makana R-GDP in 2007 (at year 2000 constant prices) was approximately R1, 3 billion, which accounted for 19.7% of the Sarah Baartman District R-GDP and 1.51% of the provincial R-GDP. Grahamstown makes the largest contribution to the Makana economy, mainly in the form of educational services and tourism related activities.

Compared to the provincial average Makana performs poorly in terms of manufacturing, transport and communication, utilities and business services. Because Grahamstown is a small town, there is a smaller emphasis on the provision of business services, utilities and transport and communication infrastructure. Makana does not have a comparative advantage in manufacturing, as most major industry in the province is located in East London and Port Elizabeth. This means that per capita, less manufacturing activity takes place in Makana than in the rest of the Eastern Cape.

**2.6.2.2 Sectoral Plans:**

The following sectoral plans are in place

* Tourism Sector Plan – approved in 2009 and was due for review in 2017
* Tourism SMME Development and Support Plan – approved in 2010 and was due for review in 2015
* SMME strategy – approved in 2012 and was due for review in 2017
* Agriculture, Some of the Development Strategy – approved in 2011 and is due for review in 2018
* Investment Incentives Policy – approved in 2011 and is due for review in 2018

**2.6.2.3 Creative City Project**

1. Grahamstown to be recognised as a creative city of South African by 2020
2. To create 500 jobs in the arts and creative sector.
3. Create 500 jobs in the supporting industries.
4. To foster a strong cohesion in the Municipality through creativity and arts.
5. To create an environment conducive to creative
6. To identify public art spaces, places of learning and performances.
7. To increase access to existing creative/ tourism outlets
8. To ensure that capacity building takes place to facilitate the establishment and growth of sustainable enterprises
9. To foster strong partnerships with the government, government agencies, Businesses and NGO’s.

**2.6.3 PROVINCIAL SPATIAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (PSEDS)**

In terms of the PSEDS, the following Sectors of the provincial economy will drive the growth of the Province and address unemployment and poverty:

* Agriculture, including Agri-industry (with opportunities to impact considerably on the economic needs of the poor through Land Reform)
* Industry, including heavy and light industry and manufacturing
* Tourism, including domestic and foreign TOURISM
* Service sector including financial, social, transport, retail and government.

The following critical threats are identified in the PSEDS:

***2.6.3.1 Agriculture and Land Reform***

* Loss of land with agricultural potential in poor rural areas
* Loss of productive commercial agriculture land to residential development
* Land reform resulting in a loss of productive commercial agriculture
* Municipal rates on agricultural land
* Poor infrastructure in the farms
* Provision of adequate water supplies

***2.6.3.2 Tourism***

* Safety and Security
* Land invasion and illegal activities affecting tourism assets

***2.6.3.3 Industry***

* Reliability of services
* Social support services
* Destructive Inter-Municipal competition
* Municipal rates on Industrial and commercial development

**2.6.4 SECTORAL PROFILES**

This section provides an overview of all the economic sectors in Makana, profiling the nature and extent of activity to be found as well as their defining traits. In Makana there are three distinct types of activity to be found in this sector are:

* + - 1. **AGRICULTURE**

**2.6.4.1.1 Commercial Agriculture**

Commercial agriculture is found in the north of Grahamstown known as Upper Albany, and accounts for approximately two thirds of the municipality.

Livestock and game farming thus dominate in Upper Albany. Lower Albany land is well suited to rain-fed cropping *(****Agriculture in Makana, 2008****).*

The main source of income for commercial farmers (78%) is from livestock farming and animal products. This is largely made up of dairy farming (41%). With regards to field crops, the majority of income comes from maize and chicory (a combined contribution of 74%).

Most value addition of commercial agricultural produce (packaging, canning, manufacturing, processing, etc.) occurs outside the Makana Municipality. There is however, some value addition that takes place, which will be discussed in the manufacturing sector profile.

* **Challenges Faced by Commercial Farmers in Makana include:**
* Water shortages and inadequate infrastructure (irrigation, boreholes etc.) to mitigate resultant effects.
* Problem animals that are introduced through Private Game Reserves. These kill livestock and introduce diseases to the area
* Crime in the form of stock theft (***Agriculture in Makana, 2008***).

**2.6.4.1.2 Emerging and subsistence Agriculture**

Emerging and subsistence agriculture in Makana follows patterns found throughout most of the Eastern Cape.

* **Emerging farmers:**

Makana has PDIs that are engaging in agriculture of a commercial orientation, often on farms that were previously farmed by commercial farmers.

Emerging farmers typically operate on plots of land that are less than 20ha in size which are often in the form of commonages leased out from the Makana Municipality or the Department of Agriculture. Group and cooperative activity dominates as the most common form of organisation due to limited resources (such as land and equipment) and skills. Emerging farmers are principally involved in the rearing of livestock, piggeries and poultry.

* **Subsistence Agriculture**

Makana is made up of people residing in villages and townships that use agriculture as a means to supplement their food and income requirements. This form of agriculture is impeded by the availability of arable and grazing land, which reduces the potential for increased maize and livestock production going into the future. Because Makana is a largely urbanised area (with most settlements being in townships rather than rural villages) subsistence agriculture is not spatially spread out, which further diminishes the availability of land.

1. **Bee-keeping project**

5 Farmers were trained in bee- keeping. There were hives over the N2 road that the group had identified, which had to be transferred into boxes. All the hives were transferred successfully and there were 2 boxes with bees.

**Food gardens**

All implements to develop food garden were delivered. In total 5 gardens (Tantyi Clinic, Extension 6, Mavikela Poultry N-street, St Augustine, Umthathi Projects) were developed in urban setting and 2 from rural areas have benefited.

The farmers were provided with inputs such as compost, seedlings, and garden tools and few were provided with bicycles with trailers (means of sustainable transport to reduce carbon emission. In rural areas (Castle Farm and Yarrow Farm) the tendering process for small tunnels is still in the pipeline. This is a strategy to extend the SUS programme to rural areas, 2 farms (Castle and Yarrow Farms) were identified through consultation with various stakeholders including the relevant councillors.

Small tunnels (5mx 12m) will be built and the purpose is to pilot the project in rural areas develop more productive ways of producing vegetables. Terms of Reference are available electronically.

1. **Competition**

A farmer’s completion held in Alicedale and a total of 5 co-ops won seed funding. In total 5 co-ops have benefited from Municipal agricultural-related programmes. One co-op benefited (Inqaba Yegolide) supplied inputs for SUS programme and also won the competition in Alicedale.

**Some of the challenges they face include:**

* Lack of skills, training and education
* Inadequate land, which leads to problems such as stray animals, theft, land degradation, etc.
* Insufficient support from the Department of Agriculture in the form of mentorship, extension officers, dipping facilities etc.
* Low profit margins because of low market prices and small scope of operations
* Inadequate working capital for day-today operations (chemicals, seed, fuel, etc.)
* Access to markets ***(Makana Farmers Association, 2009)***

**2.6.4.2 HUNTING AND PRIVATE GAME RESERVES**

The third distinct type of activity found in Makana’s agricultural sector is hunting and private game reserves. This is a form of activity that has grown immensely in Makana from 1995 in terms of market players, hectares covered and revenue. Though now somewhat saturated, the market for Private game reserves and Hunting is now a notable contributor to agricultural sector output in Makana.

The transformation of land from pastoral farming to use as hunting and game reserves has yielded mixed fortunes throughout the Makana area.

Average employee wages on game reserves and hunting operations are typically higher than those on traditional farms.

However, these operations are often less labour intensive than traditional agriculture and thus employ fewer people than farms. This means that total community welfare and income (as expressed through wages earned and other benefits such as rations, housing subsidies, etc.) may not necessarily rise in every instance in which farmland has been converted into a hunting and game reserve (Whisson, 2009).

**2.6.4.3 MINING SECTOR**

This sector includes the extraction and beneficiation of minerals occurring naturally through underground and surface mines, quarries and all supplemental activities for dressing and beneficiating for ores and other crude materials.

Based on the above definition, mining in Makana takes the form of surface mining of Kaolin and sand quarrying. Mining in Makana makes the smallest contribution of all the sectors in Makana. With regards to employment, between 1995 and 2007 the number of people employed in the Makana mining sector has gone up from 66 to 78. Although increasing, this represents only 0.6% of all the people employed in Makana.

**Challenges:** As much as Makana possesses large reserves of Kaolin, this does not directly translate into significant potential for economic growth and development. Due to extrinsic matters (locality of Makana in relation to major industrial hubs, market factors, waning global demand, etc.) the scope for an expansion of mining activity or even that of improved sectorial linkage is very limited.

R500, 000.00 was secured from the IDC to commission a business plan for Kaolin Mining and a feasibility study. The final reports will be presented to a Kaolin PSC during this month. These studies are outlining the way forward for Kaolin mining in Makana. On the basis of the draft reports that were presented to the PSC last month the CDM and other funders such as ECDC have already showed interest in funding some aspects of the project.

**2.6.4.4 TOURISM OVERVIEW**

Grahamstown tourism potential is varied from heritage sites to its close proximately to the Addo Elephant Park and location midway between Port Elizabeth and East London.

Makana Local Tourism Organisation is registered as a Section 21 Company and is functional and meetings are held monthly. Makana Tourism and Heritage Manager attend as members of the Executive Committee

The main forms of Tourism in Makana are Environmental, Educational and Cultural tourism. This section will not repeat previously stated facts, but profile these forms of tourism in further detail. Tables below will list some of the activities, attractions and products that constitute Makana’s tourism offering as listed in the Responsible Tourism Sector Plan 2009 Review Report.

**2.6.4.4.1 Environmental Tourism**:

**Table 65**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Environmental Resources** | |
| Amakhala Private Game Reserve | Beggars Bush State Forest |
| Hunts Hoek Safaris, Fish River | Lalibela Private Game Reserve |
| Idwala / Kichaka Lodge, Assegaai | Lanka Safaris Aylesby |
| Assegaai Hiking Trails, Kenton Rd | Blaauwkrantz Reserve, Bathurst Rd |
| Assegaai Sport Horse Stud, Riding School | Lezulu Game Reserve - Salisbury Plains |
| Kwandwe Private Game Reserve | Burchell Game Farm, Alicedale |
| Aylesby Nature Reserve, Riebeeck East | New Year’s Dam, Alicedale |
| Kwantu Game Reserve, Sidbury | Bushman Sands Game Reserve, Alicedale |
| Oldenburgia Hiking Trail, Grahamstown | Coleridge Game Reserve, Grahamstown South |
| Coombs View Reserve, Governor’s Kop | Pumba Game Reserve, Grahamstown |
| Rochdale Game Ranches, Alicedale Rd | Rabbit Bush Reserve, Governor’s Kop |
| Diepkloof Private Reserve | East Cape Game Farm |
| Shamwari Private Game Reserve | Settlers Dam, Thomas Baines |
| Ecca Nature Reserve, Ecca Pass | Fish River Nature Reserve Complex |
| Springvale Olive Farm, SE of Alicedale | Signal Hill, Grahamstown |
| Grahamstown Botanical Gardens | Fourie Safaris Game Farm, Ndlambe border |
| Thomas Baines Nature Reserve | Tenuto Spring Grove Nature Reserve |
| Great Fish River Reserve | Hellspoort Valley Game Farm |
| Woodlands Game Reserve | Trumpeter’s Drift Game Farm, Gt Fish River |
| Belton Hiking Trails, Kenton Rd | Highland road |

**2.6.4.4.2 Educational Tourism**

**Table 66**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Education Resources | |
| International Library of African Municipality Grahamstown | 44 Air School & Grahamstown Military Base, Grahamstown |
| Albany Museum, Grahamstown | National English Library Museum, Grahamstown |
| National English Library Museum, Grahamstown | Natural Science Museum, Grahamstown |
| Cory Library for historical research, Grahamstown | History Museum, Grahamstown |

**2.6.4.4.3 Cultural tourism**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Clock Tower Grahamstown | Rhodes University Theatre | |
| Commemoration Church, Grahamstown | Salem Historic Church, Grahamstown | |
| East Star Gallery Grahamstown | Historic Church on Hilton House Table Farm, on t banks of the New Year’s River | |
| Fort Selwyn | St Peter’s Chapel Grahamstown | |
| The Oldest Official Letterbox in South African Grahamstown | The Provost Prison, Grahamstown | |
| High Street Façade, Grahamstown |  |  |
| National Arts Festival | National School Festival | |
| SciFest Africa | Makana Freedom Festival | |
| 1820 Settlers National Monument, Grahamstown |  | |
| Methodist Church, Salem | Baptist Church, Grahamstown | |
| Artificers’ Square, the old artisans quarter, Grahamstown | Bannerman House, Grahamstown | |
| Bible Monument, Grahamstown | Settlers’ Express Steam Train, Grahamstown | |
| Cathedral of St. Michael & St. George | Old Drostdy | |
| City Hall | Settlers’ Memorial Tower | |

In addition to the above, there are a total of 121 providers of accommodation that can be classified under Hotels, guest and country houses, lodges, caravan parks and camping sites, self-catering, backpackers, hostels, and B&BS. From Table above it becomes clear that unlike most other activity in Makana (with the exception of agriculture), tourism occurs throughout the entire municipality and is not just concentrated in Grahamstown. Tourism thus has the capacity and capability to improve the spatial spread of activity in Makana. Tourism is currently marketed by Makana tourism. It has approximately 120-130 members that engage in the different forms of tourism profiled.

In addition to Makana tourism, the following associations and organisations are involved with tourism activity:

* The Grahamstown Foundation, which is involved with the various Festivals that take place in Makana region
* Indalo, which is an association for Private Game and Nature Reserves
* The Grahamstown Hospitality Guild, which is involved with grading of accommodation facilities
* Makana EduTourism Project, which is a partnership between Grahamstown Foundation, Makana Municipality and Makana Tourism.

***Challenge:*** There is a need for marketing of Makana’s tourism offerings to be coordinated and intensified for cooperative synergies to be experienced

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.6.4.5** |  | **TRADE AND BUSINESS SERVICES** |

The trade sector is defined as the resale (sale without transformation) of new and used goods to the general public for personal or household consumption or use by shops, department stores, stalls, hawkers etc.

The trade sector entails wholesale, commission trade, retail trade and repair of personal household goods; sale, maintenance and repair of motor vehicles and motor cycles; hotels, restaurants, bars, canteens, camping sites and other provision of short-stay accommodation. It can thus be seen that this sector involves a broad spectrum of activity which is diverse and varied in nature.

The sale of goods and services by shops and stores represents general commerce related to the day-today existence of local residents. The sale of goods and services by restaurants, camping sites, hotels and other short stay accommodation providers represents some elements of tourism related activity. This sector is thus important as it reflects activity that originates from within Makana (shops, and stores) and activity that originates from outside Makana (tourism through hotels and restaurants)

**The nature and challenges of trade in Makana:**

There are several national chains and franchises located in Grahamstown, including Pick n Pay, Shoprite, Edgars and Pep Stores. There are two main shopping centres – the 6 876m2 Pepper grove Mall which targets the middle to high income band and the 6 130 m2 Market Square Mall which targets the lower to middle income band. In addition to these, there is a variety of shops in the Grahamstown town Centre (mainly in High street, Beaufort Street and Hill Street), and several informal spaza traders located in the townships. (Mall guide, 2009)

Despite the wide array of options (in comparison to other similarly sized towns), there is a significant amount of expenditure leakage to other towns such as Port Elizabeth for goods such as specialty products, luxury items, electronic equipment and clothing. This is a result of Makana’s demographic profile, which is made up of young, upwardly mobile consumers that seek variety. High income earners thus often travel outside the Makana locality to buy goods and services. As a result of the relatively small population in Makana, there is little wholesale activity, as demand levels are insufficient to reach the necessary critical mass.

In the tourism trade there are several restaurants (including take-away, convenience food and fast food outlets) short term accommodation facilities (including hotels, guest houses and camp sites) in Grahamstown. These will be expanded upon in the tourism sector profile. It must be noted that these serve both tourism demand, and demand from local residents as well. Facilities situated in the township (such as homestays and taverns) are underprovided, when consideration of the actual size and population of the townships in Makana is made. In the other areas in Makana (Riebeeck East and Alicedale), owner managed enterprise dominates the trade sector. This applies to both the retail and tourism sides of the trade sector.

This is because it is not profitable for large businesses to establish themselves in these areas due to their small populations and low income levels. The smaller settlements in Makana are thus dominated by general dealers, liquor stores and mini-markets that serve the needs of local resident.

**2.6.4.6 MANUFACTURING**

This sector is broadly defined as the physical or chemical transformation of materials or compounds into new products. The Manufacturing sector is thus the sector where natural resources and other intermediate goods are converted through value adding processes into final products for the Trade sector.

Manufacturing in Makana is described under the Industry classification has ‘limited prospects overall’ and under the Carvalho classification as making a ‘marginal’ contribution to the municipality’s economy. Despite this forecast, there is scope for specialist/niche manufacturing activity such as deboning of ostrich meat. As a result of Makana’s industrial profile, such operations will not typically employ large amounts of labour. They can however, generate much needed income into the area and will often have linkages with other economic sectors

**2.6.4.7 CONSTRUCTION**

The construction sector includes activities related to site preparation, construction of buildings, building installations, building completion and the renting of construction equipment. The range of activity contained within the construction sector thus includes shop fitting, plumbing, electrical contracting, painting and decoration. There are few large and accredited building contractors in Makana that are able to undertake large, high value projects.

Such contractors are typically associated with the Master Builders Association. Large construction sector organisations in the Makana area include ***CM Heunis Building contractors and Strydom and Kroqwana Construction.*** In order to remain accredited, they often have to bring in skilled and certified labourers from outside the Makana area, which represents an income and employment leakage out of the Makana area. A leakage in this context refers to a flow of resources out of the local economy. Building contractors are thus often brought in from outside the Makana area in order to oversee building projects.

The contractors who are from outside the LM do however provide jobs for the locals but often bring in their own labour, and for this reason local job creation is not as high as it could be in the construction sector. In addition to this, limited institutional support for apprenticeship, mentorships and other forms of training propagate continued leakage of incomes from the area. There are several emerging building contractors located within the Makana region. However, they are often plagued with a lack of experienced and skilled staff, which limits their growth. Other problems faced by emerging contractors include project management capacity constraints that ultimately affect project completion and quality. As a result of no accreditation, emerging contractors are often bypassed when it comes to opportunities to tender for high value construction projects such as government funded infrastructure provision. This then reinforces a cycle in which emerging contractors remain too small, inexperienced and undercapitalised to grow their businesses. ***(Lisa Trading, 2009)***

**Physical inputs** are widely available throughout the Makana area, as there are a wide range of suppliers or equipment, tools, machinery, etc. though falling under the Trade sector; these include large (MBA associated) organisations such as ***Penny pinchers, PG Glass and BUCO***. Small emerging businesses such as *Khaya Blocks and Quarry* also contribute.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.6.4.8** |  | **TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATION IN MAKANA** |

In terms of transport services in Makana, there is no water transport, regularly scheduled air transport or transport by pipeline. Rail transport used to be important in the region, but has been significantly reduced in recent years. This then means that the bulk of transport services in the municipality may be classified under road transport. There are several operators of road transport within Makana that provide transport within the municipality and to other regions as well.

The following forms of transport activity are found within the Makana area:

* Bus and Coach Passenger lines (such as Greyhound and Intercape) operate in the area, even though they do not have base offices in Makana.
* Mass Commuter transport (in the form of minibuses/ taxis, associated with Uncedo, the taxi organisation)
* Shuttle services (such JCs shuttle service and Rhode Trip)
* Flights from the Grahamstown airstrip for entertainment purposes (such as E.P. skydiving and guests to game reserves such as Kwandwe)
* Occasional sightseeing rail tours (such as the Alicedale adventure)
* These activities are supported by enabling infrastructure and service including:
* Grahamstown’s proximity to the N2 highway and linkages with other major roads as shown in the infrastructure profile
* A taxi rank facility that serves a hub for transport within and outside Makana
* Several petrol stations (garages) that trade on local and transient demand
* Travel agents (such as Go travel)

In terms of communications, Makana is relatively well linked. Postal services are provided by Postnet (Private) and The Post Office (Public). In addition to these, several courier companies such as speed services and UTI operates within the Makana area, as do several internet cafes.

Rhodes University also has comprehensive information and communication technology (ICT) infrastructure. In fact, South Africa’s first internet link was based at Rhodes University in 1988. This led to the establishment of UNINET, which was a gateway that provided internet access for South African universities. In recognition of this, a Centre of Excellence in Distributed Media was established at Rhodes University in 1997, in association with Telkom SA and other partners.

* **Challenges in Transport and Communication**:

The transport sector in Makana has limited opportunities for growth, partly as a result of its small and stable population. The communications sector grew while the transport sector declined between 1995 and 2007. With linkages to the trade and service sectors, a growing communication sector can allow business growth and the establishment of a knowledge economy

**2.6.4.9 FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES IN MAKANA**

In terms of finance and insurance, all the major banks are found in Grahamstown (ABSA, FNB, Standard Bank, Nedbank, Capitec Bank, Old Mutual Bank and GBS Mutual Bank. These largely service the banking requirements of local businesses and farmers in the area.

A range of mortgage providers, micro-lenders and investment facilitators such as Wesbank and Louhen financial Services are also present. Insurance brokers such as Sanlam life assurance and McCallum Brokers also operate in the Makana area. These target personal financial services.

A full range of business services are also available in Grahamstown. These include accounting (Neill Pike, the Tax House), real estate agents (Pam Golding, Remax, Lew Geffen Sotheby’s etc.), attorneys (Nettletons, Whitesides, and Wheeldon Rushmere & Cole etc.), IT services (Albany Computers, Oracle Consulting, and IT Solutions), engineers (MBB consulting) and other forms of activity.

It is apparent that a broad spectrum of activity in the business services sector is covered in Makana. It is prudent to point out that some of the business services located in Makana are directly linked to the existence of Rhodes University, and may not have been established in Makana if it were not for the presence of the university.

These include:

* Birch’s (Robe making for university gowns)
* CES (linked to Environmental Science department)
* Grocott’s Mail (Department of Journalism)
* Geodetic (Geography and Information Systems Departments)
* NISC (Department of linguistics, Rhodes library, research office)
* Private consultancies run by Rhodes university faculty members and alumni

The number of real estate agents is high compared to other towns of a similar size. This is a result of the demand for accommodation that results from the student population studying at Rhodes University.

In a pattern that resembles the other sectors, provision of business and financial services is mainly centred in the Grahamstown area, with few businesses in other towns such as Salem, Riebeeck East and Alicedale. This means that residents of these towns often have to commute to Grahamstown in order to have access to these services.

* **Challenge:**

This sector will have a role in economic development through its ability to attract and its ability to absorb local semi-skilled and skilled labour. One of this sector’s roles in economic development is that of improving the quality of financial and business services rendered to economic development stakeholders and beneficiaries. The performance of this sector also has an impact on the true ‘cost of doing business’ in Makana as it represents the bulk of the tertiary sector of the economy.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.6.4.10** | **GOVERNMENT SERVICES IN MAKANA** |

Based on their annual reports and financial statements publicly available online, it emerges that the two main entities in Makana’s government sector are Rhodes University and the Makana Municipality (Rhodes University Annual Report, 2007; Makana Municipality IDP, 2007).

Rhodes University which provides educational services is responsible for approximately 71% of the government sector’s output in Makana, and approximately 21% of the sector’s permanent employment. Of its entire workforce 26% are employed in academic instruction and research, 43% are employed in administrative support and 31% are employed in support service positions.

The Makana Municipality which provides local governance and public administration is responsible for approximately 19.4% of the government sector’s output, and 8% of its employment creation. That means that Rhodes University and the Makana Municipality make a combined contribution of 90% of the government sector’s output.

Other organisations and forms of activity in the Makana government sector include

* Government departments (such as Home Affairs, Roads and Transport, Agriculture, Education, Labour, Water Affairs and Forestry, amongst others)
* Defence activities (such as the SANDF Grahamstown military base, Midlands Command and the SAPS)
* Government agencies (such as SEDA, ECARP)
* Justice services including the department of Public Prosecutions, High court, Magistrates court and Small Claims Court
* Education (The East Cape Midlands College and Schools such as Nombulelo High School, Mary Waters School, St Andrews, DSG, Victoria Girls, amongst others)
* Health facilities (such as Settler’s hospital)

It can thus be seen that the majority of activity classified under the government sector within Makana is undertaken in Grahamstown.

|  |
| --- |
| **COMMUNITY WORK PROGRAM (CWP)** |

The creation of decent work will take time and the Community Work Programme (CWP) has been operationalized in the interim. The programme is intended to supplement unemployed individual’s existing livelihood and offers a basic income through work. It is designed to create work for 8 days a month, for 8 hours a day and be paid a stipend of R60 per day. Makana is a CWP Site and more than 1200 participants are benefitting from this programme.

The following are the mechanisms aimed at supporting CWP:

* Establishment of Local Reference Committee
* Assistance with the recruitment of participants
* Reporting and document management
* Project monitoring and evaluation.

**SMALL MEDIUM AND MICRO-ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT**

SMME’s base line information and targets going forward

* A majority of SMME’s fall within the survivalist, micro and small enterprise category with few SMME’s in the medium category;
* Increased potential and performance of tourism enterprises as a result of the strong tourism sector in the economy;
* Organised farming sector with a potential for growth;
* Increase in start-up mining enterprises as a result of good prospects in the kaolin value chain;
* Existence of an SMME Development strategy which is based on the following pillars:
* Training,
* Access to land;
* Access to finance;
* Information;
* Communication and
* SMME Database.

Targets for SMME development are underpinned and articulated in the SMME Strategy

Development and are the following:

* Facilitate the training of 100 SMMEs in technical and business skills by 2014;
* Develop a communication policy and strategy, which is inclusive of social networking, for SMME by 2014;
* Facilitate the release of 300 hectares of land for industrious SMMEs and Cooperatives for 2014;
* Identify premises for SMME information and incubation centre by 2014;
* Sign 4 MoUs with at least four national and provincial SMME support structures ensuring a physical presence in Makana;
* Develop a database of SMMEs and Cooperatives in Makana; and
* Review SCM to ensure maximum participation of SMMEs in Makana.

The application of the revised Preferential Procurement Regulations by Makana Municipality serves as commitment to transform the economy of the region through sustainable SMME activity. In this regard the municipality and its project partners commits to ensuring that , where feasible,30% of the value of tenders will be allocated to local SMMEs.

* **Co-operatives in Makana Municipality**

* Makana has ±80 co-operatives (includes registered and those who are in the registration process), the list is inclusive of co-operatives registered by Department of Social Development, Department of Sport Arts and Culture, Department of Rural Development and Agricultural Reform who are other stakeholders who are involved in co-operative development;
* Facilitated the registration of 9 co-operatives in this current financial year;
* Conducted 7 co-operative information sessions;
* Facilitated the establishment of Makana local co-operative forum, which is a local representative structure for all co-operatives in Makana

**2.6.4.13 OTHER CRITICAL LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CHALLENGES AND DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES**

The challenges at Makana relating to Local Economic Development (LED) and Planning can be summarised as follows:

* Investment into the township by profiling investment opportunities .e.g. retail centre in Joza Extension 6, packaging as well and promotion of the opportunities.
* Legal action against the municipality due to failure to process land use applications within the stipulated period;
* There is a need for prioritisation of funds to implement LED projects, land audit and town establishment;
* Lengthy process for the approval of building plans due to a lack of inter-departmental cooperation;
* The MIG component of LED to be examined and prioritised for LED projects;
* Lack of investor confidence in the municipality due to infrastructure related challenges i.e. constant electricity and water outages;
* There is a need to improve Makana tourism and review the service level agreement with Eastern Cape Tourism;
* There is a need to conclude a land audit of all municipal land with a view to prioritise development which will assist to increase its revenue base and assist the municipality’s cash flow;
* LED strategy should be reviewed, engaged with relevant stakeholders for example Eastern Cape Tourism and Rhodes University, refined as well as an investment policy and both policies should be implemented;
* There is a need to improve the alignment of the IDP and budget as well as communication with the community;
* Annual IDP process plan to be fully implemented and be fully compliant with legislation;
* IDP directorate is currently under Corporate Services and this might need to be reviewed and addressed through the organisational restructuring;
* The municipality does not have a communication strategy, and public participation and the communication programme/ plan needs improvement. All the wrong things get communicated which can be resolved with the implementation of a media strategy;
* Media briefing sessions to be held after Council meetings, mayoral Imbizo, amongst others. In addition, Ward councillors should communicate the relevant details regarding projects and any other initiatives they need to update the community with;
* Human resource structure to be reviewed and capacity addressed;
* Undertake governance training and entrepreneurial development training amongst others,
* Currently the customer care unit resides in different units, e.g. corporate services, Speakers office and there is a need for integration of customer care to ensure consistency in implementation; and
* The website needs to be revamped and updated to ensure relevant information is placed in compliance with legislation.

The municipality should prioritise a funding strategy to implement LED projects which are critical for increasing the revenue base of the municipality. Council and Committee meetings should be prioritised and take place as scheduled. The adequacy of resolutions and timely circulation should be addressed. The town planning section and all other relevant units should have weekly meetings to consider any new building plans. The introduction of a public participation and communication programme to ensure a proper communication channel internally and externally.

To contribute to the sustainability of the municipality the following activities should take place; implement anchor projects for which funding is required which include Creative City Project, Egazini Memorial Site, Development of Hawkers Stalls, Inner city Regeneration (business plan), Extension 6 Mall and Land Audit. Makana should sign a service level agreement with Eastern Cape Tourism to ensure all tourism matters Eastern Cape Tourism need to carry out on behalf of the Municipality are carried out. Foster relationships with Eastern Cape Tourism, Rhodes University and other key investors within the municipality’s jurisdiction.

The municipality should also review its lease agreements to identify non-paying tenants and those that pay less than a market related rental.

The municipality, through the LED Directorate, had an engagement with the local business sector, the purpose of the meeting was to gain an understanding of the challenges that are faced by the sector and to agree on remedial actions.

The following challenges were identified and remedial actions were proposed:

* Lack of understanding of compliance issues with regards to supply chain management. It was agreed that a workshop on procurement processes and a presentation of the SCM policy will be arranged;
* Local service providers are not prioritised when the municipality pays its creditors. It was agreed that the Finance Department arrange a meeting/ communicate with all service providers that have not been paid; and
* Tenders are not unbundled with references made to the human settlements tenders. The municipality to have quarterly engagements with the construction sector to discuss this and many other issues. It was agreed that a meeting with the Department of Human Settlements be arranged.

In terms of the Provincial Spatial Economic Development Strategy (PSEDS), the following sectors of the provincial economy will drive the growth of the Province and address unemployment and poverty:

* Agriculture, including agri-industry (with opportunities to impact considerably on the economic needs of the poor through land reform);
* Industry, including heavy and light industry and manufacturing;
* Tourism, including domestic and foreign tourism; and
* Service sector including financial, social, transport, retail and government.

The results of the economic analysis show that the Makana area of jurisdiction has considerable investment potential evidenced by the consideration to invest and the desire to recommend the area as an investment location. Most sectors indicate that business prospects have not been negative which emphasises that there is scope for business growth and, more importantly, gives an indication of the sectors that appear to provide the most potential.

However, the information is static while business prospects and business sentiment is fluid, which means that they are subject to change and Makana has not kept up to the growth potential of the region. This final note suggests that the assessment of Makana’s needs must not be simply taken as a part of a larger project, as is the case here, but as a continuing concern that is regularly considered by Makana policy makers.

Rhodes University Centre for Entrepreneurship in May 2011 undertook a Makana Investment Incentives Policy and Investor Friendly Environment Initiative.

The list of specific concerns raised in interviews was as follows:

* Inadequate road development in areas around small farmers that require improved accessibility;
* Lack of assistance for small farmers seeking capital for inputs (fertilisers, tilling, seed, etc.);
* Lack of recognition for outstanding business performers;
* No municipal backed skills training for residents;
* Weak water service delivery and quality;
* No forum for the regular review of stakeholder considerations;
* No programme to facilitate technological awareness and access;
* There is not enough support for stakeholders seeking institutional financial support;
* The need for an improved social environment through the encouragement/ support of social engagement activities;
* The need to attract labour creating businesses; and
* A greater focus on improving the number of value added products that are made in the area.

The development strategies in respect of local economic development, taking the above into account, are as follows:

* Promote and support agricultural development and capacity building:
* capacity building programmes for small scale farmers;
* funding for agricultural projects;
* establishment of an agricultural forum;
* establishment of a strategic partnership to promote sustainable agricultural development;
* infrastructural development at Thorn Park;
* promote and support co-operatives through seed funding;
* roll out of the sustainable urban agricultural programme to promote a green economy;
* co-fund projects funded by other departments; and –
* women and youth agricultural support programme.
* Promote and support tourism development and capacity development
* heritage promotion;
* inner city regeneration;
* national arts festival marketing programme;
* participation in tourism trade shows;
* promotion of forts and towers;
* roll out of creative city project;
* support for Kwam eMakana homestay project;
* tourism internship programme;
* tourism promotion; and
* develop a business plan and a feasibility study for aloe production.

Support enterprise development:

* roll out a community works programme;
* SMME start-up package;
* co-operative seed funding;
* support to business chamber;
* informal sector support;
* training for Kaolin Co-operatives;
* secretariat for the Kaolin project steering committee; and - support for the formation of new businesses.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.7 SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES** | |
|  |  |
| **2.7.1 COMMUNITY HALLS:** | |

There are 8 community/ town halls in the Makana area which are utilised by the community. Some of these facilities are in need of maintenance and repairs.

**2.7.2 LIBRARIES:**

There are 8 librariesin Makana managed by the Municipality in terms of a Service Level Agreement with the Province. These libraries are situated in ward 1; 7; 8; 10; 14 and a mobile library that services ward 5.

**2.7.3 SPORT AND RECREATION FACILITIES:**

* **GRAHAMSTOWN- RHINI:**

* Indoor Sports Centre (New Facility functional)
* Mickey Yili Rugby Fields (2 x Playing fields) - In need of upgrading especially lighting and stands
* Millennium Cricket Stadium (1 x field with turf wicket and Practice nets)
* Dlepu Stadium (2 x soccer fields) In need of upgrading especially lighting, ablutions and Pavilion
* Foley's Ground (soccer field with tennis court and basketball court under construction
* The Oval - Lavender Valley Sports grounds (2 x rugby fields and Basketball court) In need of upgrading, levelling of fields, ablutions and lighting
* Egazini Rugby field (1 x field under reconstruction)
* Extension 5 Satellite Soccer ground (3 x Soccer fields - out on tender)

* **ALICEDALE:**

* Transriviere (1 x Rugby Field plus two netball courts)
* KwaNozwakazi (1 x Soccer Field and Netball court)
* **Riebeeck East:**
* KwaNomzamo (1 x Rugby field and netball court) Council in 2014-15 financial year has an approved budget for Maintenance. Budget for Sporting grounds is valued at R 2,062,620, all-inclusive and Capital Program at R 406,000.
* There are two Golf Courses in the Municipal Area, both privately run, one in Grahamstown and the other in Alicedale

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.7.4** | **CEMETERIES** |

* **Grahamstown:**
* Mayfield Cemetery (currently in use and has capacity for another 12 Years at the current rate of burials
* Waainek Cemetery (currently in use and has capacity for a further 5 years at current rate of burials
* Lavender Valley (Currently not in use other than for reserved graves)
* Grahamstown Historical Cemetery (currently not in use other than for reserved graves)
* Extension 1 Cemetery (currently not in use)
* Kings Flats Cemetery (currently not in use other than for reserved graves)
* Kwa Dinga Cemetery (currently not in use)
* **Alicedale:**
* Kwa Nonzwakazi (currently at full capacity)
* Transriviere (currently in use and has capacity for a further 5 years at current rate of burials)
* Town Cemetery (currently in use and has capacity for a further 5 years at current rate of burials)
* **Riebeeck East**:
* KwaNomzamo (currently in use and has capacity for a further 5 years at current rate of burials)
* Town Cemetery (currently in use and has capacity for a further 5 years at current rate of burials)

**Outlying**

* **Districts:**

A number of farm cemeteries that are not registered (status of cemetery and available space for burials still being investigated

**2.7.5 HEALTH CARE FACILITIES**

**Settlers Hospital is the main health care facility in Makana Area** established in 1858. Settlers Hospital is located in Makana Sub-District, Grahamstown within the Sarah Baartman Municipal District. It serves a population of 157998 (Makana /Ndlambe municipality). Settler’s hospital is a Public Private Partnership facility with Nalithemba Hospital Group in 2007.

**PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP:**

PPP Agreement

**Overview Services:**

* Settlers Hospital has 219 approved public beds and 30 private beds. The hospital is currently functioning with 166 active/usable beds.
* Settlers Hospital is Public Private Partnership entity where ECDOH is providing the full district hospital package and Nalithemba is providing Private Health Services including ICU and High Care.
* The Accident and Emergency Unit and theatre at the hospital is a shared service managed by Nalithemba /NETCARE.

**2.7.5.1 PRIMARY HEALTH CARE**

* There are 9 *clinics* and 2 *ambulance services* and 5 hospital all stationed in Grahamstown. Opening hours for all the clinics are from 8h00 to 16h30, 5 days a week
* Professional nurses ranges between 3 to 5 per clinic depending on the headcount of the clinic(how big the clinic is)
* Doctors visit clinics some clinics once a week others once in two weeks

***Table 67* Makana Clinics and Hospitals**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** |  | **CLINICS** |  | **HOSPITAL** |
| 1. |  | Town Clinic | 1. | Settlers Hospital (Public) |
| 2. |  | Grahamstown Mobiles | 2. | Settlers Hospital (Private) |
| 3. |  | Joza Clinic | 3. | Fort England Hospital |
| 4. |  | Kwa-Nonzwakazi Clinic | 4. | Settlers Day Hospital |
| 5. |  | Middle Terrace Clinic | 5. | Themba TB Hospital |
| 6. |  | NG Dlukulu Clinic (Ext 7) |  |  |
| 7. |  | Raglan Road Clinic |  |  |
| 8. |  | Riebeeck East Clinic |  |  |
| 9. |  | Tantyi Clinic |  |  |

Makana Municipality has two Ambulance Services i.e. EMS and Netcare. For those patients referred to either Port Elizabeth or East London there is transportation organised by the hospital and the ambulance service; on Monday, Wednesday and Friday to PE and Tuesday & Thursday to East London.

Table: 68

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **COMMON DISEASES:** | **REFERRAL SYSTEM:** |
| * TB * HIV * Hypertension(High Blood pressure) * Diabetes * Arthritis * Asthma | * Ward Based outreach Teams * Clinic * Community Health Centre * Hospital(District or TB hospital) * Port Elizabeth **(Tertiary hospitals) – Specialist Clinics** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.7.6** | **COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND PUBLIC AMENITIES** |

##### Table 69

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **COMMUNITY SERVICES AND FACILITIES** | **WARD** | **NAME** | **TOTAL IN MAKANA**  **MUNICIPAL AREA** |
| Clinic | 1,2,3 | Mobile Clinic | 3 |
| Taxi Rank | 8 | Ncedo Taxi Association and Border Alliance | 2 |
| Post office | 7 & 8 | Market Square, High Street & Joza | 3 |
| Pension pay points | 3; 5; 7; 8; 9; 10 | Recreation; B.B. Zondani | 2 |
| Sports facilities and Local sports activities | 2,3 | J.D Dlepu; Mikki Yili; Foley’s Ground; Lavender Valley | 4 |
| Cemetery | 1; 3; 4; 9 & 11 | Albany Old Cemetery;  Ndancama; Waainek; Mayfield; Thatha & Ext 7 | 6 |
| Church/Place of Worship | 1; 2; 3; 4; 6; 7; 8; 9; 10;11; 12,13; 14 | Methodist; Anglican;  Presbyterian; Zion; Adventist; Pentecostal | Numerous |
| Banking Facilities | 8 | NED BANK, ABSA, FNB, STANDARD BANK, CAPITEC | 5 |
| Parks & Recreation | 1,3,6 | Pumlani Park, Lavender Valley, Memorial Park | 3 |
| Libraries | 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 12, 13 & 14. | There are 8 libraries in the Makana Area. The libraries are situated in the following wards | 8 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.7.7** |  | **TRAFFIC SERVICES** |

Makana municipality operates a traffic service (7 posts). A fully functional motor vehicle test centre is located in Grahamstown and is fully operational. There is also Grade A Traffic and Parking (town). Makana delivers an agency service for licensing (NATIS) on behalf of the Provincial Department. Three Peace Officers have been appointed to enforce by-laws.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.7.8** | **COMMUNITY SAFETY** |

The South African Police Service Act as amended made provision for the establishment of municipal police services and community police forums. In Makana a cluster ward approach was undertaken to establish Community Police Forums, in total five have been established. During the Community based planning, concern was raised around the effectiveness of these forums and the lack of visibility of the SAPS. SAPS Crime Research Statistics show that crime levels are high in Grahamstown. Contact crimes against a persons and burglary at residential premises are most prevalent.

***Table 70*  Police Stations in Makana Area**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NAME** |  | **WARD** |
| Grahamstown CBD Area | 8 |  |
| 1x Riebeeck East | 1 |  |
| 1x Alicedale | 14 |  |
| 1x Fort Brown | 1 |  |
| 1x (Extension 6 New Police station) | 6 |  |
| Seven Fountain | 14 |  |
| Committees Drift | 1 |  |
| Joza Police Station | 6 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.7.9** | **FIRE SERVICES AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT** |

***Makana Municipality has 24 hour fulltime fire service with Chief fire officer appointed as manager fire services.*** Municipality has signed a corporate agreement with Sarah Baartman District Municipality to perform the function on agency based. Also the Municipality has co-operative agreement with all other six (6) Sarah Baartman District Municipalities.

The aim of the Disaster Management Act, No. 57 of 2002, and the Disaster Management Framework, is to minimise the effects of hazards on local communities by ensuring coordinated efforts to manage risks.

This plan has been designed around the identified risks, highlighted during the Risk Assessment process. A Disaster Management Plan outlines the key institutions and programming components relative to deal effectively with disaster management and emergency responses. Partnerships have been established with Provincial Government, District and Non-governmental organisations with a responsibility or capacity to render disaster management services. In terms of Makana Disaster Management Plan the following are the result of risk assessment conducted that the municipality is prone to:

* Tornado
* Floods
* Fires(Veld and Forest Fires )
* Epidemics
* Accidents

Furthermore the plan contains contingency plans for every risk identified. The most common disaster that occurs in the area is floods and protracted drought. Tornado’s is fairly common and has caused extensive damage to homes in Alicedale and the Grahamstown area.

**2.7.9.1 Disaster Incidents:**

Makana Municipality was affected by a disaster on the 8th October 2008 where 161 houses were severely damaged in Grahamstown and 22 houses in Alicedale. An amount of R101 000.00 was approved by Provincial Government and the project was to be coordinated by them. The project has been delayed to date. Makana was again in October 2012 affected by floods where most of its roads infrastructure was damaged. In October 2012, The Premier of the Province of the Eastern Cape had, in consultation with other MEC’s declared a provincial state of disaster in terms Section 41 ofDisaster Management Act (Act 57 of 2002)

After the occurrence of the October 2012 disaster incident, the Provincial Disaster Management Centre had, in terms of section 35 of the Disaster Management Act 57 of 2002, initiated efforts to assess the magnitude and severity of the disaster and informed the National Disaster Management Centre of the cost of the damages for provision of emergency relief.

The National Treasury had through the National Disaster Management allocated an amount R43.5m for emergency projects which was divided among the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality and five other municipalities in the Sarah Baartman District Municipality. The Makana Municipality was allocated R 2 400 567 for the following projects

1. Repairs to electrical infrastructure at Old town filters, Evans Poort and Market feeders – R2 400 567 and
2. Repair pump station (replace sewer pump) at Lingelihle – R387 236,

The above mentioned projects were completed between January 2013 and May 2013. There were about 128 informal houses that were damaged by floods. Makana Municipality made an urgent application for temporary housing structures to the Department of Human Settlement.

On the 23rd of November 2012 the Department of Human settlement together with the municipality made an assessment of the damage caused by the floods and 39 temporal housing structure where approved.

Subsequent to that Makana Municipality allocated an amount R8 7224 000 for reconstruction and rehabilitation. The above mentioned amount was intended to re-gravel, reconstruction of municipal roads, storm water pipe, channels, slabs and pedestrian bridges that were affected during the October 2012 flooding, this includes Alicedale. The Provincial Disaster Management Centre established a Project Management Steering Committee to monitor the funds allocated for response and recovery of the flood incidents which engulfed the Province during the period.

* + 1. **PRIORITY RISK IDENTIFIED**

**Table 71**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **TOP RISKS** | |
| **No** | **Risk** |
| 1. | Landslide/Subsidence |
| 2. | Heat wave |
| 3. | Severe Storm |
| 4. | Road Incident |
| 5. | Animal Epidemic Diseases |
| 6. | Criminal Activities |
| 7. | Critical Infrastructure |
| 8. | Illegal/Uncontrolled Solid Waste Disposal |
| 9. | Climate Change Rainfall |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.7.10** |  | **COMMUNITY POLICES AND BYLAWS** |

##### Table 72

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Type** | **Title** | **Date** | **Status** |
| Policy |  | Speed Hump | May 2013 | Final \* |
| Policy |  | Environmental | \* | Final |
| Policy |  | Paupers Burial (To be developed before the financial year end) | \* | To be revised |
| By-law |  | Animals, Birds and Poultry | \* | Final |
| By-law |  | Dumping and Accumulation of Water | \* | To be revised |
| By-law |  | Liquor and Trading Hours | \* | To be revised |
| By-law |  | Parking | \* | To be revised |
| By-law |  | Refuse Removal | \* | To be revised |
| By-law |  | Street Collections | \* | To be revised |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.7.11** | **CHALLENGES AND DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES** |

The Directorate of Public Safety and Community Services is one of the biggest departments within the municipality and is made up of about 40% of the staff employed by the municipality. The Directorate is responsible for the provision of parks, recreational and sporting facilities, cleansing and environmental health services, library services, environmental management, traffic services, and fire and emergency services. The challenges facing the Public Safety and Community Services Directorate are as follows:

* Lack of human capacity to implement the by-laws. The by-laws are not updated and some are not approved, which could result in possible litigation as regards billing for and collection of monies levied for services;
* Implementation of unfunded and underfunded mandates, example firefighting which is a district function. Engagements with the Sarah Baartman District Municipality are taking place in this regard.
* Lack of resources e.g. no fire stations in outlying areas and therefore the inability to respond to fires on time especially in areas like Alicedale and Riebeeck East;
* Establishment of libraries in the new areas not done due to a lack of funding;
* Inadequate customer complaint handling processes. At present all complaints are referred to the Fire Services and therefore there is a need to establish a centralised responsive customer care unit/ centre to deal with customer care complaints and emergencies; and
* Vehicles are old and unreliable and impact negatively on service delivery; and
* Eradication of illegal dumping sites, fencing of legal dumping sites, improvement of street cleaning, the provision of the cleaning services in all areas of Makana and monitoring of cleaning staff.
* Improve and Increase visibility of Police, Resuscitate Community Policing Forums and establishing Community Safety Forum

The municipality should prioritise, update, approve and enforce the by-laws. The billing of refuse and an audit of all refuse removals to ensure that consumers are being billed correctly for the amount of refuse removed should be undertaken. The acquisition of vehicles and equipment, cleanliness of the town, identify and cost unfunded and underfunded mandates which are the competency of the district and other departments and engage these institutions to address the matter, must be undertaken amongst others.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8** | **BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY & INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING** |
|  |  |
| **2.8.1** | **ACCESS TO BASIC SERVICES** |

The Makana local municipality is both, the ***Water Service Authority and Water Service Provider*** and is also responsible to provide all the ***other local government services such as municipal roads, storm water management, electricity and waste collection and disposal etc***. The Municipality is granted an equitable share which it receives from government in terms of the Division of Revenue Act (DORA) for the provision of free basic services to households who cannot afford to pay for their services.

National policy requires that poor households should receive50 kWh of free basic electricity, 6Kl of free basic water, free basic sanitation and free weekly refuse removal. There are a total of 6085 poor (indigent) households on the Municipality’s indigent register who are provided with indigent support in terms of the Municipality’s Indigent Policy monthly.

**Table: 73**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SERVICES** | **2011** |  | |  | **2016** |
|  | **Number** |  | % | **Number** | **%** |
| **Access to housing:** |  |  | |  |  |
| Formal | 18 269 |  | 85.4 | 20 589 | 90.7 |
| Traditional | 780 |  | 3.6 | 1 046 | 4.6 |
| Informal | 2 153 |  | 10.1 | 934 | 4.1 |
| Other | 186 |  | 0.9 | 132 | 0.6 |
| **Access to water:** |  |  | |  |  |
| Access to piped water | 20 854 |  | 95.8 | 21 431 | 94.4 |
| No Access to piped water | 924 |  | 4.2 | 1 269 | 5.6 |
| **Access to sanitation:** |  |  | |  |  |
| Flush toilet | 16 283 |  | 76.2 | 19 382 | 85.4 |
| Chemical | 28 |  | 0.1 | 50 | 0.2 |
| Pit toilet | 3 439 |  | 16.1 | 2 110 | 9.3 |
| Bucket | 774 |  | 3.6 | 264 | 1.2 |
| None | 854 |  | 4.0 | 480 | 2.1 |
| **Energy for lighting:** |  |  | |  |  |
| Electricity | 19 505 |  | 89.7 | 21 934 | 97.0 |
| Other | 2 238 |  | 10.3 | 688 | 3.0 |
| Electricity | 17 589 |  | 80.9 | 20 594 | 90.9 |
| Other | 4 154 |  | 19.1 | 2 059 | 9.1 |
| **Access to refuse removal:** |  |  | |  |  |
| Removed by local authority at least once a week | 19 371 | 89.0 | | 20 444 | 90.1 |
| Removed by local authority less often | 157 | 0.7 | | 223 | 1.0 |
| Communal refuse dump | 184 | 0.8 | | 888 | 3.9 |
| Own refuse dump | 1 453 | 6.7 | | 528 | 2.3 |
| No rubbish disposal | 331 | 1.5 | | 347 | 1.5 |

**Table 74 Water Sources & Consumption**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Source of water** | Ground water | Surface water | Purchased water |  |
| Availability | Yes | Yes | Yes | N/A |
| **Status of erf connections** | Communal Stand pipe Small 200m | Communal Stand pipe Greater 200m | Individual Connection Metered | Individual Connection unmetered |
| **759** | **0** | **15999** | **0** |
| **Water consumption** | Current Consumption | | Consumption in 5year | |
|  | **8205** | | **14228** | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.2** | **FREE BASIC SERVICES** |

**Table: 75**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SERVICE** | **FREE SERVICE** | **NUMBER INDIGENTS 16/17** |
| Free basic service: Electricity(FBE) Makana area | 50units/Kwh per H/H | 1107H/H per month, |
| Free basic service: Electricity (FBEESKOM area | 50units/Kwh per H/H | 5484H/H per month,50units/Kwh |
| Free basic service: Water usage | First 6 Kl per H/H | 4869H/H per month, |
| Free basic service: Water connection | 75%-100% base on income per | H 5301H/H per month, |
| Free basic service: Sewer connection | 75%-100% base on income per | H 5093H/H per month, |
| Free basic service: Refuse removal | 75%-100% base on income per | H 6085 H/H per month, |
| Free basic service: Rate and taxes | 75%-100% base on income per | H 5584H/H per month,75%-100% base income |

### 2.8.3WATER AND SANITATION

The Makana local municipality is both, the ***Water Service Authority and Water Service Provider*** and is also responsible to provide all the ***other local government services such as municipal roads, storm water management, electricity and waste collection and disposal etc***. The Municipality is granted an equitable share which it receives from government in terms of the Division of Revenue Act (DORA) for the provision of free basic services to households who cannot afford to pay for their services. National policy requires that poor households should receive 50 kWh of free basic electricity, 6Kl of free basic water, free basic sanitation and free weekly refuse removal. There are a total of 6085 poor (indigent) households on the Municipality’s indigent register who are provided with indigent support in terms of the Municipality’s Indigent Policy monthly.

**Table: 76**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SERVICES** | **2011** | | **2016** | |
|  | **Number** | **Percent** | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Access to housing:** | | | | |
| Formal | 18 269 | 85.4 | 20 589 | 90.7 |
| Traditional | 780 | 3.6 | 1 046 | 4.6 |
| Informal | 2 153 | 10.1 | 934 | 4.1 |
| Other | 186 | 0.9 | 132 | 0.6 |
| **Access to water:** | | | | |
| Access to piped water | 20 854 | 95.8 | 21 431 | 94.4 |
| No Access to piped water | 924 | 4.2 | 1 269 | 5.6 |
| **Access to sanitation:** | | | | |
| Flush toilet | 16 283 | 76.2 | 19 382 | 85.4 |
| Chemical | 28 | 0.1 | 50 | 0.2 |
| Pit toilet | 3 439 | 16.1 | 2 110 | 9.3 |
| Bucket | 774 | 3.6 | 264 | 1.2 |
| None | 854 | 4.0 | 480 | 2.1 |
| **Energy for lighting:** | | | | |
| Electricity | 19 505 | 89.7 | 21 934 | 97.0 |
| Other | 2 238 | 10.3 | 688 | 3.0 |
| Energy for cooking |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity | 17 589 | 80.9 | 20 594 | 90.9 |
| Other | 4 154 | 19.1 | 2 059 | 9.1 |
| **Access to refuse removal:** | | | | |
| Removed by local authority at least once a week | 19 371 | 89.0 | 20 444 | 90.1 |
| Removed by local authority less often | 157 | 0.7 | 223 | 1.0 |
| Communal refuse dump | 184 | 0.8 | 888 | 3.9 |
| Own refuse dump | 1 453 | 6.7 | 528 | 2.3 |
| No rubbish disposal | 331 | 1.5 | 347 | 1.5 |

**2.8.3.1 Water Provision**

In terms of the Water Services Act (Act no. 108 of 1997) and declaration of the powers and functions by the Minister of Water Affairs and Forestry in 2004, Makana Municipality is acting as both Water Services Authority and Water Services provider. The Authority function means that the Municipality is responsible for regulation, water quality, ensuring access and monitoring and evaluation. The Provider function means that the Municipality is responsible for access, provision, operations and maintenance of all water needs.

The National Department of Water Affairs is responsible for policy formulation, capacity building and support where it is needed, regulation and enforcement of legislation. The National Department of Corporate Governance and Traditional Affairs is responsible for funding of some water capital projects through Municipal Infrastructure Grant. The Municipality has the responsibility of implementing water services projects and maintenance thereof. Water deliveries were made by truck to rural areas on request by the Community and Social Services Directorate.

* **Challenges:**

The Municipality is still struggling with its aging infrastructure and external funding was received to increase the capacity of some of Makana’s Water Treatment Works such as the James Kleynhans Water Treatment Works. The Department of Water Affairs and the East Cape Development Corporation are the implementing Agents for the projects.

**2.8.3.2 Sanitation Provision**

In terms of the Water Services Act (Act no. 108 of 1997) and declaration of the powers and Functions by the Minister of Water Affairs and Forestry in 2004 Makana Municipality is acting as both Water Services Authority and Water Service provider. The Authority function means that the Municipality is responsible for regulation, quality, ensuring access, monitoring and evaluation of basic sanitation. The provider function means that the Municipality is responsible for access, provision operations and maintenance of all water provision mean The Department of Water Affairs is responsible for policy formulation, regulation and enforcement.

The Department of Corporate Governance and Traditional Affairs is responsible for funding of some sanitation capital projects through MIG. The Municipality is having a responsibility of implementing sanitation projects and maintenance thereof.

* **Challenges**

All Clinics and schools were provided with adequate sanitation services during the year under review. Most schools have sanitation infrastructure but there are water pressure problems at a number of schools that are being addressed. Makana is also challenged with increasing pressure on its waste water treatment leading to works overflow i.e. Belmont Valley which is near full capacity

#### 2.8.3.3 BLUE DROP STATUS 2014

The Municipality’s water is of a good quality and complies with National Standard SANS 242. The Department of Water Affairs has implemented the Blue Drop Certification Programme to enable it to assess the standard of water services provided by Municipalities.

It entails the assessment of 5 key performance areas namely risk management, process management and control, drinking water quality, compliance management, accountability and local regulation and asset management. In order to qualify for a Blue Drop Award a Municipality must achieve an average of 95% for all key performance areas.

**Table: 77**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PERFORMANCE AREA** | **2011** | **2012** | **2014** |
| **Blue drop Score** | 55,07% | 71.90% | 70.83% |
| **PERFORMANCE AREA** | **ALICEDALE** | **GRAHAMSTOWN** | **RIEBEECK EAST** |

**Water Safety Planning (35%) 23.63 22.4 26.78**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Treatment Process Management** (8%) | **8** | **6** | **8** |
| **DWQ Compliance** (30%) | **13.5** | **21** | **28.5** |
| **Management Accountability** (10%) | **7.15** | **7.9** | **7.15** |
| **Asset Management** (14%) | **8.79** | **8.02** | **8.26** |
| **Efficiency, Loss Management** (3%) | **0.63** | **0.63** | **0.63** |

#### 2.8.3.4 GREEN DROP STATUS

The Department of Water Affairs has implemented the Green Drop Certification Programme to enable it to assess the standard of the Municipality’s waste water. For 2014 on PAT was conducted. This assessment was based on a number of key risk areas including management, ADWF designs capacity, operational flow (% of design capacity), annual average effluent quality compliance %, technical skills 9Reg 813).The Municipality’s waste water is of an acceptable quality, although it does not comply fully with National Standard SANS 241. This is primarily due to ageing infrastructure. Evaluations were undertaken in February 2013, and the outcomes are still being awaited.

##### Table 78

| **PERFORMANCE AREA** | | **ALICEDALE** | **BELMONT VALLEY** | **MAYFIELD** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Technology (Liquid)** | | Aerated ponds Oxidation pond | /Biological filters | Activated sludge |
| **Technology (Sludge)** | | None specified | Anaerobic digestion | Aerobic digestion |
| **Key Risk Areas** | | | | |
| **A.** | ADWF designs capacity | 0.85 | 5.4 | 2.5 |
| **B.** | Operational flow(% of design capacity) | 151% (NI) | 139% | 112% |
| **C.** | Annual average effluent quality compliance % | 0.0% | 62.5% | 37.5% |
| **D.** | Technical skills 9Reg 813) | Yes | Partial | Yes |
| **2014 Wastewater Risk Rating** | | **82.4%** | **81.8%** | **76.5%** |

#### 2.8.3.5 REGULATORY IMPRESSION

Makana LM has completed selected areas of the Green Drop PAT scorecard. This effort does not necessarily do justice to the good work and progress that was noticed by DWS at Makana, over the past 2 years. As a result, the CRR scores have digressed, mostly as a result of effluent quality data not available for Alicedale, and non-compliance for Belmont Valley and Mayfield. Flow measurement is not recorded for Alicedale, which carries a significant risk with it.

The extensions taking place at Ext 6 of Mayfield and Glebe is noted with encouragement. However, concerns are raised with regard to the treatment plants which are already operated beyond its design capacity and where the effluent quality is not meeting the legal specification. This additional flow will place undue pressure on the plant to perform and comply with its legal specification. Makana LM is requested to communicate with the DWS on this pertinent matter, as no indication was given as to the status of upgrading the plants. These aspects result in all three (3) treatment facilities residing in high risk positions during the current assessment.

The completion of a well structure W2RAP is however noted and commended. The key 31 risks are being identified, rated and addressed in this risk management plan. Regrettably, the need to upgrade the plant.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.3.6** | **WATER AND SANITATION BULK INFRASTRUCTURE RESOURCES** |
|  |  |
| **2.8.3.6.1** | **Howisons Poort Dam** |

The Howiesons Poort Dam is located in the Palmiet River Catchment (upstream of the Kariega River now part of the Thomas Baine Nature Reserve) and was constructed in 1031 with an estimated capacity of 883x10ᶾmᶾ and surface area of 16.3ha. The estimated 1:50 year yields including the Settlers Dam is 2450x10ᶾmᶾ of which 2200 x 10ᶾmᶾ is for domestic supply (the remainder for irrigation and other uses.) According to the DWA, the registered permit volume p.a. is 500 x 10ᶾmᶾ. Raw water is pumped from the Howisonspoort pump station situated slightly downstream and south west of the dam wall, directly to the Waainek WTW.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.3.6.2** | **Settlers Dam** |

The Settlers Dam is located in the Kariega River catchment further south which supplies the Albany Coastal catchment area. The dam was constructed in 1962 with an estimated capacity of 4020 x 10ᶾmᶾ, making it the biggest in the Makana region. The surface area of the dam is 82.2ha.

The registered volume permit from the DWA is 1000x10ᶾmᶾ p.a. to increase capacity the dam wall as raised by 2.3m in 1981.Raw water in this dam is pumped directly into the Howiesonspoort Dam thus augmenting the Howiesonspoort in time of low water availability.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.3.6.3** | **Jameson Dam** |

The Jameson Dam I located in the Nuwejaarsspruit River on the farm Slaaikraal. It is also referred to sometimes as the Slaaikraal Jameson dam for this reason. This dam was constructed in 1906 with an estimated reservoir capacity of 575x10ᶾmᶾ. The surface area of the dam is 15.5ha.

The estimated total yield is 460x10ᶾmᶾ although no exact figures are available confirming what portion is earmarked for irrigation or other uses. The registered volume permit from the DWA is 150x10ᶾmᶾ p.a. raw water from this dam gravitates to the downstream Milner Dam from where it gravitates towards the ton filter storage tank in Grahamstown via a 200mmᴓ main. From there it is pumped to the Waainek WTW via a 150mmᴓ steel.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.3.6.4** | **Milner Dam** |

The Milner Dam is located downstream of the Jameson Dam in the Nuwejaars River, also on the farm Slaaikraal. This dam was constructed in 1898 making it the oldest functional dam in the Makana region. The estimated reservoir capacity of 255x10ᶾmᶾ with the surface are of 7.7ha. The estimated total yield is 190x10ᶾmᶾ. The registered volume permit from the DWA is 150 x 10ᶾmᶾ p.a. the same as Jameson Dam. According to the Stewart Scott report, the dam’s full supply level is approximately 626.4 msl. Thus the hydraulic grade difference between Milner Dam and Town Filter Storage is approximately 15.9m (Town Filter Storage is at 610.5 msl). Based on a gravity main length of 6380m (exact distance to be confirmed) indicates a much lower figure of 15-20l/s. since the incoming flow is not measured, this cannot be confirmed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.3.6.5** | **Service Reservoirs** |

One 2.5MLservice reservoir and an elevated storage tank is located on the precinct of the works. The elevated water tank of approximately 8mᶾ and 10m height supplies the Waainek WTW and two houses with potable water. This tank is manufactured form fabricated steel panels which is in a very poor condition due to corrosion. The tank is leaking and the non-return valve is also not working and needs to be replaced. The inside of the tank also needs to be repaired, the Makana Municipality is currently in the planning stages to have the elevated storage tank repaired.

The 2.5ML high level reservoir acts as the main distribution reservoir for the industrial and higher lying residential areas. This reservoir is still in good condition with no problems reported.

During failures or other interruptions, the potable water supply to the higher lying areas becomes problematic as this reservoir tends to run dry since it feeds water to the downstream reservoir as well.

To ensure that sufficient potable water storage is available for the higher lying areas, it is proposed that the existing 10ML raw water storage dam on the eastern side of the WTW be converted in a clear water storage reservoir. This will be achieved by installing a floating HDPE roof cover to this reservoir.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.4.7.** | **WAAINEK WATER PURIFICATION WORKS (PLANT)** |

The WTW is located next to the N2 highway west of Grahamstown. Access to the treatment works is directly from the N2. The current processes involved to treat the water includes flocculation, sedimentation, filtration and disinfection.

Waainek Water Treatment Works is supplied with raw water from 4 sources, the Howison’s Poort and Settlers dams in the Kariega River catchment, and the Jameson and Milner dams in the Slaaikraal catchment

The existing Waainek Water Treatment Works has a capacity of 9 Ml/d and designed to operate over a 24 hour period. The works comprises a 16Ml raw water storage dam. There is an additional 6MI raw water storage constructed above the works from which raw water is gravitated to the inlet works. Total raw water storage represents 60 hours of the works capacity. The works is supplied either directly from the Howison's Poort pumping main or from the raw water storage reservoirs.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.4.7.1** | **Raw Water Inlet** |

Water from both the Howiesonspoort and Town Filter Storage Tanks are pumped to the WTW. The system is designed so that raw water from Howiesonspoort PS directly enters the WTW with a bypass weir to supply water to the lower lying raw water storage reservoir.

The won filter supply pumps directly to the lower raw water storage dam. A bypass system is in place to divert water directly to the inlet works.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.4.7.2** | **Raw Water Storage Reservoirs** |

The WTW has two raw water storage reservoirs, one a 16ML on the west of the WTW and a newer storage reservoir on the east of approximately 10ML. the 16ML lower lying reservoir has recently been relined and is in a good condition. The exact construction date of the new upper reservoir is unknown although it can be confirmed that the lining still appears to be in a relatively good condition. It has deteriorated to some extent due on the edges due to little or no maintenance and on some sections of the liner it could be noticed that vegetation has penetrated the liner (which needs to be repaired).

##### 2.8.4.7.3 JAMES KLEYNHANS WATER TREATMENT WORKS

James is Kleiynhans water treatment works supply mostly Grahamstown east, Water Treatment Works is supplied with raw water from the Glen Melville Dam, owned and operated by DWS. . Water from the Orange River is released into the upper reaches of the Fish River as part of the Orange-Fish-Sundays scheme. Water abstracted from the Fish River is stored in the off-channel Glen Melville dam. Water from the dam gravitates to the James Kleynhans WTW.

Currently, Grahamstown’s allocation of water from the Glen Melville dam is 3000 Mℓ/annum (average daily abstraction of 8.22 Ml/d). The James Kleynhans Water Treatment Works has a capacity of 10 Ml/d and designed to operate over a 24 hour period. Elements within the works require upgrading, as the existing required capacity is 12.96 Ml/d (2.96 Ml/d shortfall)

##### 2.8.4.8. MAKANA BULK SANITATION BULK PROVISION

**2.8.4.8.1 GRAHAMSTOWN WASTE WATER TREATMENT WORKS.**

The Grahamstown sewerage network is divided into two distinct drainage areas namely North and South which drains to the Mayfield Wastewater Treatment Works (WWTW) and the Belmont Valley WWTW respectively.

**2.8.4.8.1.1 Belmont Valley WWTW:**

The Belmont Valley Wastewater Treatment Works (WWTW) is a 5.4Ml biological filter plant currently being operated at an average inflow of between 7 and 8Ml/d. The plant is servicing the entire CBD and Western side and 60% of the Eastern side.

**2.8.4.8.1.2 Mayfield WWTW:**

The Mayfield WWTW has a stated existing hydraulic capacity of 2.5 Ml/day and currently treats flows from the areas known as Mayfield, Makanaskop, Kings Flats, Transit Camp and Extensions 6 and 7.

**2.8.4.8.1.3. Alicedale WWTW:**

Alicedale WWTW has a design capacity of 0.85Ml/d but operating at a capacity of 0.1Ml/d. The plant is servicing the areas of Nomzamo, Mandela and Transriviere. The town is currently not connected but using the septic tanks. The project to connect the town to the plant is under procurement phases and will be implemented during 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 Financial l year through MIG funding.

**2.8.4.9 DROUGHT SITUATION AND INTERVENTION**

Drought condition in the district has severely affected communities, settlements, negatively that included Makana Municipality area. Affected mostly settlements, business, small scale farmers, as well commercially farms. Even wild life industry has been affected by this.

As result of the drought condition the Municipal Council resolved that Disaster be declared in the Municipality. This declaration was gazetted in the Provincial Gazette No 3893 on the 11 August 2017 through SBDM.

Impact of the drought resulted that in the decrease of daily water supply due to levels dropping of dams to 20% average of capacity. Due to substantial low rainfall for the past 2 years, farmers has suffer a lot from almost no water in their stock water dams and no food for their animals. The farmers have reached a critical stage where they are in dire need of assistance where they have depleted their financial reserves. They have already reduce their stock numbers which is more that economically viable.

Department of Rural Development and Agrarian Reform (DRDAR) has been actively assisting a small scale farmers with fodder and waters tanks. Restrictions and limitations on usage of water were also imposed, that included no watering/ irrigation, car wash, no swimming pools, use hosepipes using drinking water. Also the use of drinking water per person in litres per day was restricted

**District Interventions:** A business plan for drought relief interventions for the district was prepared and submitted to Provincial Disaster Management Centre. The business Plan covers short, medium term and long term intervention. The summary for the budgetary requirements for the short medium term drought relieve measure amount for Makana Municipality are details a follows;

**Table 79**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DROUGHT INTERVENTIONS** | | | |
| Term | Budget (excl of vat) | Vat (14%) | Budget (Incl. of vat) |
| Short Term Drought | R 6,810,000,00 | R 953, 400.00 | R 7,63,400.00 |
| Medium & Long Term | R 12,850,000.00 | R 1,799,000.00 | R 14,649,000.00 |

**Municipal Short Term Projects: Table 80**

| **PROJECT NAME AND DESCRIPTION** | **AREA WHERE PROJECT IS IMPLEMENTED** | **GPS**  **COORDINATES** | **FUNDS ALLOCATED/ TRANSFERRED** | **PROJECTED AMOUNT AS PER CASH FLOW** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Condition assessment and recommissioning of existing boreholes in **Seven Fountains: 4 boreholes** | Seven Fountain | 33˚26ˈ 03.97”S; 26˚ 19ˈ03.96”E | R720 000.00 | R720 000.00 |
| Condition assessment and recommissioning of existing boreholes in **Riebeeck East: 2 boreholes** | Riebeeck East | 33˚11ˈ 46”S; 26˚ 10ˈ57”E | R90 000.00 | R90 000.00 |

**2.8.4.10 HIGH LEVEL CRITICAL CHALLENGES**

Critical high level challenges as regards to water and sanitation services are as follows:

* Ageing infrastructure, poor maintenance and poor contract management;
* Material water supply shortages leading to service delivery protests;
* Inadequate catchment area to Grahamstown West, which could result in possible water shortages to the community in the future;
* Inadequate supply versus demand at the James Kleynhans Water Treatment Works;
* Inadequate electricity supply on the western side of Grahamstown, which results in the inability to supply raw water for purification;
* Inadequate storage retention rate (the Department of Water Affairs requirement is 48 hours but Makana has an average of 12 hours);
* Inadequate capacity at waste water treatment works. The plant is operating above design capacity by 78% and the municipality has received pre-directives on non-compliance by Department of Water Affairs, which could result in legal action against the accounting officer. In addition health hazards to the people and pollution to the environment;
* Inadequate capacity of the collector mains (rising main and outfalls) resulting in sewer spillages;
* Inadequate capacity of pump stations resulting in sewer spillages;
* Inadequate capacity reticulation network sewers resulting in spillages. Health hazard to the people and pollution to the environment;
* Storm water ingresses to sewer network system increases the inflow to the waste water treatment works;
* Inadequate resources (equipment and human resources) resulting in the inability to attend to complaints and dealing with the problems; and
* Continuous vandalism of critical infrastructure including the exposure of manholes, which could lead to injury and damage to property resulting in possible litigation.

***Water source Challenges:***

* Quality of the raw water from the Glenn Melville Dam – High turbidity
* Unstable pumping system & rising main capacity
* Unreliable electricity supply to pump raw water from H’Poort

**Water treatment works Challenges**

* Mechanical & Electrical poorly maintained
* Civil Works not operating as designed

**Bulk water distribution Challenges**

* Storage Retention Rate (DWS requires 48hrs but Makana’s 12hrs or less)
* Network Water Leaks (Through broken/old valves, pipes & meters)
* Absence of Bulk Metering (Raw water, treated water & stored water).

**Service reticulation challenges**

* High Water Leaks (Resulting to water loss)
* Absence of meters (Impact to Billing mechanism)
* Lack Pressure Control (Continuous water outages to the High Lying areas due to having water at the bottom part of the settlement)

### 2.8.5.ELECTRICITY

**2.8.5.1 Electricity**

The Makana Municipality's Electricity Department ensures that its customers get good supply of quality service in compliance with the quality criteria prescribed by the national Electricity Regulator. The Department has the duty of refurbishing electrical infrastructure and the responsibility of connecting customers who are not on the grid. The Department is also responsible for project implementation as well as day-to-day operations and maintenance.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Level and standard of services | * Customers are provided with a bulk 11 kilovolts of electricity. Some of this electricity is provided from solar energy. * The Municipality provides streetlights in accordance to the standards as outlined in the National Energy Regulator of South Africa (Nersa) guidelines. It also provides high masts which are funded by the Municipal infrastructure grant (MIG). * Medium and low voltage electricity is distributed according to consumer requirements. |

Access to minimum electricity standards is defined as an electricity connection at the dwelling. National policy also requires that poor households should receive 50kWh of free basic electricity per month.

The Maintenance Plan is in place but not fully adhered to, due to staff shortages. Budgetary constraints hinder the effective operation and maintenance as the infrastructure is aging and needs upgrading.

The 5 wards with the most households with no access to electricity are

* Ward 13
* Ward 3
* Ward 11
* Ward 14
* Ward 10

All indigent households and households who are prepared to install a 20 AMP Circuit Breaker get their first 50 KwH free. There are therefore no backlogs in respect of service provision to existing erven within the Municipality’s area of supply. There are also street lighting in all towns.

There is a direct correlation between electricity backlogs and housing backlogs and planning for the new proposed areas are in place. The IDP Public Participation process revealed that there are areas within the Municipal and ESKOM supply area where existing services need to be upgraded. There is also a need for enhanced maintenance of street lighting. This coupled with the need for development within the Municipal area necessitates upgrading of the electrical service infrastructure.

**2.8.5.2 HIGH LEVEL CRITICAL CHALLENGES IN ELECTRICITY**

Makana is currently the service provider for the distribution of electricity only in the old Grahamstown (CPA) municipal area, while the new urban settlements, Grahamstown East, Riebeeck East, rural farm areas are serviced by Eskom except Alicedale by both Municipality and Eskom. This makes delivery of services and credit control difficult for Makana to manage. This matter should be addressed as a matter of urgency. There is no Electricity Master Plan in place and a service provider has been appointed to develop the electricity master plan.

The maintenance plan is in place but not fully adhered to, due to staff and financial shortages. Budgetary constraints hinder the effective operation and maintenance as the infrastructure is ageing and needs to be constantly upgraded and maintained.

There are 5 wards (3, 10, 11, 13 and 14) comprising the majority of households with no access to electricity. There has however been an overall reduction in the number of persons without access to electricity.

The Municipality is looking at innovative alternative energy sourcing methods and there is a draft policy which sets out the criteria which will enable the evaluation of renewable energy generation infrastructure to be developed in a manner that will limit the potential negative impacts thereof.

Municipality has appointed service provider Innowind at Waainek to create an alternative power wind farm and the southern portion of the municipality has been identified as having potential for the development of wind energy generation infrastructure.

Windfarms as part of an alternative energy solution a:

* Distribution Agreement (DA) for the Waainek Windfarm Project (Alternative Energy) was signed and construction was completed. The project is earmarked to have R400 million economic spinoffs over 20 years;
* R30 million was received for the refurbishment of ageing electricity infrastructure, mainly substations; and
* R2, 2 million was spent for 13/14 for Thomas Baines Power line as an alternative power supply.

The high level challenges are as follows:

* Makana’s electricity and energy services are divided into two in terms of supply i.e. Grahamstown West (eRhini) is supplied and managed by Eskom and Grahamstown East (CBD and town areas) is supplied and managed by Makana Municipality;
* The Electricity and Energy Department is not compliant due to it not having GMR2.1 accredited personnel to approve the work done by electricians;
* Ageing electricity network cables between distribution substations to secure firm electricity supply and lack of an upgrade plan for the transformers. This could result in a possible disruption to the Windfarm Project (Waainek Windfarm).
* Major substation (66/11 KV): Waainek Substation - Old infrastructure, needs transformers and control panels. Supplies Rhodes University, Prisons, Military Base and Industrial Area. Summit Substation – poor soil condition (clay) causing major cracks to the foundation and poor drainage;
* Distribution substations require new isolators to the breakers (60% of the 153 substations need urgent attention). In addition security is required at the substations;
* Inadequate resources being vehicles, ladders, materials, testing equipment, safety clothing, designing software, etc.; and
* Insufficient staff training in particular trade tests for electricians and authorisation of electricity staff.

Given the above, the electricity and energy solutions to meet the challenges of supplying a reliable electricity supply, which must be prioritised and considered, going forward, are as follows:

* Development of a master plan to identify issues that need to be addressed;
* Installation of a new 10MVA transformer at Grahamstown sub-station;
* Installation of a new 66Kv circuit breaker at Summit sub-station;
* Refurbishment of 2x15 MVA transformers and drainage system at Summit sub-station;
* Installation of ripple control system at Sugar Loaf sub-station;
* Electrification of Ethembeni infill area comprising of 330 housing units;
* Electrification of Mayfield Phase 2 comprising of 1320 housing units;
* Substation upgrade at Waainek sub-stations; and
* 66Kv line upgrade from Grahamstown sub-station to Sugar Loaf sub-station

### 2.8.6 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

Makana municipality is responsible for waste management which includes the street sweeping, collection, transportation and disposal of solid waste. The municipality has approved Integrated Waste Management Plan in accordance with section 11 of the NEMA approved by Council 2013 and a Local Waste Management Plan which was endorse by the DEDEAT and by- Law to address issues like illegal dumping.

#### 2.8.6.1 REFUSE REMOVAL AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

Basic level services for refuse removal are defined as free weekly refuse removal. All households in urban areas including Grahamstown, East and West have access to weekly refuse removal services that also include business and other waste is removed by order. Refuse in is taken and disposed in three refuse land fill sites Grahamstown, Alicedale and Riebeeck East.

Dumping rate is higher in Grahamstown and the cost of transporting the waste has also increasing significantly due to illegal dumping littering and old fleet infrastructure

#### 2.8.6.2 WASTE DISPOSAL INFRASTRUCTURE

The Makana Municipality has three landfill sites namely the Grahamstown, Alicedale and Riebeeck East landfill; all the sites are managed by the Municipality. The landfills are located on municipal property. The landfill site in Grahamstown was permitted by the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry on 10 September 1996 as a Class G:M:B+ waste disposal site in terms of Section 20 of the Environment Conservation Act (Act 73 of 1989).

**Grahamstown:** The site is located 2km from town at co-ordinates: S 330 17’ 28’’ and E 260 29’ 32’’. The expected lifetime of the site is approximately 20 years. The municipality uses a cell method to dispose of the waste in an old quarry.

Waste is properly and regularly covered with excavated material from the quarry and building rubble. A bulldozer is used on the site for compaction and covering purposes. No burning of waste takes place. The Municipality is experiencing problems with the disposal of chillies and abattoir waste.

These waste streams need to be disposed of in a dedicated deeply dug trench and be covered immediately after disposal. It could be necessary depending on the quantities of abattoir waste (dead animals) to treat the waste with lime once disposed of. Illegal scavenging is only taking place on the landfill at night. The site is properly fenced with an access gate at the entrance. Record-keeping of incoming waste should be improved and properly recorded.

The site continues to be operated in accordance with the permit conditions for the site and the Minimum Requirements for Waste Disposal by Landfill document as published by DWAF in 1998.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Position of site**: | Located approximately 2km from town at co-ordinates: S 330 17’ 28’’ and E 260 29’ 32’’. |
| **Permit:** | yes |
| **Year issued** | 10 September 1996 |
| **Classification of site** | GMB + |
| **Type of operation( end- tip, trench, cell)** | Cell method, filling of quarry |
| **Estimated size of site** | Approximately 10ha |
| **Estimated remaining life of site** | 20 years |
| **Separation of fresh and contaminated water** | Yes |
| **Ground water monitoring** | 6 monthly monitoring of downstream borehole, located at the neighbouring ground, water pollution encountered. |
| **Volumes per day, week or month** | It is estimated that the site receives approximately 125 tons per day |
| **Is cover material available?** | Yes, from quarry and building rubble |
| **Is the drainage sufficient?** | Yes |
| **Is there access control?** | Yes but record keeping of incoming waste should be improved |
| **Is the site fenced?** | Yes |
| **Does the site have sufficient buffer zone?** | Yes 2km |
| **type of equipment utilised** | Kamatsu bulldozer |
| **Operating hours** | The site is open 24hrs. |
| **Saving plan for closure** | No estimate regarding closure exists. The municipality must budget for the closure and rehabilitation timeously. |

**Alicedale:** this site was authorised in May 2005 through Directions in terms of Section 20(5) (b) of the Environment Conservation Act, Act 73 of 1989, by the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. The site is located approximately 500m from town (Co-ordinates: S 330 19’ 04’’ and E 260 04’ 35’’). The landfill site is operated by filling an old sandstone quarry. The site is approximately 0.9 Ha in size. The expected lifetime of the site is approximately 20 years.

Waste is covered once a year by hiring a bulldozer for this purpose. Waste is being burned on a regular basis although it is prohibited in the authorisation for the site.

There is scavenging taking place at the landfill. The site is not fenced and should be equipped with a gate at the entrance to prevent unauthorised persons from entering, access is not controlled. It is recommended that the burning of waste be phased out as an operational method and that waste be covered on a weekly basis as required in the authorisation for the site, that the site be fenced and proper access control be executed (including proper record-keeping of incoming waste streams) and that the salvagers be formalised or disallowed on the site. The management of the site in general should be improved in accordance with the Minimum Requirements for Waste Disposal by Landfill document published by DWAF in 1998 and in accordance with the authorisation issued for the site.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Position of site**: | The site is located 500m from town (Co-ordinates: S 330  19’ 04’’ and E 260 04’ 35’’) |
| **Permit:** | Yes controlled through Directions |
| **Year issued** | 2005 |
| **Classification of site** | GCB –Type of Operation (end – tip, trench, cell): Filling of sandstone quarry, waste burning |
| **Type of operation( end- tip, trench, cell)** |  |
| **Estimated size of site** | Approximately 0.9 ha. |
| **Estimated remaining life of site** | 20 years |
| **Separation of fresh and contaminated water** | None in place. |
| **Ground water monitoring** | Not required. Volumes per day, week or month: It is estimated that the site receives approximately 1.56 tons per day. |
| **Volumes per day, week or month** |  |
| **Is cover material available?** | Yes from quarry |
| **Is the drainage sufficient?** | No |
| **Is there access control?** | No |
| **Is the site fenced?** | No |
| **Does the site have sufficient buffer zone?** | Yes (500m) |
| **type of equipment utilised** | No permanent equipment, a Bulldozer is hired once a year for covering purposes |
| **Operating hours** | There are no official operating hours for the site. |
| **Saving plan for closure** | No estimate regarding closure exists. The Municipality must budget for the closure and rehabilitation in the near future. |

**Riebeeck East** authorised in May 2005 through Directions in terms of Section 20(5) (b) of the Environment Conservation Act, Act 73 of 1989, by the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. The site is located approximately 2 kilometres from town (Co-ordinates: S 330 12’ 35’’ and E 260 10’ 56’’). The site is approximately 0.5 Ha in size.

The expected lifetime of the current disposal area is approximately 10-15 years. Waste is covered once a year by hiring a bulldozer for this purpose. Waste is being burned on a regular basis although it is prohibited in the authorisation for the site.

The site is fenced (but need maintenance) with an access gate to prevent unauthorised persons from entering. Access to the site is however not properly controlled.

It is recommended that the burning of waste be phased out as an operational method and that waste be covered on a weekly basis as required in the authorisation for the site.

Access to the site should be controlled and proper record keeping of incoming waste should be done. The management of the site in general should be improved in accordance with the Minimum Requirements for Waste Disposal by Landfill document published by DWAF in 1998 and in accordance with the authorisation issued for the site.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Position of site**: | The site is located approximately 2 kilometres from town (Co-ordinates: S 330 12’ 35’’ and E 260 10’ 56’’) |
| **Permit:** | Yes, controlled through Directions |
| **Year issued** | 2005 |
| **Classification of site** | GCB – |
| **Type of operation ( end- tip, trench, cell)** | No proper operational method followed, waste is burned |
| **Estimated size of site** | Approximately 0.5 ha |
| **Estimated remaining life of site** | 10 -15 years |
| **Separation of fresh and contaminated water** | None in place |
| **Ground water monitoring** | No, not required |
| **Volumes per day, week or month** | It is estimated that the site receives approximately 0.56 tons per day. |
| **Is cover material available?** | Yes |
| **Is the drainage sufficient?** | No |
| **Is there access control?** | No |
| **Is the site fenced?** | Yes, but need maintenance |
| **Does the site have sufficient buffer zone?** | Yes, 2 km from nearest house |
| **type of equipment utilised** | No permanent equipment, a bulldozer is hired once a year for covering purposes |
| **Operating hours** | There are no official operating hours for the site. |
| **Saving plan for closure** | No estimate regarding closure exists. The Municipality must budget for the closure and rehabilitation in the near future. |

#### 2.8.6.3 RECYCLING

Municipality has recycling program in Grahamstown landfill which called Masihlule and has a Public Private Partnership with Social Development and a private company.

#### 2.8.6.4 AIR QUALITY

There is an opinion that the Air Quality Act requires local municipalities to monitor ambient air quality within its area of jurisdiction. From an environmental perspective the importance of appointing a permanent air quality officer to regularly monitor air pollution in highly developed areas with heavy industrial emissions is acknowledged. This situation does not warrant the appointment of a permanent air quality officer thus the municipality is looking at a more sustainable solution like partnering with the Sarah Baartman District Municipality and DEA to monitor the air quality in Makana.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.6.5** | **TRANSPORT, ROADS AND STORM WATER** |

The provision and maintenance of roads covers the functional areas of the Department of Roads and Public Works and the Local Municipality. The Municipality have developed Road and Storm water Master Plan and next is to developing a Pavement Management System to carry infrastructure and maintenance.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.8.6.6** | **ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORT MODES** |

##### Table: 81

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **BASIC SERVICE / INFRASTRUCTURE** |  | **MUNICIPAL ROADS** |
| **Total length of Roads** | 757.4Km |  |
| **Gravel** | 588Km |  |
| **Tarred** | 169km |  |
| **Man-made house access** | N/A |  |
| **Transport Modes Stats’ 2001** | 74 539 |  |
| **On foot** | 25 674 |  |
| **By bicycle** | 435 |  |
| **By motorcycle** | 173 |  |
| **By car as a driver** | 2 957 |  |
| **By car as a passenger** | 3 324 |  |
| **By minibus/taxi** | 4 386 |  |
| **By bus** | 573 |  |
| **By train** | 88 |  |
| **Donkeys** |  |  |
| **Other** | 220 |  |
| **Not applicable** | 36 709 |  |

##### Makana SDF 2013

A large contingent of the population in 2001 was travelling by foot. Unfortunately the 2011/16 Stats’ does not give a comparison.

Grahamstown is situated on the N2, which links it to East London/ Bisho and Port Elizabeth

The R400 links Grahamstown to Riebeeck East and the N10.

* The MR476 links Grahamstown and Alicedale.
* The R343 links Grahamstown and Salem to Kenton-on-Sea and Alexandria.
* The R350 links Grahamstown to Bedford.
* The R344 links Grahamstown to Adelaide.
* The R67 links Grahamstown to Port Alfred in the South and Fort Beaufort to the North.

Municipality is using Road Asset Management to identified road need upgrading and maintenance to check length and status.

#### 2.8.6.7 RAIL

No functional rail service. The intention is to look at the feasibility of changing the train station to a bus station /depot.

**2.8.6.8 AIRSTRIPS**

There is a municipal airstrip just outside of Grahamstown, adjacent to the Army Base.

**2.8.6.9 NONE- MOTORISED TRANSPORT**

1. **Animal drawn transport**

Animal- drawn transport by dockets are found in Makana area more special in Grahamstown township. These vehicle are not licensed and are not allowed on public roads, however, in certain areas, such as on the N2 and R67 near Grahamstown, owners ignore traffic rules and travel on the surface roadway, results in a major traffic safety problem.

1. **Bicycle Transport & Facilities**

There is general no provision for bicycle travel with the Municipality. Cyclist share the travelled way with motorised traffic. Cycling, however is not a prevalent form of transport in the district but is predominantly are creation sport activity.

1. **Sidewalks and Walkways**

An assessments of the primary transport corridors in the Municipality indicate a dire need for the provision of sidewalks and walkways. Given the limited resources and proximity of residential townships to the business node, walking is one of the main transport modes in the Municipality. Despite this, there is lack of suitable pedestrian facilities, and where sidewalks or walkways are present, these are often in a poor condition. The lack of verge maintenance along primary routes often results in pedestrians sharing the travelled way with motorised transport. Pedestrian infrastructure is required in Makana, for news roads currently built and future one’s iii) sidewalks and walkways considered.

**2.8 6.9 HIGH LEVEL CRITICAL CHALLENGES ROADS AND STORMWATER**

The provision and maintenance of roads covers the functional areas of the Department of Roads, the Department of Public Works and the Makana Municipality.

Makana does not have a storm water master plan/ pavement management system and has insufficient roads infrastructure with maintenance carried out as and when required, which is also evident during community based planning, where concerns are always raised about potholes and corrugated gravel roads.

The high level challenges in the main are as follows:

* roads and storm water (deteriorating state, unfinished projects, poor workmanship);
* Makana has Provincial acclaimed roads within its boundary especially in the Grahamstown CBD, suburbs and rural areas. These roads, including municipal roads, where the condition has deteriorated substantially have become unaffordable for the municipality to maintain; and
* Municipal funds are unable to finance the maintenance of the roads and storm water infrastructure which is urgently required; and
* Makana’s rural areas are fast becoming a safety hazard and are becoming inaccessible due to a lack of maintenance and upgrading due to a lack of funds, equipment and human resources.

Makana Municipality’s transportation system is categorised as follows, with the varying ownership and responsibilities of these making the management of this function difficult for Makana:

* municipal roads;
* provincial roads (Department of Roads and Public Works)
* undefined access roads;
* rural surfaced and gravel roads; and
* national roads (SANRAL)

The roads and storm water operating challenges are as follows:

* Budgetary constraints resulting in poor or no maintenance;
* Unavailability of resources e.g. machinery and human resources resulting in incomplete work;
* Deteriorating condition of the roads causing damage to public vehicles and litigation claims;
* storm water flooding which could cause death, damage to peoples’ properties and possible claims; and
* Deteriorating municipal buildings making them inhabitable with the possibility of causing staff to be sick resulting in possible litigation or charges being laid by the Department of Labour.

The roads and storm water solutions, identified during the assessment, to meet the challenges are as follows:

* Provincial/ district roads maintenance plan have a signed Service Level Agreement with province/ district on the maintenance plan;
* Introduce a Pavement Management System (PMS)
* Acquisition of machinery for maintenance of roads and storm water infrastructure;
* Training of artisans and improving the skills and knowledge of the staff.

#### 2.9 HUMAN SETTLEMENT MANAGEMENT

Housing is a concurrent National and Provincial competency in terms of Part A of Schedule 4, of the Constitution. Section 10 of the Housing Act, 1997 (Act 107 of 1997), sets out the responsibilities of municipalities in relation to the provision of housing. Housing is included in this section as a basic service because there is a direct correlation between the provision of basic services and housing, which makes it a complex function that relies on high levels of cooperation between the Municipality and the Provincial and National Departments responsible for Housing. The table below provides an overview of these roles and responsibilities.

#### 2.9.1 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES IN RELATION TO HOUSING PROVISION

**2.9.1.1 MUNICIPALITY**

* Ensure that the IDP addresses the right to adequate housing on a progressive basis;
* Set housing delivery goals in respect of the Municipal Area;
* Plan, co-ordinate, facilitate. promote and facilitate housing development the Municipal Area;
* Identify and designate land for housing development
* Plan and manage land use and development (township establishment subdivision, consolidation, rezoning etc.);
* Provision of bulk engineering services;
* Provision of services in respect of water, sanitation, electricity. roads, storm water drainage etc.;
* Maintenance of a housing data base.

**2.9.1.2** **Provincial Department Human Settlement**

* Develop Provincial Housing Policies;
* Co- ordinate housing development in the Province;
* Prepare and maintain a multi-year plan in respect of each National Provincial
* Housing Programme to access finance from the National Housing Fund
* Fund the erection of top structures;
* Fund the purchase of land in the event that the Municipality has no land available;
* Capacity development of municipalities to enable them to perform obligations in terms of housing delivery;
* Appointment of developers/contractors.

**2.9.1.3** **National Department Human Settlement**

* Develop National Housing policy as well as norms and standards;
* Set National housing delivery goals;
* Monitor performance in terms of housing delivery;
* Assist provinces to develop the administrative capacity required for effective exercise of their powers and performance of their duties in respect of housing development;
* Promote consultation and communication on matters regarding housing development;
* Administer the National Housing Fund and allocation of funding to Provinces

**2.9.2 HOUSING AND SETTLEMENT ANALYSIS**

**2.9.2.1 HERITAGE**

The heritage resource of the municipality is significant and contributes to the economy of the municipality and needs to be conserved in terms of the provisions of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999 - NHRA).The Act introduced an integrated system for the identification, protection and management of heritage resources nationally, provincially and at municipal level.

The Act prescribes land use planning and management to give attention to, and respond to, heritage considerations both at site and landscape levels. Of particular significance is the obligation placed on the municipality to undertake the preparation of a comprehensive heritage inventory in terms of Section 30(5) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999), Makana Municipality has not prepared such an inventory.

It is also important to note that the heritage resource of the municipality does not only comprise of conservation worthy buildings and urban precincts, but also includes physical and cultural landscapes.

**2.9.2.2 URBAN AND RURAL SETTLEMENT AREAS**

**Table 82**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **SETTLEMENTS** | **APPROXIMATE POPULATION DISTRIBUTION** |
| 1 | Grahamstown | **80%** |
| 2 | Riebeeck East | **5%** |
| 3 | Alicedale | **10%** |
| 4 | Seven Fountains | **4%** |
| 5 | Fort Brown |
| 6 | Salem (Surrounding land is the subject of a substantial land claim, which may result in the need to expand the settlement) |
| 7 | Sidbury (Entirely surrounded it has become the administrative centre for game farming and no longer performs a true human settlement function) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.9.2.3** | **INFORMAL DWELLINGS** |

The five wards with the highest number of informal dwellings are (From highest to lowest)

* Ward 3
* Ward 14
* Ward 11
* Ward 13
* Ward 9

There has been a reduction in the number of informal dwellings from 2001; informal dwellings are concentrated in Grahamstown and in Alicedale.

***Table 83***

| **Informal Dwelling (Not Backyard Shacks)** | **No. of persons (2001)** | | **No. of persons (2011)** | |  | **Change** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Wards / Areas** | **Numeric** | **%** | **Numeric** | **%** | **Numeric** | | | **% change** |
| Ward 1 | 46 | 2.93 | 28 | 1.96 |  | | -18 | -39.13 |
| Ward 2 | 76 | 4.85 | 4 | 0.28 |  | | -72 | -94.74 |
| Ward 3 | 27 | 1.72 | 396 | 27.65 |  | | 369 | 1366.67 |
| Ward 4 | 664 | 42.35 | 16 | 1.12 |  | | -648 | -97.59 |
| Ward 5 | 57 | 3.64 | 11 | 0.77 |  | | -46 | -80.70 |
| Ward 6 | 209 | 13.33 | 6 | 0.42 |  | | -203 | -97.13 |
| Ward 7 | 3 | 0.19 | 63 | 4.40 |  | | 60 | 2000.00 |
| Ward 8 | 12 | 0.77 | 15 | 1.05 |  | | 3 | 25.00 |
| Ward 9 | - | 29.27 | 127 | 8.87 |  | | - |  |
| Ward 10 | 459 | 0.57 | 103 | 7.19 |  | | -356 | -77.56 |
| Ward 11 | 9 | 0.38 | 220 | 15.36 |  | | 211 | 2344.44  **Source: StatsSA2001** |
| Ward 12 | 6 | - | 1 | 0.07 |  | | 5 | -83.33 |
| Ward 13 | - | - | 189 | 13.20 |  | | - | - |
| Ward 14 | - |  | 253 | 17.67 |  | | - | - |
| **Makana – EC104** | **1568** | **100** | **1432** | **1000** |  | | **-136** | **-8.67** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.9.2.4** |  | **BACKYARD SHACKS** |

The five wards with the highest number of persons living in backyard shacks are (From highest to lowest)

* Ward 10
* Ward 5
* Ward 3
* Ward 7
* Ward 14

There has been a reduction in the number of persons residing in backyard shacks from 2001.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.9.2.5** | **BACKYARD SHACKS FROM 2001** |
| ***Table 84*** | |

| **Informal Dwelling**  **(Backyard Shacks)** | **No of person (2001)** | | **No of persons (2011)** | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Numeric** | **% of the total** | **Numeric** | **% of the total** |
| 21004001: Ward 1 | 30 | 3.88 | 37 | 5.12 |
| 21004002: Ward 2 | 21 | 2.72 | 21 | 2.90 |
| 21004003: Ward 3 | 15 | 1.94 | 99 | 13.69 |
| 21004004: Ward 4 | 155 | 20.05 | 23 | 3.18 |
| 21004005: ward 5 | - | - | 162 | 22.41 |
| 21004006: Ward 6 | 3 | 0.39 | 2 | 028 |
| 21004007: Ward 7 | 6 | 0.78 | 67 | 9.27 |
| 21004008: Ward 8 | 170 | 21.99 | 8 | 1.11 |
| 21004009: Ward 9 | 45 | 5.82 | 26 | 3.60 |
| 210040010: Ward 10 | 47 | 6.08 | 174 | 24.07 |
| 210040011: Ward 11 | 215 | 27.8 | 23 | 3.18 |
| 210040012: Ward 12 | 66 | 8.54 | - | - |
| 210040013: Ward 13 | - | - | 24 | 3.32 |
| 210040014: Ward 14 | - | - | 57 | 7.88 |
| **EC104: Makana** | **773** |  | **723** |  |

**2.9.2.6 Dwelling Types and Tenure Status**

**Table 85**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **BASIC SERVICE / INFRASTRUCTURE** | **MAKANA AREA**  **(STA SA 2001)** | **MAKANA AREA**  **(STATS SA 2011)** |  | **%** | **GROWTH %**  **p.a.** |
| **Total number of households** | **18 009** | **21 388** |  |  |  |
| **Dwelling Type** | **17 815** | **21 387** |  |  |  |
| **Brick house in yard** | 10293 | 15822 | 74.0 |  | 5.4 |
| **Mud/Traditional Dwelling** | 3112 | 780 | 3.6 |  | -7.5 |
| **Apartment in block of flats** | 761 | 647 | 3.0 |  | -1.5 |
| **Town/cluster/semi-detached**  **(simplex: duplex: triplex)** | 264 | 872 | 4.1 |  | -2.4 |
| **House/Flat in back yard** | 882 | 810 | 3.8 |  | -0.8 |
| **Informal shack in yard** | 785 | 722 | 3.4 |  | -0.8 |
| **Informal shack not in back yard** | 1598 | 1431 | 6.7 |  | -1.0 |
| **Room/flat not in back yard but shared property** | 66 | 117 | 0.5 |  | 7.7 |
| **Other (ship/caravan)** | 54 | 186 | 0.9 |  | 24.4 |
|  | | **21 388** |  |  |  |
| **Rented** |  | 5 685 | 26.6 |  |  |
| **Owned but not yet paid off** |  | 1 701 | 8.0 |  |  |
| **Rent-free** |  | 4 130 | 19.3 |  |  |
| **Owned and fully paid off** |  | 8 629 | 40.3 |  |  |
| **Other** |  | 1 243 | 5.8 |  |  |

**Source: Stats’ 2011**

The number of traditional dwellings significantly decreased, by 75% over the last ten years (2001 to 2011). There has also been a slight decline in both the number of informal shacks in the yard and those not in the back yard since 2001. This implies that the there is still a demand for urban housing development.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.9.3** |  | **RHODES UNIVERSITY CAMPUS** |

The Rhodes University Campus is the largest single ownership footprint within the Grahamstown urban footprint. Rhodes University is currently undertaking a review of their long term spatial planning and were not able to provide any details thereof during the preparation of this document.

For the purpose of this review information was sourced from older Rhodes University Spatial Planning. Extracts thereof are set out below.

***Table 86***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **PROJECTED GROWTH OF STUDENT NUMBERS** | | | | | |
| **Current**  **Number** | 6954 | 2009 | 2010 | 2014 | 2019 | 2023 |
| 2% | 7093 | 7678 | 8477 | 9176 |
| 4% | 7232 | 8461 | 10294 | 12042 |
| 8% | 6510 | 10218 | 15013 | 20425 |
| **PROJECTED GROWTH OF RESIDENCES** | | | | | | |
| **Current**  **Number** | 6954 | 2009 | 2010 | 2014 | 2019 | 2023 |
| 2% | 2 | 8 | 18 | 26 |
| 4% | 3 | 18 | 39 | 60 |
| 8% | 7 | 38 | 95 | 158 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2.9.4** |  | **HOUSING DEMAND** |

The total estimated housing demand resulting from population growth (Based on the current growth rate) is 4430 additional households by 2030.This demand equates to a land requirement of approximately 220 ha across the entire municipality.

Most of this demand would be accommodated in Grahamstown. Based on the Census 2011 figure the housing demand associated with the eradication of informal dwellings would be 723 households, backyard shacks 1432 households and growing need for provision good quality rental accommodation for the upper end of the low income market, with the primary objective of urban restructuring, creating sustainable human settlements.

The associated land demand is 36ha and 72ha respectively. Officials speculate that there may be considerable duplication in the backlog figures for Grahamstown and estimate that the figure should be in the region of 7000 to 8000 households and can be more when it included social housing demand.

If the informal dwelling and backyard shacks figures are deducted from this approximately 6000 households or persons are accommodated elsewhere or in formal dwellings in the established urban areas of the municipality. The settlement planning priority is therefore to provide adequate shelter to those households accommodated in informal settlements and in backyard shacks**.**

**Social Housing Programme:** There is an increasing need for affordable rental units which provide secure tenure to households which prefer the mobility provided by rental accommodation. Social housing provides good quality rental accommodation for the upper end of the low income market (R1500 - R7500), with the primary objective of urban restructuring, creating sustainable human settlements.

The programme provides for grant funding to establish, capacitate and capitalize social housing institutions which may develop, hold and administer affordable rental units within identified restructuring zones.

#### 2.9.5 HOUSING BACKLOG

There is a need for housing throughout the Municipal Area. The table below indicates the housing needs per town as reflected by the Municipality’s Housing Waiting List as at September 2011

**TABLE: 87 HOUSING BACKLOGS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **TOWN** | **BACKLOG** |
| Fort Brown | 188 ( recently verified) |
| Seven Fountains | 229 (recently verified |
| Riebeeck East | 456 (recently verified) |
| Alicedale | 948 Verified in 2013 |
| Grahamstown | 14 995 (not recently verified) |

***\*The Municipality cannot provide housing on private land – Names of towns indicate town of origin of applicant.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.9.6** | **HOUSING SECTOR PLAN** |

Makana Municipality reviewed its Housing Sector Plan in 2012. Currently the municipality has some capacity to deal with housing administration and development and Makana Council is committed to establish a fully-fledged department to manage the Human Settlement Development. The following table is a status quo of the housing projects:

##### Table 88

| **RUNNING PROJECTS:** | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PROJECT NAME | | No. of subsidy | Status | | | No. of units  Completed | | No. of units to be built | Budget Requirement | |
| Fingo Village 577(2 | | 3577 (237) | Blocked | | | 237 | | 0 | R5.3m | |
| Fingo Village 577 (3 | | 577 (340) | Planning | | | 0 | | 340 | R38m | |
| Eluxolweni 176 (15 | | 8 176 | Blocked | | | 158 | |  | R3,9m | |
| Transit Camp  (421) | | 4 440 | Running | | | 38 Incomplete | |  | R1,7m | |
| Transit Camp 440 | | 1 19 | Planning | | | 19 | |  | R1,9m | |
| Mayfield 2200 Ph. 2, Stage 1 (1200) | | a1200 | Planning | | | 1200 | | 1200 |  | |
| Mayfield 2200 (1200) | | P 1200 | Installation services  running & procurement section | | | R157 m | | 0 | R0m | |
| **PLANNING PROJECTS:** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **PROJECT NAME** | **NO. OF SUBSIDY** | | | **Status** | **Approved Budget** | | No of units completed | | **Budget Required** | |
| Fort Brown | 188 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R4,4 m | |
| Seven Fountains | 229 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R5,3 m | |
| Alicedale 316 | 316 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R5,3 m | |
| Ethembeni 2000 (3 | 3330 | | | Planning |  | |  | |  | |
| Alicedale16 | 27 | | | Construction | R0 m | | 0 | | R5,3 m | |
| Upper Mnandi | 14 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R0,976 m | |
| Lower Mnandi | 40 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R2,7 m | |
| Xolani | 34 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R2,3 m | |
| Zolani | 167 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R11,6 m | |
| N Street | 27 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R1,8 m | |
| J Street | 31 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R2,1 m | |
| K Street | 31 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R2,1 m | |
| **PLANNING PROJECTS (SERVICED SITES)** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Project Name** | **No Subsidies** | | | **Status** | **Approved budget** | | **No units completed** | | **Budget required** | |
| 25 Sites | 25 | | | Construction | R0 m | | 0 | |  | |
| Newtown/Kwa  Ndancama | 33 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | |  | |
| Phumlani 250 | 250 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R2,1 m | |
| Glebe Mission 28 | 28 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R2,1 m | |
| Erf 4103 | 28 | | | Planning | R0 m | | 0 | | R2,1 m | |
| **RECTIFICATION PROJECTS** | | | | **INFORMAL PROJECT AREAS** | | | | | | |
| **Project Name** | **No of Subsidies** | | | **Project name** | **No of Subsidies** | | **Status** | | **Appro-ved Budget** | **Comple-ted** |
| Alicedale 221 | 221 | | | Phaphamani | No information | | | | | |
| Scott’s farm | 90 | | | Polar Park |
| Ghost town | 189 | | | Upper Zolani |
| Vukani location |  | | | J,N, Xolani, Up and lower  Mnandi, Extension 9 and Farmer field |
| **DISASTER PROJECT** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Makana Disaster | 161 | | | Not started | R20 m | | | | Information not available | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.10** | **INFRASTRUCTURE CAPITAL PLANS 2018- 2024** |

***Table 89***

|  | **PROJECT** |  |  |  |  | **5- YEAR INFRASTRUCTURE CAPITAL PLAN** | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TYPE** | **PROGRAMME & PROJECTS** | **SOURCE** | **PRIORITY** | **PLANNING** | **APPROVED BUDGET (ZAR): CURRENT** | **3- YEAR CAPITAL PLAN** | | | **2- OUTER YEARS** | |
| **COST EST.** |
|  | **CURRENT PROJECTS** |  |  |  |  | **2019/20** | **2020/21** | **2021/22** | **2022/23** | **2023/24** |
| **I** | **DWS- FUNDED MANDATE** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WATER CONSERVATION & DEMAND MANAGEMENT | WSIG | WATER |  | 10 000 000 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | RRFURBISHMENT OF RIEBEECK EAST BULK WATER SUPPLY PHASE1 | WSIG | WATER |  | 15 000 000 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | REFURBISHMENT OF ALICEDALE WATER TREATMENT WORKS PHASE1 | WSIG | WATER |  | 10 000 000 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | WATER CONSERVATION AND DEMAND MANAGEMENT | WSIG/ DROUGHT | WATER |  | 10 000 000 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | GROUND WATER DEVELOPMENT | WSIG/ DROUGHT | WATER |  | 12 000 000 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | JAMES KLEYNHANS BULK WATER SUPPLY(BWS) | RBIG | WATER |  | 10 000 000 | 78 000 | 66 000 | - | - | - |
|  | MAKANA BULK SEWER | DWS/ COGTA | SANITATION | 20 000 000 | - | - | - | 30 000 000 | - | - |
|  | BELMONT WATER WASTE TREATMENT WORKS(WWTW) | RBIG | SANITAITON | 140 000 000 | - | - | 7 000 000 | 30 000 000 | - | - |
|  | MAYFIELD WASTE WATER TREATMENT WORKS | RBIG | SANITATION | 100 000 000 | - | - | - | 30 000 000 |  |  |
|  |  | **SUB-TOTAL** |  |  | **67 000 000** | **78 000 000** | **66 000 000** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **II** | **MIG-CURRENT PROJECTS – FUNDED MANDATE** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ALICEDALE SEWERAGE UPGRADE | MIG | SANITATION | 24 709 956.78 | 11 484 644 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WAAINEK BULK WATER SUPPLY REFURBISHMENT | MIG | WATER | 33 317 214. | 11 292 566 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **SUB-TOTAL** |  | **58 027 170.78** | **22 777 210.00** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **III** | **PROPOSED PROJECTS- MIG FUNDED MANDATE** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PMU PROJECT ADMINISTRATION (5% OF ALLOCATION) | MIG |  | 1 700 000 | 1 198 800 | 1 219 950 | 1 278 500 | 1 218 250 | - | - |
|  | SOCIAL COMMUNITY PROJECT (15%OF ALLOCATION) | MIG |  | 3 596 400 | - | - | 3 835 500 | 3 472 012.50 | - | - |
|  | WAAINEK BULK WATER SUPPLY REFURBISHMENT (MULTI-YEAR PROJECT) | MIG | WATER | 33 317 214 | - | 7 747 783 |  |  |  |  |
|  | FENCING OF BELMONT VALLEY WASTEWATER TREATMENT WORKS | MIG | SANITATON | 1 300 000 | - | 1 300 000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | **PROPOSED PROJECTS** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UPGRADINGOF WATER INFRSTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NEW 6MI RESERVOIR AT WAAINEK WTW | MIG | WATER |  |  | 10 000 000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | HOWISONSPOORT & SETTLERS- SECURITY, LIGHTS & REFURBISHMENT OF PUMPS | COGTA/ DWS | WATER |  |  | 1 500 000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | BOTHAS HILL RESERVOIR SECURITY FENCE | MIG | WATER |  |  | 1 000 000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN | MISA | WATER |  |  |  | 1 000 000 |  |  |  |
|  | JAMESON DAM | COGTA/ DWS | WATER |  |  |  | 10 000 000 |  |  |  |
|  | MILNER DAM | COGTA/ DWS | WATER |  |  |  | 10 000 000 |  |  |  |
|  | BOTHAS HILL RESERVIOR TO HIGH LEVEL RESERVOIR | MIG | WATER |  |  |  | 10 000 000 | 25 000 000 |  |  |
|  | OLD TOWN FILTERS TO HIGH LEVEL RESERVOIR – NEW PIPELINE | COGTA | WATER |  |  |  | 4 000 000 |  |  |  |
|  | JAMESON/ MILNER DAMS TO OLD TOWN FILTERS WATER LINE | COGTA/ DWS | WATER |  |  |  | 8 000 000 |  |  |  |
|  |  | **SUB TOTAL** |  | **39 913 614** | **1 198 800** | **22 767 733** | **48 114 000** | **29 690 262.50** | **-** | **-** |
|  | **UPGRADING OF SANITATION INFRASTRUCTURE** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEWERAGE MANAGEMENT PLAN | MISA | SANITATION | 1 000 000 |  | 1 000 000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | REFURBISHMENT OF INTERNAL SEWER RETICULATION | MIG | SANITATION | 20 000 000 |  | 6 000 000 | 6 000 000 | 6 000 000 | 6 000 000 | 6 000 000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UGRADING OF ROADS INFRASTRUCTURE - TAXI ROUTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UPGRADE OF NCAME STREET | MIG |  | 12 000 000 | - | 12 000 000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | UPGRADE OF M - STREET | MIG |  | 20 000 000 | - | - | 20 000 000 |  |  |  |
|  | UPGRADE OF MAKANA WAY | MIG |  | 10 000 000 | - | - |  | 10 000 000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UPGRADING OF ELECTRICITY INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | REFURBISHMENT OF HIGH MAST LIGHT | MIG |  | 1 200 000.00 | - | - | 1 200 000 |  |  |  |
|  | REFURBISHMENT OF STREET LIGHTS | MIG |  | 950 000.00 | - |  | 950 000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **SUB-TOTAL** | **44 150 000** |  | **19 000 000** | **28 150 000** | **16 000 000** | **6 000 000** | **6 000 000** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTALS |  | 142 090 784. 78 | 90 976 010 | 41 845 733 | 76 330 000 | 45 690 262 | 6 000 000 | 6 000 000 |
|  |  | 15% VAT |  | 21 313 617. 72 | 13 646 401. 50 | 6 276 859. 95 | 11 449 500. | 6 853 539. 38 | 900 000. | 900 000 |
|  | **TOTAL FUNDING REQUIREMENTS (5-YEAR) PLAN** | **GRAND TOTAL** |  | **163 404 402. 50** | **104 622 411. 50** | **48 122 592. 95** | **87 779 500.** | **52 543 801. 88** | **6 900 000** | **6 900 000** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.11** | **FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND MANAGMENT** |

**2.11.1 INTRODUCTION:**

* Makana Municipality has been experiencing financial difficulties for the past 5 years and has been receiving Disclaimer Audit Opinion from Auditor- General.
* Financial mismanagement has resulted in the municipality finding itself in a dismal financial position with demands for payment of long outstanding debts.
* The municipality budget for 2016/17 financial year was found to be flawed in respect of the principles applied during the compilation due to unknown long outstanding debts, tariffs not being cost reflective and billing challenges. 2017/18 Budget also includes budget for long outstanding debts
* Revenue enhancement strategy was developed for the purpose of improving the financial situation encountered by the municipality

**2.11.2 REVENUE MANAGEMENT**

* Makana Municipality is highly reliant on the revenue from electricity provision for running of the institution including salaries which impacts negatively in honouring Eskom current account.
* Debtors’ Age Analysis is currently sitting at R398 million.
* Most of uncollectable debt owed to municipality is mainly household’s debts from Rini side, where electricity is supplied by Eskom, liquidated businesses and prescribed debts.
* Non- payment due to customer data accuracy and staff capacitation.
* Lack of communication within the municipality
* Overall collection rate for 2017/18 financial year is 71 % and 2018/19 is sitting at 91.5%
* Revenue collection rate is affected negatively due to the following issues:
* Data integrity that leads to overstatement of debtor’s book and billing.
* Indigent write- offs that should occur every financial year.
* Prescribed debts
* Data cleansing exercise has been prioritised to address the overstatement of Age Analysis and debtors accounts.
* Implementation of smart metering project will involve meter audit and all illegal connections will be identified.
* Municipality has entered into agreement with Cogta for the purpose of revenue enhancement and data cleansing exercise. The above initiative will assist revenue collection.

**2.11.3** **DEBTORS’ AGE ANALYSIS**

**Table 90**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CATEGORY** | **BALANCE** | **% OF TOTAL OUTSTANDING** |
| **Business** | R 39 411 331 | 9.2 % |
| **Residential** | R 371 318 979 | 87.5% |
| **Government** | R 12 185 588 | 2.8% |
| **Councillors** | R 14 539 | 0.0003% |
| **Staff Debtors** | R 1 049 797 | 0.2% |
| **Total** | **R423 980 237** | **100%** |

**2.11.4 MUNICIPAL DEBTORS**

**2.11.5 DEBT PER AREA BREAKDOWN**

**Table 91**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **AREA** | **PERCENTAGE** |
| Rhini | 58% |
| Grahamstown | 31% |
| Alicedale | 7% |
| Rural Area | 3% |
| Riebeeck | 1% |

**2.11.6 TOP 10 CREDITORS 2017/18**

**Table 92**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SUPPLIER** |  | **TOTAL AMOUNT OWED** | **MONTHLY INSTALMENT** | **AMOUNT PAID** | **BALANCE** |
| Eskom | Bulk Account payment | R 68 547 149 | Current account plus additional quarterly payments. | R Nil | R 37 458 195 |
| Eskom | Thomas Baines installation | R 6 217 460 | Current plus R230 276.30 | R Nil | R 4 360 849 |
| SALA pension Fund | Employee pension fund deductions were not paid over | R 2 649 430 | R 106 249 | None: followed up on requested interest waiver again after they had a board sitting and awaiting their response | R 1 104 308 (interest) |
| Auditor General (excluding current fees) | Prior years audit fees were never paid. | R 11 895 130 | R 350 000 | R 9 668 960:  Part- payment made by NT | R 3 010 616 |
| SALGA | Prior year and current levies | R 4 747 643 | Need to pay current debt before arrears can be negotiated | R Nil | R 4 347 643 |
| DBSA | 20 Year loan | R 56 358 399 | R 574 881 | R 574 881 | R 54 934 030 |
| AMATOLA WATER | Crisis Intervention | R44 969 930 | R3 600 000 upon receipt of Equitable Share | R Nil | R 38 683 466 |

**2.11.7 SALARY COST**

**Table 93**

| **Months** | **Total Salaries inclusive of overtime & 3rd Parties** | **Overtime Paid** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **July** | R14 135 625.90 | R735 811.76 |
| **August** | R14 164 422.96 | R801 348.05 |
| **September** | R14 075 742.01 | R450 421.62 |
| **October** | R14 092 750.70 | R501 137.06 |
| **November** | R20 326 392.72 | R424 113.66 |
| **December** | R15 047 376.72 | R599 472.43 |
| **January** | R13 968 233.83 | 522 706.81 |
| **Total** | **R105 810 544.46** | **R4 485 289.53** |

**2.11.8 MUNICIPAL CREDIT REPORT**

**2.11.9 MONTHLY COST**

* The municipality is faced with monthly costs that ranges from R34 million to R40 million from monthly inflows into bank: ±R18 million.
* Actual monthly billing receipts are sitting at ± R16 – R19 million.
* Revenue generated goes to employee costs that are sitting at R14, 2 million a month.
* Equitable Share allocated to the municipality is mostly committed to pay long outstanding debts as per the payment plans attached.

**2.11.9.1 MONTHLY RUNNING COST**

**Table 94**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CREDITORS** | **PERCENTAGE** |
| Eskom | 23% |
| Amatola water | 22% |
| DWS | 16% |
| Auditor General | 1% |
| SMME | 6% |
| Litigation | 1% |
| Salga | 2% |
| DBSA | 37% |

**2.11.9.2 MONTHLY RUNNING COST**

**Table 95**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RUNNING COST** | **AMOUNT** |
| Employment Cost | R 14 500 000 |
| Vehicle Maintenance | R 350 000 |
| Water Purchased | R 350 000 |
| Eskom Current | R 14 200 000 |
| Pending Payment Plans(Excluding Eskom) | R 3 200 000 |
| Loans Repayment | R 754 000 |
| Operational Cost | R 1 500 000 |

**2.11.10 GENERAL CHALLENGES COURSES SITUATION**

**High Staff turnover**

* The municipality total staff costs amounts to R14m per month
* Has +/-750 employees
* Has 30-40 permanent managers, mostly on task grade 16, entry level R385
* Company contributions costs are high as all employees (except directors and interns are permanent)
* Normal overtime paid to employees exceeds R500 000 per month

**Historical debts**

* Demanding payments resulting to Litigation and claims
* Most revenue generated pay old debts, limitations in implementing new projects
* As a result of old debts, no internal funding for capital projects

**Non Payment by ratepayers**

* Age Analysis which was not monitored and readings not taken previous years resulted in consumer accounts being inaccurate
* Ratepayers refuse to pay as their accounts are abnormal
* Ratepayers refusing to pay due as they complain about service delivery (roads not fixed, water outages)
* Tariff structure not cost effective

**2.11.11 FINANCIAL POLICIES**

The purpose of financial policies is to provide a sound environment to manage the financial affairs of the municipality. The following are the key financial related policies:

***Table 96***

| **POLICY** | **LAST UPDATED** | **REMARKS** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Tariff Policy | 30 May 2018 | Revised. |
| Rates Policy | 20 June 2016 | No action required at present. |
| Indigent Management Support Policy | June 2017 | No action required at present |
| Budget Policy | 30 May 2018 | No action required at present. |
| Asset Management Policy | 30 May 2018 | Revised. |
| Accounting Policies | Part of Annual Financial Statements for year ended 30 June 2017 | Accounting policies need to be updated, approved and implemented. |
| Assistance to poor and indigent policy | 30 June 2017 | No action required at present. |
| Supply Chain Management Policy | 30 May 2018 | Revised. |
| Credit Control and Debt Collection Policy | 20 June 2016 | No action required at present. |
| Cash Management and Investment Policy | 20 June 2016 | Given the cash flow challenges faced by the municipality the policy should refined, approved and vigorously be implemented. |
| Short-term Insurance Policy | 20 June 2016. | Policy needs to be drafted, approved and implemented. |
| General Ledger Chart of Accounts Maintenance Policy | No policy supplied. | Policy needs to be drafted, approved and implemented. |
| Debt Management Policy | 20 June 2016 | No action required at present. |
| Debt Incentive Scheme | 30 June 2017 | No action required at present. |
| Cash Management Policy | 20 June 2016 | No action required at present. |
| Budget Process Policy | 30 May 2018 | New |
| Asset Management Policy | 30 May 2018 | New |
| SCM Infrastructure Policy | 30 May 2018 | New |
| Virement Policy | 30 May 2018 | Revised |
| Grant Policy | 30 May 2018 | New |
| Lease Policy | 30 June 2017 | No action required at present |
| Policy on provision For doubtful debt | 30 June 201 | No action required at present |
| Principles and policy on the provision of impairments to debtors, and writing off of irrecoverable | 30 June 2017 | No action required at present |
| Property Rates | 26 May 2016 | No action required at present |
| Customer care credit control | 26 May 2016 | No action required at present |

**CHAPTER THREE: DEVELOPMENTAL STRATEGIC PLAN**

This Chapter outlines Makana strategic Intent and Key Performance Areas (KPA’s) for the next financial. It aims to respond to some key issues and challenges highlighted in Chapter Two and National policy imperatives outlines in the Chapter One.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3.1** | **MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES FOR 2017-22** |

##### Table 97

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **NUMBER OF PRIORITY** | **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY** |
| Development Priority No. One: | Basic Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development |
| Development Priority No. Two | Community and Social Development |
| Development Priority No. Three: | Local Economic Development and Rural Development |
| Development Priority No. Four: | Institutional Development and Financial Management |
| Development Priority No. Five | Good Governance and Public Participation |
| Development Priority No. Six | Human Settlement Management |

**3.1.2 DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY ONE: BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND**

**INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT**

##### Table 98

| **NO** | **GOAL** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE** | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | Provision of reliable of basic service delivery infrastructure | 1. | Efficient planning and Service delivery improvement plans | |
| 2. | Maintenance of the existing water and sanitation infrastructure | |
| 3 | Improve the drinking water quality & disposal of effluent within SANS standards | |
| 4 | Improve the drinking water quality & disposal of effluent within SANS standards | |
| 5 | Upgrading and refurbishment of water and sanitation infrastructure | |
| 6 | Provision of new water and sanitation infrastructure | |
| 7 | Upgrading, maintenance and resurfacing of roads network | |
| 8 | Upgrading, maintenance and resurfacing of roads network | |
| 2 | Provision of reliable of basic service delivery infrastructure | 9 | Efficient planning of the energy supply |
| 10 | Ensure efficient infrastructure and reliable energy supply |
| 11 | Adequate street lighting and electrification of all formal settlements |
| 12 | Adequate street lighting and electrification of all formal settlements |
| 3 | A safe, healthy and secure living environment | 13 | A safe, healthy and sustainable environment |
| 4 | To provide economical and appropriate services for the poor | 14 | Increase % of households with access to free basic service |

**3.1.3 DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY TWO: COMMUNITY AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT:**

***Table 99***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **GOAL** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE** |
| **5** | A safe, healthy  and secure living  environment | **15** | Ensure compliance with environmental health by-laws |
|  | **16** | Reduce ecological foot print through renewable energy sources |
|  | **17** | Provision, maintenance and repair of recreational facilities |
|  | **18** | Provision and upgrading traffic law enforcement |
|  | **19** | Provision and upgrading traffic law enforcement |
| **6.** | Access to basic Library  Services | **20** | Provision and upgrading traffic law enforcement |

**3.1.4 DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY THREE: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND**

**RURAL DEVELOPMENT**

***Table100***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **GOAL** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE** |
| **7.** | Maximise economic growth and development  takes place in a conducive environment | **21** | To ensure adherence to town planning and building control legislation |
| **22** | Promote and support SMME development |
| **23** | Promote Tourism and heritage development |
| **24** | Promote and support investment attraction and business development |
| **25** | Promote and support  Agricultural development |
| **26** | Promote job creation initiatives |

**3.1.5 DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY FOUR: INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND**

**FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT**

**Table 101**

| **NO** | **GOAL** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **8** | An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service delivery | **27** | An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service delivery |
| **28** | An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service delivery |
| **29** | Effective organisational design and policy development |
| **30** | To ensure that all Municipal buildings and  staff adhere to and implement  OHS |
| **31** | To ensure effective management of staff through internal controls such as policies |
| **32** | To ensure a continually secure, effective and efficient ICT service |
| **9** | To ensure a continually secure, effective and efficient ICT service | **33** | To ensure a continually secure, effective and efficient ICT service |
| **34** | To ensure a continually secure, effective and efficient ICT service |
| **35** | Effective and efficient administration and ensure effective integrated planning Effective and efficient administration |
| **36** | Ensure maximum use of record centre |
| **37** | Effective management of legal services and properties |
| **10** | Improve municipal revenue base and financial management | **38** | A financially viable and sustainable Municipality 2022 |
| **39** | Capacity building and effective financial management |
| **40** | To provide a credible budget |
| **41** | To ensure monitoring of Municipal Assets through annual checks and reporting |
| **42** | Maintaining fully GRAP compliant asset register annually |

**3.1.6 DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY FIVE: GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC**

**PARTICIPATION**

***Table 102***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **GOAL** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE** |
| **11** | To provide open transparent corruption free governance | 43 | To implement the fraud prevention policy |
| 44 | Improve planning of risk management |
| 45 | Enhance risk management |
| 46 | Enhance administration and Council oversight |
| 47 | Enhance administration and Council oversight |
| 48 | Improve Audit options outcome |
| 49 | Enhance Inter governmental Relationships |
| 50 | Empower vulnerable groups |
| 51 | Support vulnerable groups |
| 52 | To communicate effectively and be responsive to the needs of the community |

**3.1.7 DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY FIVE: HUMAN SETTLEMENT MANAGEMENT**

***Table103***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **GOAL** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE** |
|  | To ensure equitable access to housing for communities | 53 | To ensure equitable access to housing for communities |

#### 3.2 IDP PROJECT REGISTER

**Table 104**

| **GOALS** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES** | **NO** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICTOR** | **PROJECT NO** | **PROJECT NAME** | **INDICTOR CODE** | **FUNCTION AREA** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIY NO ONE: BASIC SERVICE DEVELIVERY AND INFRASCTURE DEVELOPMENT** | | | | | | | | |
| Provision of reliable of basic service delivery infrastructure | 1 | Efficient planning  and Service delivery improvement plans | 1.1 | Approved water  Service Development  Plan by Council | P001 | Review of  Water Services  Development  Plan | * + - 1. PP001 | Water and  Sanitation |
| 1.2 | Revised Water and Sanitation Master plan by Council | P002 | Review of  Water and  Sanitation  Master plan | 1.1.1.2 P002 | Water and  Sanitation |
| 1.3 | % of the milestone achieve in with project management plan | P003 | Project  Management  Unit | 1.1.1.3 P003 | PMU |
| 1.4 | Prioritise Water Conservation and Demand Management | P004 | Water Conservation | 1.1.1.4 P004 | Water and  Sanitation |
| 1.5 | Plan Installation of services to housing development land | P005 | Installation services | 1.1.1.5 P005 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Provision of reliable of basic service delivery infrastructure | | 1 | Efficient planning  and Service delivery improvement plans | 1.6 | Number of water harvesting initiatives provided | P017 | Water  Harvesting | 1.5.5.7 P017 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 1.7 | Resuscitate boreholes in rural areas | P018 | Resuscitate boreholes | 1.5.5.8 P018 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 2 | Maintenance of the existing water and sanitation infrastructure | 2.1 | Disposal of waste water as per authorised licences | P004 | Sewer  Disposal | 1.2.2.1 P004 | Water and Sanitation services |
| 2.2 | Maintenance of water exiting reticulation system infrastructure | P005 | Maintenance of the Water  reticulation system  infrastructure | 1.2.22 P005 | Water services |
| 2.3 | Maintenance of sewer exiting reticulation system infrastructure | P006 | Maintenance of the sewer  reticulation system  infrastructure | 1.2.2.3 P006 | Sanitation services |
| 2.4 | Schedule maintenance of bulk water and waste water infrastructure | P007 | Water conservation and demand management | 1.2.2.4 P007 | Water and  Sanitation |
| 2.5 | Provision alternative basic sanitation infrastructure | **P258** | Sewer Pail  Removal | 1.2.2.5 P258 | Sanitation services |
| Provision of reliable of basic service delivery infrastructure | | 3. | Improve the drinking water quality & disposal of effluent within SANS standards | 3.1 | Compliance to SANS quality water standard | P008 | Blue status | 1.3.3.1 P008 | Water service |
| 3.2 | Compliance to SANS quality waste water standard | P009 | Green status | 1.3.3.2.P009 | Waste Water service |
| 4. | Provide Quality  Drinking water | 4.1 | 90% Compliance to SANS quality drinking water standard | P010 | Water sample  testing | 1.4.4.1 P010 | Water service |
| 5 | Upgrading and refurbishment of water and sanitation infrastructure | 5.1 | Upgrading James Kleynhans Water  Treatment Works  (East) | P011 | James  Kleynhans  WTW (East) | 1.5.5.1 P011 | Bulk water infrastructure |
| 5.2 | Upgrading of the  Alicedale and refurbishment of water and sanitation infrastructure | P012 | Alicedale Bulk water supply ( Water Pump  station) | 1.5.5.2 P012 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| Provision of reliable of basic service delivery infrastructure | |  | Upgrading and refurbishment of water and sanitation infrastructure | 5.3. | Upgrading of Riebeeck  East Bulk water supply | P013 | Riebeeck East Bulk water supply | 1.5.5.3 P013 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 5.4 | Refurbishment Fort Brown bulk water supply | P014 | Fort Brown bulk water supply | 1.5.5.4 P014 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 5.5 | Refurbishment  Waainek Bulk Water  Supply | P015 | Waainek Bulk  Water Supply Refurbishment of WT W | 1.5.5.5.P015 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 5.6 | Refurbishment  Howison’s Poort and  Settlers Dams | P016 | Howison’s  Poort and  Settlers Dams | 1.5.5.6 P016 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 5.9 | Number of KM/Meters  of reticulation lines refurbished | P019 | Water &  Waste Water  Infrastructure | 1.5.5.9 P019 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| Provision of reliable of basic service delivery infrastructure | |  | Upgrading and refurbishment of water and sanitation infrastructure | 5.10 | Number of Pump station and substation refurbished | P020 | Refurbishment s of Bulk &  Sewer  Reticulation lines, Pump stations, and Substations | 1.5.5.10P020 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
|  | 5.11 | Upgrading of Mayfield  Waste Water  Treatment Works | P021 | Mayfield  Waste Water  Treatment  Works | 1.5.5.11P021 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 5.12 | Upgrading Belmont  Valley Waste Water  Treatment Work | P022 | Belmont  Valley Waste  Water  Treatment works | 1.5.5.12P022 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 5.13 | Upgrading Kwa Thatha  Bulk Sewer | P023 | Kwa Thatha  Bulk Sewer | 1.5.5.13P023 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 5.14 | Upgrading of Extension 4 and 5 sewer overflow | P024 | Extension 4 and 5 sewer overflow | 1.5.5.14P024 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 5.15 | Upgrading Mayfied  WWTW | P025 | Mayfied  WWTW | 1.5.5.15P025 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 5.16 | Upgrading  Hooggenoeg Outfall | P026 | Hooggenoeg  Outfall Sewer  Upgrade | 1.5.5.16P026 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| Provision of reliable of basic service delivery infrastructure |  |  | 5.17 | Upgrading Transit  Camp sewer | P027 | Transit Camp sewer connection | 1.5.5.17P027 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
|  | 5.18 | Upgrading of Alicedale sewer reticulation and rising main | P028 | Alicedale  Sewage  Reticulation | 1.5.5.18P028 | Bulk water infrastructure development |
| 6 | Provision of new water and sanitation infrastructure | 6.1 | Construction of  Riebeeck East Waste  Water Treatment  Works | P029 | Riebeeck East  Waste Water  Treatment  Works | 1.6.6.1 P029 | Water and  Sanitation |
| 6.2 | Installation of Sewer reticulation Riebeeck East | P030 | Sewer  reticulation  Riebeeck East | 1.6.6.2 P030 | Water and  Sanitation |
|  |  |  | 6.3 | Installation of Fort  Brown bulk sewer and reticulation | P031 | Fort Brown bulk sewer and  reticulation | 1.6.6.3 P031 | Water and  Sanitation |
| 6.4 | Installation of Seven Fountains bulk sewer and reticulation | P032 | Seven Fountains bulk sewer  and  reticulation | 1.6.6.4 P032 | Water and  Sanitation |
|  |  |  | 6.7 | Installation of Salem water and sanitation infrastructure | P033 | Salem water and sanitation infrastructure | 1.6.6.7 P033 | Water and  Sanitation |
|  | 6.8 | Installation Extension  6 stage 4 Sewer  Reticulation | P034 | Extension 6 stage 4 Sewer Reticulation | 1.6.6.8 P034 | Water and  Sanitation |
| 6.9 | Construction of  Extension 6 stage 4  Toilet Top-structures | P035 | Extension 6 stage 4 Toilet Top-structures | 1.6.6.9 P035 | Water and  Sanitation |
| 6.10 | Eradication of Bucket to Infill Areas, J street,  Zolani, Suncity,  Phaphamani | P036 | Bucket  Eradication to Infill Areas, J street, Zolani, Suncity,  Phaphamani | 1.6.6.1.P036 | Water and  Sanitation |
|  |  | Upgrading, maintenance and resurfacing of roads network | 7.1 | Review Road and  Storm water Master  Plan | P037 | Road ND  Storm water  Master Plan | 1.7.7.1 P037 | Roads and storm water |
| 7.2 | Number of KM/Meters of road surface in  KwaNomzamo | P038 | Access Road  Improvement  KwaNomzamo | 1.7.7.2 P038 | Roads and storm water |
| 7.3 | Number KM/Meter maintained | P039 | Maintenance of roads | 1.7.7.3 P039 | Roads and  Tumwater |
| 7.4 | Number of KM upgraded and resurface Alicedale N2 | P040 | Road from  Alicedale to  N2 | 1.7.7.4 P040 | Roads and  Tumwater |
|  |  | Upgrading, maintenance and resurfacing of roads network | 7.5 | Number of KM upgraded and resurface Riebeeck  East to N1 | P041 | Road from Riebeeck East to N1 | 1.7.7.5 P041 | Roads and  Tumwater |
|  |  |  | 7.6 | Number KM/Meters of kerbs Upgrading and resurfacing |  | Number of roads and storm water upgraded | 1.7.7.6 P042 | Roads and  Stormwater |
|  |  | 7.7 | Number of meters, resurface, Rehabilitation roads | P043 | Rehabilitation/ resurface of Ncame and Joza street | 1.7.7.7 P043 | Roads and  Tumwater |
|  |  |  | 7.8 | Facilitate Stakeholder engagement regarding road maintenance | P044 | Sign MoU concerning the province owned roads between MLM &DRPW | 1.7.7.8 P044 | Roads and  Stormwater |
| 7.9 | Number of KM/Meters Upgrading of Stormwater  resurfacing of roads In  Transriviere | P045 | Alicedale  Roads and  Storm water Improvements in Transriviere and Mandela Park | 1.7.7.9 P045 | Roads and storm water |
|  | 8 |  | 7.10 | Number of KM/Meters  paved in all identifies areas | P046 | Paving of roads | 1.7.7.10P046 | Roads and  Storm water |
| Maintenance of Storm water channels | 8.1 | Number of meters maintained Storm water channel | P045 | Maintenance of storm water channels | 1.8.8.1P045 | Storm water |
| A safe, efficient, functioning energy supply infrastructure that provide conducive environment for investment | 9 | Efficient planning of the energy supply | 9.1 | Development of  Electricity Master Plan | P046 | Electricity master plan | 1.9.9.1P046 | Electricity  Planning |
| 10 | Ensure efficient infrastructure and reliable energy supply | 11 | Review provision of  electricity to  Grahamstown east | P047 | Review provision of electricity in  Grahamstown  East | 1.10.10.1 P047 | Electricity  Planning |
| 10.2 | Report on the number maintenance undertook quarterly | P048 | Maintenance  of the existing electricity  reticulation system infrastructure | 1.10.10.2 P048 | Operation and maintenance |
| 10.3 | Report on the number  meters audit conducted quarterly | P049 | Meter audits | 1.10.10.3 P049 | Operation and maintenance |
| 11 | Adequate street lighting and electrification of all formal settlements | 11.1 | Provision and high mast and street lights | P050 | Installation of High mast and street lights | 1.11.11.1 P050 | Street light infrastructure |
|  | 11.2 | Number of electrical supply and infrastructure provided | P051 | Electrification  of recreational facilities | 1.11.11.2 P051 | Electricity infrastructure |
| 11.3 | Electrification urban and rural areas | P052 | Electrification household | 1.11.11.3 P052 | Electricity infrastructure |
| 11.4 | Maintenance and repairs of high mast and Street lights | P264 | Maintenance of streetlights | 1.11.11.4 P264 | Street light infrastructure |
|  | 11.5 | Upgrading of 66 KV Line | P265 | Refurbishment of 66 KV Line | 1.11.11.5 P265 | Electricity infrastructure |
| 12 | Upgrading and refurbishing of the  existing electricity  network | 12.1 | Upgrading of Overhead District lines | P053 | Upgrading of Overhead District lines | 1.12.12.1 P053 | Electricity infrastructure |
|  |  |  | 12.2 | Upgrading and refurbishment  LV Pane | P054 | LV Pane-Installation of new panel in substation | 1.12.12.1 P054 | Electricity infrastructure |
| 12.3 | Upgrading and refurbishment  MV Panel | P056 | MV Pane-Installation of new panel in substation | 1.12.12.3 P055 | Electricity infrastructure |
| 12.4 | Report on the number of refurbishment under gone in the existing electrical network infrastructure | P057 | Refurbishment of electrical network | 1.12.12.4 P056 | Electricity infrastructure |
| 12.5 | Provide machined and equipment to electricity operations | P060 | Purchasing of tools | 1.12.12.5 P060 | Electricity infrastructure |
| 12.6 | Upgrading and maintenance of fleet Resources | P061 | Purchasing of  Vehicle | 1.12.12.6 P061 | Electricity infrastructure |
| A safe, healthy and secure living environment | 13 | A safe, healthy and sustainable environment within Makana Municipality | 13.1 | Review Integrated  Waste Management | P068 | Review  Integrated  Waste  Management | 1.13.13.1 P067 | Waste  Management -  And Clean City |
| 13.2 | Source funding for town cleaning program from EPWP | P069 | Clean-city  programme | 1.13.13.2 P069 | Waste  Management -  And Clean City |
|  | 13.3 | Expand Recycling and composting programme | P070 | Recycling and  composting project | 1.13.13.3 P070 | Waste  Management -  And Clean City |
| 13.4 | Provided alternative refuse collection to rural areas | P071 | Refusal of collection service in rural areas | 1.13.13.4 P071 | Environment and Cleansing |
| 13.5 | Provision  Tipper Trucks | P072 | Acquisition of Tipper Truck | 1.13.13.5 P072 | Waste  Management Sanitation |
|  |  |  | 13.6 | Provision of Front loader | P073 | Acquisition of Front loader | 1.13.13.6 P073 | Waste  Management  Sanitation |
| 13.7 | Acquisition containers | P074 | Acquisition containers | 1.13.13.7 P074 | Waste  Management  Sanitation |
| A safe, healthy  and secure living  environment |  |  | 13.8 | Upgrading of landfill waste site in Makana | P075 | Upgrading of landfill waste site | 1.13.13.8 P075 | Waste  Management  And Clean City |
| 13.9 | Number of  Community education and awareness programmes conducted | P076 | Eradication of illegal dumping sites | 1.13.13.9 P076 | Waste  Management  And Clean City |
| 13.10 | Number of areas, domestic refuse collected per week | P078 | Domestic refuse collection | 1.13.13.10 P077 | Waste  Management  And Clean City |
| 13.11 | Number of ward cleaner-up programme quarterly | P079 | Ward clean-up Campaign programme | 1.13.13.11 P079 | Waste  Management  And Clean City |
| To provide economical and appropriate services for the poor | 14 | Increase % of households with access to free basic service | 14.1 | Report number of indigent households with access free basic service quarterly | P080 | Free basic services | 1.14.14.1 P080 | FBS |
| 14.2 | Update indigent register annually | P081 | Annual review of Indigent register | 1.14.14.2 P081 | FBS |

| GOALS | NO | STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES | NO | KEY PERFORMANCE INDICTOR | PROJECT NO | PROJECT NAME | INDICATOR CODE | FUNCTION AREA |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY NO TWO: COMMUNITY AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT** | | | | | | | | |
| A safe, healthy  and secure living  environment | 15 | Ensure compliance with environmental health by-laws | 15.1 | Licensing and control of stray animal included vicious dogs | P082 | Development of by on the control stray animal | 2.15.15.1 P082 | Environmental  Management |
| 15.2 | Review and development of bylaws reviewed | P083 | Review of Environment Management and control of stray animal | 2.15.15.2 P084 | Environmental  Management |
| 15.3 | Development of environmental compliance register | P084 | Environmental Management compliance register | 2.15.15.3 P085 | Environmental  Management |
| 16 | Reduce ecological foot print through renewable energy sources | 16.1 | Establishment of Biomass generation  plant | P085 | Biomass energy generation(Alien vegetation to energy | 2.16.16.1 P086 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.2 | Establishment of Landfill gas generation plant | P086 | Landfill gas generation | 2.16.16.2 P087 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.3 | Establishment of waste to energy project | P087 | Renewable energy waste | 2.16.16.3 P087 | Sustainable  Energy |
| A safe, healthy  and secure living  environment |  | Reduce ecological foot print through renewable energy sources | 16.4 | Establishment of Solar photovoltaic energy generation | P088 | Solar photovoltaic energy generation | 2.16.16.4. P088 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.5 | Establishment Small- scale embedded generation | P089 | Small- scale embedded  generation | 2.16.16.5 P089 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.6 | Development of  Hydroelectricity | P090 | Hydroelectricity | 2.16.16.6. P090 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.7 | Establishment  Municipal own energy  efficiency | P091 | Efficiency in municipal buildings | 2.16.16.7 P091 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.8 | Establishment  Municipal own energy efficiency street and  traffic light | P092 | Street and traffic lights | 2.16.16.8 P092 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.9 | Establishment Energy efficiency in the water sector | P093 | Energy efficiency in the water sector | 2.16.16.9 P093 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.11 | Installation smart meters | P095 | Installation smart meters | 2.16.16.11 P09  5 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.12 | Installation of Solar panels and geysers | P096 | Solar panels and geysers | 2.16.16.12 P096 | Sustainable  Energy |
| A safe, healthy  and secure living  environment |  | Reduce ecological foot print through renewable energy sources | 16.13 | Number of Climate change initiatives awareness program implemented | P097 | General climate change planning and awareness | 2.16.16.13 P097 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.14 | Adaptation | P098 | Adaptation | 2.16.16.14 P098 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 16.15 | Introduction of Green and public transport | P099 | Green and public transport | 2.16.16.15 P099 | Sustainable  Energy |
| 17. | Provision, maintenance and repair of recreational facilities | 17.1 | Development of Sport Master Plan | P100 | Sport Master  Plan | 2.17.17.1 P100 | Park and sport Recreation  facilities |
|  |  |  | 17.2 | Maintenance and repair sport and recreational facilities | P101 | Sport Master  Plan | 2.17.17.2 P101 | Park and sport Recreation  facilities |
| 17.3 | Number of Children park and sport recreational facilities established | P102 | Children’s Playpark and sport recreational facilities | 2.17.17.3 P102 | Park and sport Recreation  facilities |
| 17.4 | Number of trees planted area | P103 | Tree Planting | 2.17.17.4 P103 | Park and Recreation  facilities |
| A safe, healthy  and secure living  environment |  |  | 17.5 | Report on number of recreational facilities upgraded/maintained per quarter | P104 | Upgrading and maintenance  of the existing  recreational facilities | 2.17.17.5 P104 | Park and Recreation  facilities |
| 17.6 | Establishment of Commonages | P106 | Establishment of Commonages | 2.17.17.6 P106 | Park and Recreation  facilities |
| 17.7 | Establishment cleaned alien vegetation | P107 | Establishment cleaned alien vegetation | 2.17.17.7 P107 | Park and Recreation  facilities |
| 17.8 | Establishedand extend Cemeteries | P108 | Cemetery Extension | 2.17.17.8 P108 | Cemeteries and pauper burials |
| 17.9 | Maintenance of cemeteries quarterly | P109 | Maintenance of cemeteries quarterly | 2.17.17.9 P109 | Cemeteries and pauper burials |
|  |  |  | 17.10 | Fencing of cemeteries fence annually | P110 | Fencing of cemeteries | 2.17.17.10 P10  0 | Cemeteries and pauper burials |
| 18. | Provision and upgrading traffic law enforcement | 18.1 | Upgrading of fleet for traffic and law enforcement | P111 | Upgrading of fleet | 2.18.18.1 P111 | Traffic law enforcement |
| A safe, healthy  and secure living  environment |  | Provision and upgrading traffic law enforcement | 18.2 | Number of Community road safety awareness programmes conducted | P112 | Community road safety programme | 2.18.18.2 P112 | Traffic law enforcement |
| 19. | To promote the well-being, health , safety and security of our community | 19.1 | Review of Disaster Management Plan and Fire Management Plan | P113 | Review of Disaster Management and Fire Management Plan | 2.19.19.1 P113 | Fire and Rescue Services |
| 19.2 | Provision of fire and rescue infrastructure to Alicedale and Riebeeck East | P114 | Establishment of fire station | 2.19.19.2 P114 | Fire and Rescue Services |
| 19.4 | Development of Fire By-law | P115 | Development of Fire By-law | 2.19.19.4 P115 | Fire and Rescue Services |
| 19.5 | Development of Community Safety Plan | P116 | Community Safety Plan | 2.19.19.5 P116 | Fire and Rescue Services |
| Access to basic Library  Services | 20. | To provide reading and study material | 20.1 | Number of community education outreach programmes conducted quarter | P117 | Community Outreach programme | 2.20.20.1 P117 | Library services |
| 20.2 | Provision of library facilities to needy areas and resources | P118 | Provision of libraries and resources | 2.20.20.2 P118 | Library services |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY NO THREE:LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOMENT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT** | | | | | | | | |
| Maximise economic growth and development  takes place in a conducive environment | 21 | To ensure adherence to town planning and building control legislation | 21.1 | Review and adopt SDF by June 2019 | P119 | Review Spatial  Development  Framework  (SDF) | 3.21.21.1 P119 | Spatial planning |
| 21.2 | Development and implement policy on land invasion and demolition of illegal structures | P120 | Development of Land invasion policy | 3.21.21.2 P120 | Spatial planning |
| 21.3 | Development of the  SPLUMA By-Law | P121 | Township  Establishment | 3.21.21.3 P121 | Spatial planning |
| 21.4 | Establishment of the  Tribunal and Appeals  Board | P122 | Establishment of SPLUMA compliant Planning  Tribunal | 3.21.21.4 P122 | Spatial planning |
| 21.5 | Upgrading Air filed | P123 | Air filed  development | 3.21.21.5 P123 | Spatial planning |
|  |  |  | 21.6 | Conduct land audit | P124 | Land Audit | 3.21.21.6 124 | Spatial planning |
| 21.7 | Establishment of  Waste to Energy | P125 | Waste to  Energy(Power X project) | 3.21.21.7 P125 | Spatial planning |
| Maximise economic growth and development  takes place in a conducive environment |  | To ensure adherence to town planning and building control legislation | 21.8 | Number of infill areas approved for town establishment | P126 | Town establishment | 3.21.21.8 P126 | Spatial planning |
| 21.9 | Review of the Sub division, Rezoning and Building control policy | P127 | Rezoning and Building and building control policy | 3.21.21.9 P127 | Building control |
| 22 | Promote and support SMME development | 22.1 | Establishment SMME hub Business plan | P135 | Establishment  SMME hub  Business plan | 3.21.22.1 P135 | SMME  Development |
| 22.2 | Number of hawker stalls constructed | P136 | Construction hawker stalls | 2.22.22.2 P136 | SMME  Development |
| 22.3 | Hosting SMME  Seminars | P137 | SMME  Seminars and  Expo | 2.22.21.3 P137 | SMME  Development |
| 22.4 | Development of Trade and Investment attract  Strategy | P138 | Trade and Investment attract Strategy | 3.22.22.4 P138 | Trade and investment |
| Maximise economic growth  and development takes place in a conducive environment | 23 | Promote Tourism and heritage development | 23.1 | Implementation of  Town Regeneration  Phase 1 | P139 | Town  Regeneration  Phase 1 | 3.23.231 P139 | Tourism and heritage |
| 23.2 | Report to Portfolio Committee on  initiatives identified for implementation under Creative City project | P140 | Creative City project | 3.23.23.2 P140 | Tourism and heritage |
| 23.2 | Number of SMMEs in tourism sector supported and  Capacitated Quarterly | P141 | Tourism  Business  Development  Programmes | 3.23.23.2 P141 | Tourism and heritage |
| 23.3 | Report on funding allocated to Makana Tourism by the  Municipality quarterly | P142 | Makana Tourism | 3.23.23.3 P142 | Tourism and heritage |
| 23.4 | Development of Gray Dam | P143 | Gray Dam  Development | 3.23.23.4 P143 | Tourism and heritage |
| 23.5 | Development of Makana area profile by June 2018 | P144 | Township  Tourism  Profiling and  Promotion | 3.23.23.5 P144 | Tourism and heritage |
| Maximise economic growth  and development takes place in a conducive environment |  | Promote Tourism and heritage development | 23.6 | Review of Tourism  Sector Plan by June  2018 | P145 | Tourism  Sector Plan  Review | 3.23.23.6 P145 | Tourism and heritage |
| 23.7 | Provided security for Egazini Memorial site | P146 | Security - Egazini  Memorial Site | 3.23.23.7 P146 | Tourism and heritage |
| Maximise economic growth and development takes place in a conducive environment | **24** | Promote and support investment attraction and business development | 24.1 | Development Trade and Investment attract  Strategy | P147 | Small Town regeneration | 3.24.24.1 P147 | Trade and investment |
| 24.2 | Support enterprise development initiative | P148 | Support enterprise development | 3.24.24.2 P148 | Enterprise  Development |
| 25 | Promote and support  Agricultural development | 25.1 | Number of hectors provided to households | P149 | One hector one household | 3.25.25.1 P149 | Agricultural  Development |
| 25.2 | Championing food  security y initiative through Designate personnel | P150 | Food Security | 3.25.25.2 P150 | Agricultural  Development |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Promote and support Agricultural development | 25.3 | Number of MOU  signed with strategic partners | P151 | MOU with  strategic partners | 3.25.25.3 P151 | Agricultural  Development |
| 25.4 | Number of capacity building conducted annually | P152 | Co-operative development and capacity building | 3.25.25.4 P152 | Agricultural  Development |
| 25.5 | Report on the number of co-operatives benefited agricultural emerging competition | P153 | Promote and support cooperative through funding | 3.25.25.5 P153 | Agricultural  Development |
| 25.6 | Promote green economy through Community sustainable Urban  Agriculture initiatives | P154 | Sustainable Urban  Agriculture (SUA) both in rural and urban | 3.25.25.6P154 | Agricultural  Development |
| 25.7 | Report on the number of women and youth  initiative supported | P155 | Promotion of women and  youth in agriculture | 3.25.25.7P155 | Agricultural  Development |
|  |  | 25.8 | Infrastructure development of emerging famers in Thornpark | P156 | Thornpark Infrastructure development | 3.25.25.8P156 | Agricultural/Rural Development |
| 25.9 | Facilitate access to finance from emerging farmers | P157 | Report Rand value received | 3.25.25.9P157 | Agricultural  Development |
| 25.10 | Report the progress made in mentorship programme Quarterly | P158 | Mentorship programme | 3.25.25.10 P158 | Agricultural  Development |
| 25.11 | Number of Rural ward forum established | P159 | Establishment of Rural development ward forum | 3.25.25.11 P159 | Agricultural  Development |
| 25.12 | Establishment of east commonage | P133 | East  Commonage | 3.25. 25.12 P133 | Spatial planning |
|  | 26 | Promote job creation initiatives | 26.1 | Number of Job opportunities created through CWP | P134 | Facilitate CWP | 3.26.26.1 P134 | Local  Economic development |
| 26.2 | Number of Job opportunities created through EPWP | P135 | Facilitate  EPWP | 3.26.26.2 P1135 | Municipal  Manager |
| 26.3 | Number of Job opportunities created through infrastructure development interventions | P136 | Number job opportunities created | 3.26.26.3 P136 | Engineering and Infrastructure development |

| **GOALS** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES** | **NO** | **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICTOR** | **PROJECT NO** | **PROJECT NAME** | **INDICTOR CODE** | **FUNCTION AREA** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY NO FOUR: INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT** | | | | | | | | |
| An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service delivery | 27 | Ensure good relationship between management and employees | 27.1 | Number of LLF meeting hosted annually and  Roadshows and staff  briefing | P162 | Quarterly LLF  Meetings,  Conduct Hr Roadshows &  Staff brief quarterly. | 4.27.27.1P162 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 28 | To create an efficient, effective and accountable administration | 28.1 | Number of succession policy initiative implemented | P163 | Implement the succession policy, Source funds for study assistance & review salaries | 4.28.28.1P163 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 28.2 | Number of staff moral  programmes implemented annually | P164 | Implement  staff morale programmes | 4.28.28.2P164 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 28.3 | Evaluate all job description | P165 | Job Evaluation | 4.28.28.3P165 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 28.4 | Number performance agreements signed | P166 | Implement performance management system. | 4.28.28.4P166 | Human  Resource  Management |
| An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service delivery |  | To create an efficient, effective and accountable administration | 28.5 | Number wellness programme conducted | P167 | Develop  Conduct Wellness awareness programmes | 4.28.28.5P167 | Human  Resource  Management |
|  |  |  | 28.6 | Development Work  Skills Plan | P168 | Work Skills  Plan | 4.28.28.6P168 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 28.7 | Human Resource Capacity development | P169 | Conduct skills audit | 4.28.28.7P169 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 28.8 | Number of employees assisted | P170 | Employee assistance | 4.28.28.8P170 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 28.9 | Number of Bursaries issued annually | P171 | Municipal  Bursaries | 4.28.28.9P171 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 28.10 | Quarterly reports on EE plan implementation. Submission of annual report | P172 | Implementation n Employment  Equity Plan | 4.28.28.10 P172 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 28.11 | Fill vacant post with three month | P173 | Improve recruitment and selection rate | 4.28.28.11 P173 | Human  Resource  Management |
| An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service delivery | 29 | Effective organisational design and policy development | 29.1 | Annual Review of Organogram | P174 | Review of organogram | 4.29.28.12 P174 | Organisational  Design |
| 29.2 | Number of job descriptions revised | P175 | Review job descriptions, | 4.29.29.2 P175 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 29.3 | Number of roadshows conducted | P176 | HR Policy Road  Shows | 4.29.29.3 P176 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 30 | To ensure that all Municipal buildings and  staff adhere to and implement  OHS | 30.1 | Number of OHS meetings quarterly | P177 | 4 OHS meetings. | 4.30.30.1 P177 | Human  Resource  Management |
| 31 | To ensure  effective  management of staff through internal controls such as policies | 31.1 | Number of report issued on adherence with HR policies | P178 | Quarterly reports on adherence to HR policies such as leave, recruitment etc. | 4.31.31.1 P178 | Human  Resource  Management |
| An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service delivery | 32 | To ensure a continually secure, effective and efficient ICT service | 32.1 | Review of ICT Strategic  Plan annually | P179 | Review of ICT  Strategic Plan | 4.32.32.1 P179 | Information Communication & Technology |
| 32.2 | installation VPN Solution | P180 | VPN Solution installation | 4.32.30.2 P180 | Information Communication & Technology |
| 32.3 | Number of new  cabling installed | P181 | Data Cabling | 4.32.32.3 P181 | Information Communication & Technology |
| 32.4 | Installation of Wi-Fi infrastructure | P182 | Installation of  Wi-Fi/ New/Acquisition | 4.32.32.4 P182 | Information Communication & Technology |
| 32.5 | Upgrading IT Network infrastructure | P183 | Maintenance  IT Network | 4.32.32.5 P183 | Information Communication & Technology |
| 32.6 | Upgrading of Website | P189 | Upgrading of  Website | 4.32.32.6 P189 | Information Communication & Technology |
| 32.7 | Number maintenance done per quarter | P266 | Maintenance of computer and Website | 4.32.32.7 P267 | Information Communication & Technology |
| An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service delivery | 33 | To ensure that disaster recovery  plans are fully implemented | 33.1 | Review and Update disaster recovery plans | P190 | Review and Update  disaster recovery plans | 4.33.33.1 P190 | Information Communication & Technology |
| 34 | To ensure that the Municipality moves towards e governance - | 34.1 | Number of councillors capacitated on use computer | P191 | Paper less project | 4.34.34.1 P191 | Information Communication & Technology |
| 35 | Effective and efficient administration and ensure effective integrated planning | 35.1 | Centralisation of customer complaints Management system | P192 | Development Customer care  management system | 4.35.35.1 P192 | Administration |
|  |  |  | 35.2 | Issue newsletter quarter | P193 | Quarterly news letter | 4.35.35.2 P193 | Media and  communication |
| 35.3 | Number of corporate branding implemented | P194 | Corporate  Branding | 4.35.35.3 P194 | Media and  communication |
|  | 35.4 | Institutionalisation of PMS and to all municipal levels | P209 | Cascading PMS to all level | 4.35.35.4 P209 | Performance management |
|  | 35.5 | Number of stakeholder and community engagement | P210 | Stakeholders and community  Engagement | 4.35.35.5 P210 | Integrated  Development |
| An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service |  |  | 35.6 | Review of ward development priorities ward  annually | P211 | IDP - Ward  Based Planning | 4.35.35.6 P211 | Integrated  Development |
| 35.7 | Annually review of IDP | P212 | IDP -Annually  Review | 4.35.35.7 P212 | Integrated  Development |
| 35.8 | To ensure that all municipal staff are adequately housed. | P213 | Renovation of  office buildings | 4.35.35.8 P214 | Office Space |
| 36 | Ensure maximum use of record centre | 36.1 | Report quarterly on the number of media  and communication  activities | P195 | Review Policy and Procedure | 4.36.36.1 P195 | Media and  communication |
|  | |  | Ensure maximum use of record centre | 36.2 | Review of Fillings  System | P196 | Review and approved  Fillings System | 4.36.34.2 P196 | Records and  Archives  Management |
| 36.3 | Number staff capacitated on record management | P197 | Capacity  Building and  Training | 4.36.36.3 P197 | Records and  Archives  Management |
| 36.4 | Number of  Terminated records  Disposed | P198 | Disposal of  Terminated  Records | 4.36.36.4 P198 | Records and  Archives  Management |
| 36.5 | Re-Introduce  electronic management system | P199 | Re- establish  Electronic  Records  Management  System | 4.36.36.5 P199 | Records and  Archives  Management |
|  | |  | 36.6 | Establishment of offsite Records storage | P200 | Off-site Records storage | 4.36.36.6 P200 | Records and  Archives  Management |
| An effective productive administration capable of sustainable service delivery | | 37 | Effective management of legal services and properties | 37.1 | Monitor and evaluate litigation for and against Municipality | P201 | Litigation register | 4.37.37.1 P201 | Legal Service |
| 37.2 | Reduced legal cost | P202 | Reduced legal cost through settlement | 4.37.37.2 P202 | Legal Service |
| 37.3 | Monitor and evaluated lease and transfer by the Municipality | P203 | Lease and Transfer | 4.37.37.3 P203 | Properties |
|  | |  |  | 38.1 | 90% Debt Collection | P215 | Enhance  Revenue strategy | 4.38.38.1 P215 | Financial  management: Revenue |
| Improve municipal revenue base and financial management | | 38 | A financially viable and sustainable Municipality 2022 | 38.2 | Improve billing | P216 | Accurate  billing | 4.38.38.2 P216 | Financial  management: Revenue |
|  | |  |  | 38.3 | Number of smart meters Installed | P217 | Installation of smart meter | 4.38.38.3 P217 | Financial  management: Revenue |
|  | |  |  | 38.4 | Review of all service tariffs structure | P218 | Tariffs Review | 4.38.38.4 P218 | Financial  management: Revenue |
|  | |  |  | 38.5 | Reporting on the % of the budget actually spend | P219 | MFMA  Reporting | 4.38.38.5 P219 | Financial  Management  :Budget and  Reporting |
|  | |  |  | 38.6 | To ensure the efficient and  effective procurement of goods and services | P220 | Empowering  SMME's | 4.38.38.6 P220 | Financial  Management : SCM |
|  | |  |  | 38.7 | Quarterly reports on bid committee functionality | P221 | Bid Committee | 4.38.38.7 P221 | Financial  Management : SCM |
|  | |  |  | 38.8 | Annual stock count report to CFO. | P222 | Stock  Management | 4.38.38.8 P222 | Financial  Management : SCM |
|  | | 39 | Capacity building and effective financial management | 39.1 | Number of capacity building training conducted or attending | P223 | Capacity  Building and  Training | 4.39.39.1 P223 | Financial  management: Administration |
|  | |  |  | 39.2 | Number of internship programmed provided annually | P224 | Provide internship | 4.39.39.2 P224 | Financial  management: Administration |
|  | |  |  | 39.3 | Compliance to Section  102 of MFMA | P225 | Decrease in unauthorised, irregular,  fruitless, wasteful expenditure | 4.39.39.3 P225 | Financial  Management : SCM |
|  | |  |  | 39.4 | Compliance to Section  99b of MFMA | P226 | Creditors payment  within 30 Day | 4.39.39.4 P226 | Financial  Management : SCM |
|  | | 42 | Capacity building and effective financial management  To provide a credible budget  To ensure monitoring of Municipal Assets through annual checks and reporting  Maintaining fully GRAP compliant asset register annually | 39.5 | Annual update of the indigent register | P227 | Credible indigent register | 4.39.39.5 P227 | Financial  management: Revenue |
|  | |  |  | 39.6 | Improve management of expenditure management and its liabilities | P228 | Compliance to financial commitments | 4.39.39.6 P228 | Financial  management: Revenue |
|  | |  |  | 39.7 | Implementation of mSCoa Plan | P229 | mSCOA | 4.39.39.7 P229 | Financial  Management  Administration |
|  | |  |  | 39.8 | Review of property rates | P230 | General  Valuation Roll  and Supplementary | 4.39.39.8 P230 | Financial  management: Revenue |
|  | |  |  | 40.1 | Prepare and adoption budget by Council annually | P231 | Annual Budget | 4.40.40.1 P231 | Financial  Management  :Budget and  Reporting |
|  | |  |  | 40.2 | Prepare and adoption adjustment budget by  Council annually | P232 | Adjustment budget | 4.40.40.2 P232 | Financial  Management  :Budget and  Reporting |
|  | |  |  | 41.1 | Annual count of assets | P233 | Annual asset count & fleet management  report | 4.41.41.1 P233 | Financial  Management: Assets |
|  | |  |  | 42.1 | Fully GRAP Compliant  Asset register | P234 | Assets  Management | 4.42.42.1 P234 | Financial  Management: Assets |
|  | | 40 | To provide a credible budget | 42.2 | Annual disposal sale of assets | P235 | Disposal sales of assets | 4.42.42.2 P235 | Financial  Management: Assets |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **GOALS** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES** | **NO** | **KEY RFORMANCE INDICTOR** | **PROJECT NO** | **PROJECT NAME** | **INDICTOR CODE** | **FUNCTION AREA** |
| **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY NO FIVE- GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION** | | | | | | | | |
| To provide open transparent corruption free governance | 43 | To implement the fraud prevention policy | 43.1 | One awareness programme annually | P236 | Awareness  Program | 5.43.43.1 P236 | Risk  Management |
| 44 | Improve planning of risk management | 44.1 | Establishment of a Hotline | P237 | Establishment of a Hot line | 5.44.44.1P237 | Risk  Management |
|  |  | 44.2 | Conduct annually risk assessment | P238 | Annual review of Institutional and operational  Risk registers | 5.44.44.2P238 | Risk  Management |
| 45 | Enhance risk management | 45.1 | Institutionalisation of risk management | P239 | Quarterly on the risk management activities | 5.45.45.1P239 | Risk  Management |
| 46 | Enhance administration and Council oversight | 46.1 | Number of  Audit  Committee meeting held annually | P240 | Quarterly audit committee | 5.46.46.1.P240 | Municipal Manager/Internal Audit |
| 47 | Enhance administration and Council oversight | 47.1 | Issue Quarterly Action sheet and report | P241 | Monitor meeting resolution | 5.47.47.1P241 | Administration and Committee |
|  | 48 | Improve Audit options outcome | 48.1 | Achieved qualified audit options | P242 | Audit Action  Plan | 5.48.48.1P242 | Municipal  Manager |
| Foster partnership with external stakeholders to enhance Social  Cohesion | 49 | Enhance Inter governmental Relationships | 49.1 | Number of IGR forum hosted | P243 | Four  IGR Forum | 5.49.49.1P243 | IGR |
| 50 | Empower vulnerable groups | 50.1 | Establishment of Youth hub -centres | P244 | Building of youth centres | 5.50.50.1P244 | Community development |
| 50.2 | Number of CSI initiated annually | P245 | Identification of CSI Initiative | 5.50.50.2P245 | Municipal  Manager |
| 51 | Support vulnerable groups | 51.1 | Number of Youth programme implemented and report | P246 | Youth programme | 5.51.51.1P246 | Municipal  Manager |
|  |  | 51.2 | Number of HIV/Aid Council meeting and reports activities quarterly | P247 | Implementation n of HIV/AIDS  PLAN | 5.51.51.2P247 | Municipal  Manager |
|  |  | 51.3 | Number of Elderly, Children and Women programme implemented | P248 | Elderly,  Children and Women programme | 5.51.51.3P248 | Municipal  Manager |
|  | 52 | To communicate effectively and be responsive to the needs of the community | 52.1 | Implementation of Service Delivery Model | P250 | Implementation Masiphathisane  Model | 5.52.52.1P250 | Public participation |
|  |  |  | 52.2 | Improve ward committee function | P251 | Quarterly report on the function of the ward committees | 5.52.52.2P251 | Ward Committee |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **GOALS** | **NO** | **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES** | **NO** | **KEY RFORMANCE INDICTOR** | **PROJECT NO** | **PROJECT NAME** | **INDICTOR CODE** | **FUNCTION AREA** |
| **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY NO SIX: HUMAN SETTLEMENT MANAGEMENT** | | | | | | | | |
|  | 53 | To ensure equitable access to housing for community of Makana | 53.1 | Hectors land secure for housing development | P253 | Source land for housing development | 6.53.53.1P253 | Housing  Development |
|  |  | 53.2 | Review Housing Plan | P254 | Review  Housing Plan | 6.53.53.2P254 | Housing  Development |
|  |  | 53.3 | Number of RDP House rectified annually | P255 | Facilitate rectification of  RDP Houses | 6.53.53.3P255 | Housing  Development |
|  |  |  | 53.4 | Number of housed built annually | P256 | Facilitate completion Housing Development planned projects | 6.53.53.4P256 | Housing  Development |
|  |  |  | 53.5 | Review Housing beneficiary list annually | P257 | Facilitate Housing  beneficiary list | 6.53.53.5P257 | Housing  Development |
|  |  |  | 53.6 | Facilitate Social housing development | P258 | Facilitate FlIPS Projects | 6.53.53.6P258 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3.4** | **SECTOR ALIGNMENT** |

Sector departments were requested to be part of the process by providing input on the programmes and projects they have planned to implement in the Makana Local Municipality. This is done to ensure alignment of programmes / projects by the Sector Departments and Local Municipalities. It is also to eliminate duplication of budgeting by the Sector departments and LM’s. The table below is a plan by various departments.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3.4.1** | **NATIONAL AND PROVINCIAL DRIVEN PROJECTS** |

##### SARA BAARTMAN DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY: HUMAN SETTLEMENT PROJECT BUDGET ALLOCATION 2017- 18

**Table 105**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **HOUSE** | **NUMBER** |
| Units | 708 |
| Services | 1 225 |
| Rectification | 135 |
| Budget | 113 450 000 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3.4.2** | **YEAR PLANS (SERVICE AND TOP STRUCTURE)** |

**Table 106**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROJECTS** | **COMMENTS** |
| Makana Transit Camp 440 | The administrative process of seeking additional funding is currently underway. The contractor will commence in the 2nd quarter. |
| Makana Infill Project | The municipality to determine the number of serviced sites so that the project size ca be determined. |
| Makana Disaster Project | The project is currently under procurement |
| Makana Alicedale 321 | The planning stage is currently under way (Site pegging to start) |
| Makana Alicedale 27 | The contractor has been appointed and has stopped due to quality matters which are being addressed. |

|  |
| --- |
| **3.4.3 DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT AND AGRARIAN REFORM:** |

**Table 107**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS** | | |  |
| **MUNICIPALITY** | **PROJECT NAME** | **ENTERPRISE** | **DESCRIPTION** | |
| MAKANA LM | Grahamstown  Abattoir | Poultry | Development of poultry structures | |
|  | Grahamstown  lab |  | Upgrading of veterinary laboratories | |
|  | Junior Land Care Agro Eco School project |  | Educating learners on CA practices for 13 schools around quarter and 0.5 ha | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3.4.4** | **DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND SANITATION: RBIG 2018/ 19** |

**Table 108**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SCHEME** | **IMPLEMENTING AGENT** | **PROJECT**  **COST** | **RBIG SOCIAL COMPONENT PORTION**  **COMMITMENT** |
| James Kleynhans Bulk  Water Supply | Amatola Water | R 66 000 000 | R 66 000 000 |
| Makana Bulk Sewer | Makana | R 15 000 000 | R 15 000 000 |
| Belmont Valley WWTW | Makana | R 142 000 000 | 142 000 000 |
| Mayfield WWTW | Makana | R 72 433 000 | R 72 433 000 |
| Total |  | **R 295 433 000** | **R 295 433 000** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.6.2** | **STATE AGENCIES: ESKOM PROJECTS** |

**Table 109**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **MUNICIPALITY** | **PROJECT NAME** | **CATEGORY** |
| Makana LM | Makana infill | Infills |
| Mayfield Housing Development  Development | Household |

**4.6.3 RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND AGRARIAN REFORM 18/19 PROJECT**

**Table 110**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PROJECT NAME | **ASSISTANCE** | **BUDGET ALLOCATION** | **FUNDING PROGRAM** |
| Makana -151 hh | Inputs and seed | R52 850,00 | FS - Siyazondla |
| Broughton | Chicory inputs | R50 000,00 | Food Security |
| Yarrow | Chicory inputs | R30 000,00 | Food Security |
| Castle | Chicory inputs | R 30 000,00 | Food Security |
| Ripley | Chicory inputs | R25 000,00 | Food Security |
| Humans | Chicory inputs | R10 000,00 | Food Security |
| NV Chewu PP S. | Inputs |  |  |
| Archie Mbolekwa | Inputs,  Jojo tank | R80 000, 00 | Junior LC |
| Fikizolo P.S | Inputs |
| Makana P.S. | Inputs |

**CHAPTER FOUR: INTEGRATION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.1** | **INTRODUCTION:** |

To ensure that the IDP address all strategic issues relevant to any effective municipality, the following section offers a comprehensive list of issues discussed during the IDP process. The integration phase represents the institutional readiness for implementation and to ensure integrated planning for the provision of basic services. An analysis was conducted in respect of various sector plans for the Municipality. Some of these sector plans were found to be still relevant and required minor changes. Others required a review, whilst new ones need to be developed and this was based on benchmarked and IDP Assessment with other local Municipal with our side.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.2** | **SUMMARY OF SECTOR PLANS:** |

Table 111

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **STATUS** | | | **SECTOR PLANS** | | |
| **EXISTING PLANS** | | | Review Water Service Development Plan | | |
| Integrated Waste Management Plan | | |
| Area Based Plan | | |
| Comprehensive Infrastructure Plan | | |
| Disaster Management Plan | | |
| Housing Settlement Plan | | |
| Review of Transport Plan | | |
| Review Fire and rescue plan(CPS report ) | | |
| Environmental strategy(LEAP) | | |
| Spatial Development Framework | | |
| **NEW ONE TO BE DEVELOPED** | | | Five Invest Financial plan | | |
| Development of Job creation sector plan | | |
| Poverty alleviation plan | | |
| Fleet management plan | | |
| Development of Electricity Master Plan | | |
| Asset Management Strategy | | |
| Supply chain Management Strategy | | |
| Cooperative strategy | | |
| Revenue enhancement strategy | | |
| **CROSS CUTTING PLANS** | | | Plans | Action required | |
| Local Economic  Development Plan | Strategy was approved and due for review 2017 | |
| HIV/AIDS action plan t | To be developed | |
| Special Programs  sectorial plans | * Youth plan need to be develop * People with   Disability | |
| **4.2.1** | |  | **HUMAN RESOURCES PLAN** | | |

Municipality has developed a Human Resources Plan with the assistance of Provincial Government (Department of local government in 2011, was adopted by council in 2016.

**Alignment of the Human Resource Plan with municipal objectives**

Alignment: Effective achievement of our Municipality goals through implementing the following development strategies and policies:

* Enhance Employee retention & recruitment
* Improve human resource administration
* Employment wellness
* Training and Development

•

**Strategic direction: b**

Makana Municipality Development Priorities

* + Institutional transformation and Organisational Development
  + Basic Service delivery
  + Local Economic Development
  + Municipal Financial Viability Management
  + Good Governance and Public Participation
  + Empower Rural Communities and support to vulnerable groups

Table 112

| **DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY** | **OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE** | **HR PROGRAM** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Institutional transformation and**  **Organisational**  **Development** | Develop HR Plan by in order to provide an conducive working environment and ensure compliance with legislative requirements  HR Plan will assist in coordinating all HR programmes as listed below | HR Plan |
| Ensure the cascading of Performance Management System to all employees to ensure effective service delivery.  2010-2011 cascaded to HOD level,  2011-2012 cascaded to supervisory and Forman level, 2012-2013 to all employees. | Performance Management System |
|  | Training and Development |
|  | Development of work skills plan that seeks to achieve organisational goal e.g. shortage of qualified electricians, accountants, etc.  DTIS: Assessment shortage of skills by DBSA |  |
| **Institutional transformation and**  **Organisational**  **Development** | Operationalization of wellness policy to enforce health and safety regulations. There is vacant position in the organogram of Employment well facilitator. | Wellness (Health and safety) |
| Operationalization of career succession plan policy | Career planning |
| To ensure sound good working relations between the employer and employees. (LLF)  To empower members of LLF –all stakeholders (training) i.e. ORA, Labour relations Act, Basic conditions Act, LLF constitution and other related courses | Labour relations |
| Ensure smooth exit procedure   1. Resignation 2. Retirement 3. Dismissal 4. Early retirement due to health | Exit management |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.2.2** | **COMMUNICATION STRATEGY** |

Chapter 4 of the Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000), equally, states that all Municipalities must strive to meet the following object, among others: -

* foster meaningful dialogue and interface within the internal structures of Municipalities, external bodies and members of the public.

* Likewise, the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act (Act 3 of 2000) and Promotion of Access to Information Act (Act 2 of 2000) charge Government Bodies to observe and adhere to the principles and practices of good governance,

* It is within this context that this policy shall direct Makana Municipality on how to constructively engage the members of the public and external bodies in particular media institutions as instructed by the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and applicable pieces of legislation and the institutional policies.

S**cope of the policy**

This policy and strategy applies to the Councillors and Officials of Makana Municipality, be they casuals, part time, full time, contract or consultants. The communication policy and strategy will be reviewed and revised when the need arises by the Council. The last review was done in 2016 and adopted on the 24 June 2016.

**Aims of the policy**

The aim of this policy is to ensure the streamlining of communication within the Makana jurisdiction and to ensure a coherent and coordinated communication process.

To provide a framework within which internal and external communication of the Municipality will be discharged with its stakeholders and to reduce the risk of damaging or ineffective communication, and to ensure that staff and Councillors are aware of how communication is best conveyed externally and internally, and who has responsibility for which aspects.

**Objectives of the Policy**

The objectives of the Communication Policy of Makana Municipality are as follows:

1. Ensuring that there is a clear and co-ordinated communication process within the Municipality, to enhance and promote cooperative governance between its clientele, partners, stakeholders and the media.
2. Ensuring that there are clear roles of communication by the staff of Makana Municipality and to foster meaningful dialogue and interface between and among the internal role players.
3. Ensuring that the image of the Municipality is at all times upheld.
4. Ensuring that the Municipality complies with the legislative requirements as far as communication is concerned.
5. Ensuring that resources are used in support of the achievement of the Municipality's strategic goals.
6. Ensuring effective coordination and coherent system of communication within Makana Municipality.

**Official Communicators**

All staff members (officials) as defined above and Councillors are communicators of the Council by virtue of their work. All staff members and Councillors are representatives and messengers of the Municipality.

Staff members and Councillors should always be mindful that when they communicate with a member from the public, things they say are seen as official statements expressing the views of the municipality. In instances where Councillors speak in their personal capacity or for their political parties, they must make sure that point is clear.

However it is critical to note that for interview or research purposes, whether by institutions, organisations, private companies, media, etc. consent to engage with such bodies must be sought from the Municipal Manager by officials and from the Executive Mayor or Speaker by the Councillors. Councillors do not need to seek the approval of the Speaker or the Executive Mayor when they speak in their personal capacity or for their political parties.

The Media and Communications Officer (Municipal Spokesperson), the Municipal Manager and the Executive Mayor are not only the official communicators of the Municipality but the primary communicators. However, they can delegate their powers to whomever they see fit at times.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4. 2.3** | **INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT** |

Purpose: Makana municipal integrated waste development consideration various service categories as well as evaluate the service delivery in each of the towns in the Municipality. The service categories that are taken into consideration can be summarised as follows:

* Refuse Removal:
* Residential
* Commercial
* Industrial
* Garden Refuse
* Builders’ Rubble and
* Medical Waste
* Street and ablution cleaning
* Landfill Sites,
* Transfer Stations and
* Bulk Containers

The Status Quo investigation of the waste management section considered each of these aspects, In addition to this existing structure, the status quo study also considered planned developments for service extensions and any planned projects that have been identified in the IDP. The Integrated Waste Management Plan was development in November 2008 and adopted by Council May 2009 is due for review.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.2.4** | **DISASTER MANAGEMENT PLAN** |

**Introduction:**

The Makana Local Municipality Disaster Management Plan has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Disaster Management Act no 57 of 2002, and within Cacadu Disaster Management Framework and was adopted was by Council In May 2009, currently under review. The plan is aimed at ensuring an integrated and uniform approach to comprehensive disaster management in the Municipality.

This Plan has been documented by the Disaster Management Centre, Cacadu District Municipality, with the support of Makana Municipality.

**Objectives:**

To strengthen the capacity of Makana disaster management system to reduce unacceptable risks and improve response and recovery activities.

**Strategic focus:**

Move disaster management programming efforts from a response and relief emphasis to comprehensive risk management culture.

**Top priority risk for Makana municipality:**

A Risk Priority Rating has been determined for Makana Municipality and the top three being, Storms, Fires and Epidemics. Within the Plan there are contingency plans for all the Hazards/Disasters that the Municipality is prone to and the purpose of this is to be effective and efficient during emergencies.

Below is the list of contingency plans that are in place to deal with disasters that has strike or threatening to occur.

1. Contingency Plan for Floods
2. Contingency Plan for Fires
3. Contingency Plan for Epidemics
4. Contingency Plan for Accidents

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.2.5** | **WATER SERVICE DEVELOPMENT PLAN** |

The purpose of IDP and WSDP goals is to provide the Municipality’s long term direction and desired state for water services provisioning within the Makana Water Services Authority.

To comply with the Water Services Act (Act 108 of 1997) and the National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998), the WSDP should be prepared as part of the IDP process unless there is no IDP process in which case it can be prepared separately. The WSDP is a sectorial plan that falls within the inter-sectorial umbrella plan of the IDP.

The strategies developed as part of the WSDP has been based on the IDP vision, priority issues and objectives in terms of addressing the when, who, what, etc.

Should it be found that insufficient resources are available to support the strategy, the IDP priority issues and objectives would have to be reconsidered Municipal WSDP was last revised 2008 and adopted by Council in June 2009 and will be revised in the 2017/18 financial year.

**Objectives**

The primary objective is for Makana Municipality to provide effective, efficient and sustainable water services and sanitation for all communities within the Makana Municipality jurisdiction and the planning to eradicate backlogs

***Table 113* Backlogs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Water Works**  **Service Area** | **Water needs to RDP Level** | | **Sanitation Needs to RDP Level** | |
| **Technical** | **Management** | **Technical** | **Management** |
| Reticulation | 35% | 35% | 35% | 35% |
| Bulk | 45% | 35% | 45% | 45% |

***Table 114* Status of supply to higher levels**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Present services level** | **Basic RDP** | **Hugh RDP** | **Urban** |
| LM-Services Levels | **%** | **95.5%** | **95.5%** |
| LM- Sanitation Services | **13.6%** | **73.3%** | **86.6%** |

**Cost of Eradicating of backlogs:**

**Typical Required Water Sector (DWAF & Local Gov.) Cash flow to meet target dates of 2008 for Water Services and 2010 for Sanitation (DWAF & CMIP) – RM**

Table 115

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **As in 08/09 -10/11** | **TOTAL** |
| Bulk Water Supply System | 48,891,927 |
| Reticulation and Cost Recovery | 728,640 |
| Household Sanitation (Up to 2010/11) | 38,264,507 |
| Rehabilitation | 12,297,966 |
| **Sub Total** | **100,183,040** |

**What is the strategy to eradicate backlogs?**

COST split of Water Sector Funding Requirements for Different Service Levels (RM) Note: Estimates are provided only in the categories where integrated supply services are (to be) provided. The remaining categories can readily be isolated on municipal level and can be financed by consumer contributions and external (non-governmental loan grant etc., funding)

Table 116

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Backlogs** | **Basic** | **High** | **Urban** | **Total** |
|  | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) |
| Bulk Water Supply System | 28 | 20.8 | 48.8 | 48.8 |
| Village Reticulation and Cost Recovery | 0.7 |  | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Household Sanitation (Up to 2010/11) |  | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.212.3 |
| Rehabilitation |  | 12.3 | 12.2 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.2.6** | **LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY** |

**INTRODUCTION:** The Council approved its LED Strategy 2010 and under review. The Strategy comprises a situational analysis and a local economic development framework.

This LED was developed using the following methodology: **Step One:** Consolidating of the existing research

**Step Two:** Target Sectoral Stakeholder Engagement

**Step Three:** Economic Potential Analysis

**Step Four:** Package Five LE Strategy

**Situational Analysis**

The situation analysis explores several components namely: policy, socio-economic, economic, infrastructural, environmental and institutional profiles, as well as an opportunity and constraints analysis.

**The structure of the Situation Analysis report is as follows:**

Table 117

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CHAPTER** | **TITLE** | **PURPOSE** |
| **2** | **Policy**  **Framework** | This chapter provides an overview of the national, provincial, district and local municipal planning initiatives that provide the framework in which the LED strategy will be developed. |
| **3** | **Socio-economic**  **Profile** | The socio-economic profile provides a demographic overview of the population residing in the project area and considers indicators such as population growth, education ,income and access to basic services |
| **4** | **Economic**  **Profile** | The economic profile considers various economic indicators such as RGDP size and growth, and provides a profile of the each of the productive economic sectors in the project area. |
| **5** | **Infrastructural**  **Profile** | This chapter assesses the level of economic infrastructure available in Makana in terms of roads electricity network, water, sanitation and telecommunications so as to better understand the resources available. |
| **6** | **Environmental**  **Profile** | The environmental profile provides a background to the biophysical and environmental characteristics of the area, with reference to topography, climate, vegetation, hydrology and biodiversity. |
| **7** | **Institutional**  **Profile** | This chapter provides an overview of the institutional structure and capacity of the Makana Local Municipality. |
| **8** | **Economic**  **Potential**  **Analysis** | The potential analysis incorporates an opportunity and constraints assessment, which assists in creating an understanding with regard to the sectoral competitive advantages of the local economy and is used to determine the development potential within the area. Key factors that may be inhibiting growth are also identified as part of this process. |

###### Strategic Development Framework

Local Economic Development Framework considers the strategic development framework for LED, implementation plan, monitoring and evaluation framework and benchmarking of the LED strategy.

**The Report Outline**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Chapter 2:** | **Strategic Development Framework** This section presents the framework of | |
|  | the strategy and includes the vision, goal  programmes and strategic initiatives conceived |
| **Chapter 3:** | **Implementation Plan** | This section provides guidelines for the implementation of the strategic framework terms of recommended actions, roles and responsibilities and associated timeframes. |
| **Annexure 1:** | **Support Agencies** | A compendium of support agencies and the contact details. |
| **Annexure 2:** | **Monitoring & Evaluation Framework** | A monitoring and evaluation framework based the SDBIP is provided for the 10 priorities initiatives. |
| **Annexure 3:** | **Benchmarking for LED best practice** | Provides criteria for successful LED strategy based on government guidelines. |

**Elements of the LED Framework**

The strategic framework is developed in line with and adapted from World Bank LED principles, and is made up of the following elements:

**Vision:** The vision describes the stakeholders’ (community, private sector, municipality, nongovernmental organisations) agreement on the preferred economic future of the economy.

**Goals:** Objectives are based on the overall vision and specify desired outcomes of the economic planning process. They set performance standards and identify target activities for development.

**Programmes:** Programs are set out approaches to achieving realistic economic development goals.

**Strategic Initiatives**: Allow the prioritised implementation of specific programme components.

The vision sets out what the LED strategy seeks to achieve. All the other elements of the strategic framework thus cascade from the vision. The Makana LED strategy vision was developed after stakeholder engagement convened in the form of an Indaba. The Indaba allowed public participation and input into the vision. The other element that forms a central part of the LED vision is the Makana municipality’s vision, as set out in its IDP document.

**The Long Term Economic Vision for The Development of The Makana Area is:**

**A robust, vibrant and sustainable economy that promotes local inclusive development**

The vision can be broken down to certain key words, phrases and principles that are expanded upon in Table 1.

###### Explanation of the Vision Key Principles

Table 118

| **KEY PRINCIPLE** | **EXPLANATION** |
| --- | --- |
| **Robust** | Robustness is the quality of being able to withstand stresses brought on by changes in circumstance. In the ever-changing global economy, it is vital that the economy have the ability to dynamically respond to various pressures. This is to be attained through an ability to adapt to industry trends and adopt evolving technologies and approaches |
| **Vibrant** | A vibrant economy is seen through high levels of activity and growth in terms of business attraction and establishment, employment creation and a bustling entrepreneurial base. Given Makana’s relatively slow pace of growth from 1995 to 2007, it is vital that an injection of purpose-driven activity infuse the orientation of all economic activity in the area to boost growth and development. |
| **Sustainable** | All economic activity that takes place in Makana must be founded on principles of long term sustainability (environmental and economic). Accelerated development and sustainability are not mutually exclusive but should rather be considered at complimentary.  A shift towards a green economy (environmentally sustainable) is possible and even desirable within the context of Makana’s development and such considerations must be made throughout the economic planning process.  Economic sustainability relates to growth that is based on activity that is viable and feasible over the long-run. It thus relates to positioning the economy to be driven by key sectors that tap into and maximize its inherent traits. |
| **Local** | This element underscores the importance of retaining incomes and expenditures within the municipality. This places forth notions of reduced income leakage, prioritised local procurement, and internalised business sector solidarity and stakeholder cohesion. Also intrinsic in this statement is an element of local ownership of and responsibility for the area’s growth and development. |
| **Promotes development** | This key principle deals with the ability of stakeholders to translate the area’s latent potential and comparative advantage into developments that benefit the community at large in a practical and discernible way. Makana has significant unrealised and unexploited development potential and it is pivotal that such low hanging fruit be grasped.  Efficiently and effectively utilising available resources makes up part of this key principle. The realisation of Makana’s development potential is to be articulated through the interventions envisaged in the programmes and strategic initiatives contained in the strategic framework. |
| **Inclusive development** | Balanced development is envisaged on a household level as well as on the economy-wide level.  On the household level there is a need for reduced poverty and other forms of deprivation, employment creation and access to economic opportunities and greater participation levels by all residents of the Municipality. Development and growth must not bypass or lead to the marginalisation of any sections of society.  On the economy-wide level the economy whilst concentrating on its competitive and comparative advantages, must also allow for and promote diversification of activity. This reduces the chance of undue reliance on a few sectors, with balanced growth occurring in all sectors of the economy.  Imbued in both these elements is the importance of redressing historical and structural imbalances. Historical imbalances relate to marginalisation of various groups into an economic periphery. Structural imbalances are based on the spatial and sectoral distribution of economic activity in the Municipality. |

The setting of goals was primarily based on district, provincial and national goals, where appropriate. This was then ratified via public participation at the Economic indaba. It is important to note that although ideally objectives should be SMART (i.e. specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time bound); it is not always possible to set quantifiable goals.

Based on the vision discussed above, and the traits of the economy revealed in the situation analysis, the following goals will guide the local economic development strategy:

1. Increase R-GDP by 3.5% per year to match district and the provincial growth rates by 2014
2. Maintain employment at current levels from 2010 to 2011, with the view of increasing trade and service sector employment by 4% from 2012 to 2014
3. Grow the tourism related component of the local economy.
4. Maintain sustainable levels of capital expenditure on key LED infrastructure from 2010 to 2014
5. Increase the role of formal and informal strategic partnerships in and between the public and private sectors as a catalyst for growth
6. Reduce income leakage and support SMME activity through increased local procurement and labour force support measures.

**Programs and strategic initiatives**

In order for the goals discussed in the previous section to be achieved, the strategic framework contains key developmental thrusts packaged in the form of programs. The programs are based on the findings of the situational analysis, seeking to reduce identified constraints and maximise untapped economic potential. Each program is made up of several strategic initiatives, which fall in the public and private realms. The programs and strategic initiatives discussed in this section all seek to ensure that Makana maximises economic development based on its unique attributes and characteristics.

**The programs for the LED strategy are:**

1. Strategic Partnerships
2. Infrastructure Provision And Services
3. Investment Attraction
4. SMME Promotion
5. Tourism Development
6. Leveraging of educational capital
7. Agricultural sectoral development

The first four programs deal with cross cutting issues that have been identified as requiring strategic interventions. Cross cutting issues relate to challenges and opportunities that transcend sectoral barriers. This can be seen by how the need for strategic partnerships applies across all economic sectors in Makana, how infrastructure promotes development across the economic spectrum, investment attraction is needed by all participants, and SMMEs operate across the board. Cross cutting programs thus apply for the general economic landscape of Makana and are vital for the creation of a conducive and enabling environment for growth and development.

The last three programs provide targeted actions in sectors deemed to be important in shaping the overall future of the economy (tourism, education and agriculture). These sectors were selected based on the following outcomes of the situation analysis:

* Comparative advantage analysis
* Sectorial performance classification
* Opportunities and constraints analysis

The strategic initiatives in each of the sectorial programs zone in on critical success factors that will allow maximisation of their development potential. Each programme is discussed in the proceeding sections, with elaborations on its motivation, rationale and strategic initiatives. The strategic initiatives are listed in descending order of prioritised, as voted for by stakeholders at the Makana Economic Indaba.

**STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS** The strategic initiatives that relate to strategic partnerships in Makana mainly involve the municipality as one of the partners and were developed in response to perceived gaps in intergovernmental and inter-organisational relations.

Filling in these gaps will have a beneficial impact on the ability of government, business, civil society and he community to contribute towards LED.

###### ALIGNMENT

The LED Strategic Framework was used as the basis for the LED planning objectives and projects reflected in the IDP 2012-2017 Review.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.3** | **PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT INSTITUTIONAL LEVEL** |

The PM process at organizational level in the Municipality is characterized by the steps that are set out in Figure2. Although the steps and what follows relate mainly to performance management at organizational level, the principles and approaches as espoused could also be applied to performance management at departmental level.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.3.1** | **PERFORMANCE PLANNING** |

Performance will be managed in terms of the IDP and the process of compiling the IDP information; therefore the annual review of the IDP planning process for performance. From figure 2 it should be noted that the last step of the cycle is” Performance review” and the outcome thereof should inform the next cycle of IDP compilation / review by focusing the planning processes on the areas in which the Municipality has under-performed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.3.2** | **PERFORMANCE MONITORING** |

Performance monitoring is an on-going process by which a manager accountable for a specific indicator as set out in the organizational scorecard (and a service delivery target contained in a SDBIP) continuously monitoring current performance against the set targets. The aim of the monitoring process is to take appropriate and immediate action where it appears that target will not be timeously met. The same will apply to the various SDBIPs.

Performance monitoring requires appropriate action to be taken should it become evident that a specific performance target is not going to be met. In undertaking performance monitoring processes, the following aspects need to be determined and provident for

* The data that must be collected in order to assess performance.
* The methods that must be employed of n the collection, storage, verification and analysis of that data.
* The processes and formats that must be used in compiling reports on that data.
* Corrective measures that will be employed when poor performance has been detected.
* Mechanisms to compare current performance with baseline indicators and performance during the previous financial year.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.3.3** | **MONITORING REPORTS SHOULD BE SUBMITTED AS FOLLOWS:** |

Table 119

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Submitted to** | **Frequency** |
| Director | At least quarterly |
| Municipal Manager | At least Monthly |

Managers should track performance trends against targets for those indicators that lie within their area of accountability at least once per month. That will allow them to identify performance related problems and take appropriate remedial action in time. In addition each senior manager should delegate to the direct line manager the responsibility to monitor the performance for his/ her sector.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.3.4** | **PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT** |

Performance measurement refers to the formal process of collecting and capturing performance data to enable reporting to take place for each KPI and against the target set for such indicator. A manual process will be used to measure performance at Makana Municipality. The municipality’s SDBIP scorecards should spell out the name of an official who will be reporting on each indicator. The said official will, when performance measurement is due, have to collect and collate the necessary performance data or information and capture the result against the target for the period concerned. As such, they need not be against the target for the period concerned. As such, they need not be accountable for performance on the said performance indicator.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.3.5** | **PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS** |

Performance analysis involves the process of making sense of measurements. It requires interpretation of the performance measurements to determine whether targets have been met / exceeded and to project whether future targets will be met or not. Where targets have not been met, the reasons thereof should be examined and corrective action recommended. Where targets have been met or corrective action recommended. Where targets have been met or exceeded, the key factors that resulted in such success should be documented and shared so as to ensure organizational learning.

### 4.3.6 THE MANAGER RESPONSIBLE FOR EACH INDICATOR SHOULD, THEREFORE DO THE FOLLOWING:-

1. Capture the performance data against targets on the scorecard.

2. Analyse reasons for meeting or not meeting a target

1. Capture a summary of findings on the scorecard.
2. Recommend remedial actions as appropriate.

The completed organizational and SDBIP scorecards should be submitted to a formal meeting of the senior management team for further analysis and consideration of draft recommendations from the relevant managers. This level of analysis should examine performance across the municipality in terms of all its priorities with the aim to reveal and capture whether there are any broader organizational factors that are limiting the ability to meet any performance targets.

Such analysis should also ensure that quality performance reports are submitted to the Executive committee and that adequate response strategies are proposed in cases of poor performance. After reaching agreement on the analyses the management team can take the scorecards to the executive committee for consideration and review.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.3.7** | **PERFORMANCE REPORTING AND REVIEW** |

The next two steps in the process of performance management (i.e. Performance reporting and performance Review) will be dealt with at the same time.

This section is further divided into three sections dealing with the requirements for in –year versus annual reporting and reviews respectively and lastly a summary is provided for the various reporting requirements.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.3.8** | **IN – YEAR PERFORMANCE REPORTING AND REVIEW** |

The submission of the scorecards to the executive committee for consideration and review of the entire municipal performance is the next step in the process. The first such report is a major milestone in the implementation of any PMS and it marks the beginning of what should become a regular event (i.e. using the performance report as a tool to review the municipality’s performance and to make important political and management decision on how to improve).

Performance Review is the process where the leadership of an organization reviews the results; and decides on appropriate action, after the performance of the organization has been measured and reported. In reviewing the organizational and departmental scorecards, the Executive Committee will have to ensure that the committed targets have been met; and where they have not, that satisfactory and sufficient reasons have been provided and that the proposed corrective action is adequate. If satisfied with the proposed corrective action those should be adopted as formal resolution of Council, minutes read and actioned accordingly.

As indicated earlier, the organizational and SDBIP scorecards should be submitted to the executive committee for consideration and review on a quarterly basis. The reporting should therefore take place as follows:-

*Table 120*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **QUARTER** | **PERIOD UNDER REVIEW** | **MONTH OF REPORTING** |
| 1 | July to end of September | October |
| 2 | October to end December | January |
| 3 | January to end March | April |
| 4 | April to end June | July |

The review in January will coincide with the mid-year performance assessment as per section72 of the MFMA. The said section determines that the accounting officer must, by 25 January of each year, assess the performance of the municipality and report to council on inter alia, its service delivery performance during the first half of the financial year and service delivery targets and performance indicators as set out in the SDBIP

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.3.9** | **ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORTING AND REVIEW** |

* On an annual basis a comprehensive report on the performance of the Municipality also needs to be compiled. The requirements for the compilation, consideration and review of such annual report are set out in chapter 12 of the MFMA. In summary it requires that:-

 All Municipalities for each financial year compile an annual report.

* The annual report should be tabled within seven months after the end of the financial year.
* Soon after the annual report has been tabled it should be made public and local community should be invited to submit representations thereon.
* The Municipal Council should consider the annual report within nine months after the end of the financial year and adopt an oversight report containing the Council’s comments on the annual report.
* The adopted oversight report should be made public.
* The annual report as tabled and council’s oversight report should be forwarded to Auditor General, the Provincial Treasury and the department responsible for local government in the Province.
* The annual report as tabled and the council’s oversight report should be submitted to the provincial legislature.

The oversight report to be adopted provides the opportunity for full Council to review the performance of the Municipality. The requirements that the annual report once tabled and oversight report be made public similarly provides the mechanism for general public to review the performance of the Municipality. However the Municipality will budget for the compilation of a user friendly citizens’ report. The citizens’ report should be a simple, easily readable and attractive document that translates the annual report for public consumption.

Over and above the legal requirements of the MSA and the MFMA, there should be an annual public campaign that involves the community in the review of municipal performance. Such a campaign could involve all or any combination of the following methodologies:-

* Various forms of media should be used to convey the annual report e.g. radio newspapers, notice boards (in shops and community halls) and billboards.
* The public should be invited to submit comments on the annual report via physical visits to the Municipality offices, telephone, fax and email.
* Public hearings could be held in a variety of locations to obtain input of the annual report.
* Making use of existing structures such as ward committees to disseminate the annual report and invite comments.
* Hosting a number of public meetings and roadshows at which the annual report could be discussed and input invited.
* Producing a special issue of the municipal newsletter in which the annual report is highlighted and the public invited to comment.

The public review process should be concluded by formal review of the annual report by the IDP representative Forum of the Municipality.

The annual performance report should be compiled and completed no later than two months after financial – year end. That will ensure that its outcomes can timeously inform the next cycle of performance planning.

### 4.3.10 SUMMARY OF VARIOUS PERFORMANCES REPORTING REQUIREMENT

**Annual Performance Report**

Section 121(3) A annul performance report would have to be Section 121 (3) (c) of the MFMA outlines the requirements for Annual performance reporting. An assessment of municipal performance together with recommendations for council by the audit committee, corrective actions undertaken arising from audit report and competency compliance for inclusion in the annual report. ***Table 121***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Report** | **Frequency** | **Submitted for Consideration and or review to** | **Remarks** |
| 1. SDBIP | Quarterly | ExCo | See MFMA Circular 13 of National Treasury for further information |
| 2. Monthly Budget Statements | Monthly | Mayor in consultation with ExCo | See sections 71 and 54 of the MFMA |
| 3. Organisational Scorecard | Quarterly | ExCo | This PMS framework (see section 2. |
| 4. SDBIP mid- year budget and  performance assessment | Annually in Jan of each year | Mayor + ExCo Council | See section 72 and 54 of the MFMA |
| 5. Performance Report | Annually | Council | See section 46 of the Municipal Systems Act as amended. Said report to form part of the annual report |
| 6. Annual Report | Annually | Council | See chapter 12 of the MFMA |

***Table122* PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PLAN**

| ***Reporting Structure*** | ***Reviewing Structure*** | ***Report*** | ***Submission Date*** | ***Where*** | ***When*** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Divisions** | Directorates | Monthly performance reports | 25th of each month | Directorate | Monthly |
| **Directorates** | Executive  Management  Committee | Monthly performance reports | 27th of each month | Municipal  Manager’s  Office | Monthly |
| **Directorates** |  IDP/PMS and Budget  Steering Committee | Quarterly performance reports | 10th the of next month after the end of each Quarter | IDP/PMS Unit | Quarterly |
| **Directorates** |  IDP/PMS and Budget  Steering Committee | Mid-term performance reports | 10th of  January | IDP/PMS Unit | Mid-year |
| **Portfolio**  **Committee** |  Portfolio Committee | SDBIP Quarterly Report | First and second week next month after the end of each Quarter | IDP/PMS Unit | Quarterly |
| **Directorates** | * Executive Mayoral Committee * Council | Mid-term performance reports | 25th January | Strategic  Planning  Session | Mid-year |
| **IDP/PMS Unit** | * Audit Committee * Internal   Audit Unit | * Consolidate   Directorates Quarterly performance reports  audit   * Quarterly Performance   analysis report | 14th of the next month after the end of the Quarter | * Municipal Manager’s   Office   * Internal   Audit Unit | Quarterly |
| **Directorates** | * IDP/ PMS and Budget   Steering Committee   * Council | Annual Performance reports | 10th July | IDP/PMS Unit | Annually |
| **IDP/PMS Unit** | * IDP/ PMS and Budget   Steering Committee   * Council | * Consolidate   Directorate s Annual performance reports   * Annual Performance   analysis report | 14th July | * Municipal Manager’s   Office     * Internal   Audit Unit | Annually |

### 4.3.11 THE AUDITING OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES

**THE ROLE OF INTERNAL AUDIT IN TERMS OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT:**

The MFMA requires that the Municipality must establish an internal audit section which could be outsourced (depending on municipal resources and specific requirements)Section 45 of the MSA stipulates that the results of the municipality’s performance measures should be audited by the said internal audit sections as part of the internal auditing process and annually by the auditor- General. For Makana, the internal audit function will be outsourced in liaison with the Cacadu District Municipality.

The Municipal Planning and Performance management Regulations stipulates that the internal audit section must, on a continuous basis, audit all performance and auditing must include an assessment of the following:-

* The functionality of the Municipality’s performance management system.
* The internal audit section must therefore on a regular basis audit whether the PMS of the Municipality is functioning as developed and described in this framework.
* Whether the Municipality’s performance management systems complies with the act.
* This compliance check would require that the Municipality’s internal audit unit, at least on an annual basis verify that the Municipality’s PMS complies with the said legal requirements.
* The extent to which the Municipality’s performance measurements are reliable in measuring the performance of by making use of indicators.
* The Municipality should have proper information that the internal audit function is able to access information regularly and to verify its correctness.
* The Municipality’s internal auditors must submit quarterly reports on the audits undertaken to the Municipal Manager and the Audit Committee.

**AUDIT COMMITTEE:** The MFMA and the Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations require that the Municipal Council Should establish an audit committee consisting of a minimum of three members, where the majority of members are not employees of the Municipality. No Councillor maybe a member of an audit committee. Council should also appoint a chairperson who is not an employee of Makana Municipality.

The regulations give Municipalities the option to establish a separate performance audit committee whereas the MFMA provides only for a single audit committee. The operation of Audit Committee when dealing with performance management is governed by section 14 (2-3) of the regulations which require the audit committee to do the following:-

* Review the quarterly reports submitted to it by the internal audit unit.
* Reviews the municipality PMS and make recommendations in this regard to the council of the Municipality.

At least twice during a financial year submit an audit report to the Municipal Council.

In order to fulfil their function, a performance audit committee may according to the MFMA and the regulations:-

* Communicate directly with the council, Municipal manager or the internal and external auditor of the Municipality concerned;
* Access any municipal records containing information that is needed to perform its duties or exercise its powers;
* Request any relevant person to attend ant of its meetings and if necessary to provide information requested by the committee, and
* Investigate any matter it deems necessary for a performance of its duties and the exercise of its powers.

**PERFORMANCE INVESTIGATIONS:** The Audit Committee should also be able to commission in depth performance investigations where there is either continued poor performance or a lack of reliability in the information being provided. Those performance investigations should assess the following:-

* The reliability of reported information.
* The extent of performance gaps from targets.
* The reasons for performance gaps.
* Corrective action and improvement strategies.

The outsourced internal audit section will be used to conduct these investigations and should ensure the involvement of people who are experts in this area. For each investigation, clear terms of reference will need to be adopted by council.

**4.3.12 STAKEHOLDER ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

#### *Table 123*

| **STAKEHOLDER** | **ROLE** |
| --- | --- |
| **Council / ExCo** | * Adopt the PMS Framework & PMS * Adopt the Municipal Scorecards * Conduct Annual Review * Commission Performance Audits * Reports to the Public and Province |
| **Management team** | * Plan for PM * Adopt the PMS Framework & PMS * Draft scorecards * Approve Departmental Scorecards * Conduct Performance Measurements * Produce PM reports * Commission Performance Audits |
| **Audit committee** |  Assess Performance Management Reports & Make recommendation |
| **Internal audit** |  Audit the results of performance Measurements |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4** | **PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AT INDIVIDUAL LEVEL** |

The reality is that the Municipality is an institution that employs people, thus its performance is highly dependent on employees. It therefore follows that performance needs to be managed at individual employee level. The individual performance management system is a vehicle for implementing the operational plans emanating from the linkages between individuals, functions and the broader

Municipal objectives, The PMS is aimed at providing specific standards to assist the employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the required standards. Makana will use the individual PMS to:-

* Manage and measure behaviours’ that contribute to organisational and individual success.
* Recognise levels of actual performance in relation to agreed targets  Encourage continuous improvement and efficiency
* Identify and act on areas for individual development.
* Provide a platform for communication on performance between superiors and employees.

This section discussed the structured process for managing performance of the municipal manager and the employees that are directly report to her separately discussed the process of managing performance for the rest of municipal staff.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4.1** | **PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT FOR SECTION 57 MANAGERS** |

Performance management for municipal manager and the employees that directly report to him swill strictly adhere to the provisions of the Municipal performance regulations (2006). The key phases are, namely, Performance Contracting, Performance review, and Performance assessment. This section will also discuss dispute relating to PM.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4.2** | **PERFORMANCE CONTRACTING** |

Performance contracting is characterized by the consultation between the employer and the employee regarding specific performance standards that should be adhered to during the given financial year. Being the first of the three key phases, performance contracting entails the signing of the performance Agreement within one month after commencement of each financial year, with the purpose to:-

* Comply with the contract of employment
* Comply with section 57 of the MSA;
* Specify the agreed objectives and targets, and communicate within municipality’s performance expectations in line with the IDP, the SDBIP and the budget;
* Specify accountabilities that are drawn in the Performance Plan
* Serve as basis of the municipality’s commitment to a performance orientated relationship
* Provide a platform for monitoring, evaluating and measurement performance against targeted outputs and subsequently rewarding good performance.

At this phase, the employee will commit to focusing on implementing the key objectives (i.e. KPA’s including any relevant special projects and the core Competency Requirements (CCR’s).

The KPA and CCRs are assigned a weight, respectively. Such weighting (as it is known) denotes the relative importance of the key objective to each other. The KPAs would cover the main areas of work and would account for 80% whereas the CCRs would account for 20% of the final performance assessment. For the MM the KPA’s are as follows:

* Basic Service Delivery
* Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation
* Local Economic development
* Municipal Financial Viability and Management
* Good Governance and Public Participation

For managers that directly account to the MM, the KPAs that are related to the functional areas of that manager’s position, should be negotiated between that manager and municipal manager. The CCR that is critical for the employee’s job should be selected from the following list and must also note the compulsory Core Managerial Competencies.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4.3** | **CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES** |

* Strategic Capability and Leadership
* Programme and Project Management ( compulsory)
* Financial Management
* Change Management
* Knowledge Management
* Service Delivery Innovation
* Problem solving and analysis
* People Management and Empowerment ( Compulsory)
* Client orientation and customer focus ( Compulsory)
* Communication
* Honesty and Integrity

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4.4** | **CORE OCCUPATIONAL COMPETENCIES** |

* Competence in self –Management
* Interpretation of and implementation within the legislative and national policy frameworks
* Knowledge of development local government
* Knowledge of Performance Management and reporting
* Knowledge of global and South African specific political, social and economic context
* Competence in policy conceptualization, analysis and implementation
* Knowledge of more than one functional municipal field / discipline
* Skills in mediation
* Skills in governance
* Competence as required by other national line sector departments
* Exceptional and dynamic creativity to improve the functioning of the municipality

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4.5** | **LIST OF CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRS) FOR EMPLOYEES** |

In order to address the development gaps that support the achievement of set performance targets, a personal development plan (PDP) will be developed and form part of the Performance Agreement i.e. In line with the MFMA the performance agreements will be made available to the public.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4.6** | **PERFORMANCE REVIEW** |

Performance review is aimed at checking and monitoring progress of the employee in satisfying the key objectives and their targets. Performance reviews will happen at the end of the first, second and third quarters of the year as noted below

#### *Table 124*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Quarter** | **Period under review** | **Month of conducting review** |
| 1 | July to end September | October |
| 2 | October to the end of December | January |
| 3 | January to the end of March | April |
| 4 | April to the end June | July |

Makana Municipality may elect to conduct verbal reviews for the first and third quarters, particularly if performance is deemed satisfactory. During any of the reviews, the municipality will however make use of the assessment tools that will be ultimately used in performance assessment phase (4th Quarter). Despite the force stated performance review intervals, the employer reserves a choice to review performance at any stage (for as long as the employment contract is still valid). For the operational reasons and also based on agreement with the employee the employer will be entitled to making changes to the provisioning of the performance plan. In addition, performance feedback will be based on the employer’s assessment of the employee’s performance.

The performance review will entail the “stock taking” of the achievements on KPA and CRRs. Each of the two will be reviewed as follows:-Key performance areas.

#### *Table 125*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Level** | **Terminology** |  |  |  | **Rating** | |  |
| **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| 5 | Outstanding Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Performance significantly expectations | above |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Fully Effective |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Performance not fully effective |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Unacceptable performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The rating calculator will be developed and used for adding the scores for each KPA thus giving a final KPA score

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4.7** | **CRITICAL COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS** |

1. Each CCR will be reviewed in terms of the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
2. The fore going five-point scale will be used for each CCR.
3. The rating will be multiplied by the weighting for each CCR and result in a score.
4. The rating calculator will be used to add the scores and calculate the final CCR score.

It should be noted that for the mid-year review (i.e. October – December) it is important for the employer to keep records.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4.8** | **PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT** |

Performance assessment is aimed at concluding the employee’s performance measurement and development against set objectives. It will happen during the fourth quarter of the financial year. For purposes of practicality, performance assessment will be executed similar to the

Performance Review (explained above), save the following important variations:

It will not be ‘’stock take’’ but a ‘’final evaluation’’ exercise for the financial year.

1. The minimum composition requirements of the evaluation panels will be as follows:

***Table 126***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **EVALUATION OF THE MM** | **EVALUATION OF MANAGERS ACCOUNTABLE TO THE MM** |
| Mayor | MM |
| Chairperson of the audit committee | Chairperson of the audit committee |
| Executive Committee Member | Executive Mayoral Committee Member |
| Mayor or MM from another municipality | MM from another municipality |
| Ward Committee Member nominated by Mayor | HR Manager or Manager responsible PMS for secretarial purposes |
| HR Manager or Manager responsible PMS for secretarial purposes |

1. Performance assessment will form the basis of rewarding outstanding performance and correcting unacceptable performance.
2. Using the rating calculator, the range of performance bonus will allocated as follows, as a percentage of the all-inclusive remuneration package.

***Table 127***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Performance Score** | **Performance Bonus** |
| 150% + | 10% - 14% |
| 130% to 149% | 5% - 9% |

1. Unacceptable performance will be handled through providing remedial support to improve the employee’s performance for an agreed timeframe (with the employer). If such structured attempts prove to be unsuccessful, the employer should take steps to terminate the contract on ground of unfitness or incapacity. In the case, there should be adherence to the provisions of the Labour Relations Act.
2. Within 14 days of concluding the assessment of the MM’s performance, the results thereof will be submitted to the MED for Eastern Caper local government and the national Minister for local government.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.4.9** | **PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT FOR ALL OTHER MUNICIPAL STAFF MEMBERS** |

The Municipality will be focusing in the next following years in cascading Performance management to all employees. PMS has been cascade up HOD level but no review has been conducted yet.

### 4.4.10 GENERAL ISSUES RELATING TO PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT

This section highlights some general issues related to performance management that need to be taken into account in implementing the PMS in the Municipality.

**4.4.11 ANNUAL REVIEW OF THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

After the full cycle of the annual review and reporting is complete and the audit committee has met as required, the internal audit function will compile a comprehensive assessment/ review report. The report will be on whether the Municipality’s PMS meets the system objectives and principles as set out in this framework and whether it complies with the MSA, PMS Regulations and the MFMA.

The report will need to be considered by the audit committee who will then submit any recommendations to the Executive Committee. The review undertaken and recommendations made by the audit committee could serve as input into the wider municipal review of the PMS. After the full cycle of the annual review, the Municipal Manager should initiate an evaluation report that includes inputs from departments. The report will then be discussed by the management team and finally submitted to the Council for discussion and approval. All those steps will also fulfil the MSA’s requirement that the Municipality should annually evaluate its PMS.

### 4.4.12 AMENDMENTS TO KPI’S AND TARGETS

The Municipality will need to adopt a policy on amendments to performance indicators and targets. As a policy area, such amendments may be proposed but will be subject to the approval of the Executive Committee in consultation with the Municipal Manager.

### 4.4.13 INTEGRATING PMS WITH THE COUNCIL’S EXISTING MANAGEMENT CYCLE

International best practice indicates that PMS stands the chance to succeed if it is integrated with the current management cycle of the Municipality.

The purpose of such a cycle would be to guide the integration of important process e.g. the strategic planning or development process in terms of the IDP methodology, the annual budget process and the formal process of evaluating and assessing Council’s performance in terms of the approved PMS and this framework. Makana Municipality will develop and adopt a similar cycle that is suitable to its own circumstances and requirements.

### 4.4.14 INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

The implementation of the PMS in terms of this framework would require coordination at organisational level ad that will be the task of the IDP–PMS Manager’s position which will be acting on behalf of the Municipal Manager Performance Agreement.

For PMS at an individual level, the responsibility for co-ordination, administration and record keeping will be the responsibility of the position that is responsible for human resource management. Likewise, such duties will form part of the Corporate Service Director Performance Agreement.

The municipality will ensure that its outsourced internal audit section has expertise in dealing with performance management responsibilities which are practically over and above the traditional financial audit responsibilities.

### 4.4.15 CONCLUSION

Performance Management System has been implemented for section 57 managers and plans are in place to cascade to lower levels starting form Head of Department level in the next financial year 2017/22.